NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

AN ORDER OF THE BOARD

NO. P.U. 34(2018)

- 1 **IN THE MATTER OF** the *Electrical Power*
- 2 Control Act, 1994, SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1
- 3 (the "*EPCA*") and the *Public Utilities Act*,
- 4 RSNL 1990, Chapter P-47 (the "*Act*"), as
- 5 amended, and regulations thereunder; and
- 6
- 7 **IN THE MATTER OF** an application by
- 8 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for
- 9 approval of capital expenditures to upgrade
- 10 the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution
- 11 system pursuant to section 41 of the *Act*.
- 12
- 13

14 Background

15

16 On July 28, 2017 Hydro filed its 2018 Capital Budget Application which included a project to address both forecast capacity shortfalls and reliability issues on the Labrador East system.¹ In 17 Order No. P.U. 43(2017) the Board deferred consideration of this project on the basis that the 18 19 evidence did not demonstrate that it was necessary and consistent with the least-cost provision of 20 service. Following the filing of additional information by Hydro the Board found in Order No. 21 P.U. 9(2018) that further information was required before the proposed work in Labrador East 22 would be approved. The Board acknowledged the reliability concerns in Labrador East for 2018-23 2019 and stated:

24 25

26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

Based on the record it appears that, despite the size of the forecast load increase relative to the existing loads on the system and the costs associated with addressing this increase, Hydro has not completed a comprehensive plan to address load growth and reliability on the Labrador Interconnected system. In particular Hydro did not demonstrate that it has explored options to manage load in the context of additional demand.²

As part of the application for approval of such a significant project Hydro is required to demonstrate that it conducted appropriate planning for the system in a comprehensive manner which would include development of reasonable planning criteria, identification of needs on the system and assessment of reasonable alternatives. This planning must address both Labrador East and Labrador West as they are both part of the Labrador

¹ The Muskrat Falls to Happy Valley Interconnection Project, 2018 Capital Budget Application, Volume I, page C-44

² Order No. P.U. 9(2018), page 8

3 4

1

2

provide least cost reliable service, considering the impact on existing customers of meeting new loads which may affect adequacy or reliability on the system.³

2

Interconnected system. In addition, Hydro would be expected to address its obligation to

5 The Board directed Hydro to file a proposed plan in relation to the provision of reliable service in 6 Labrador East in 2018-2019 as well as a proposal in relation to the process and timelines for further 7 consideration of the proposed Labrador East project.

9 On April 16, 2018 and April 24, 2018 Hydro filed correspondence setting out its plan to ensure 10 the provision of reliable service in Labrador East, which included a commitment to study 11 alternatives to minimize customer impact should there be a loss of generation or transmission 12 supply to the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system.

- 13
- 14 Application
- 15

On August 3, 2018 Hydro filed an application for approval of capital expenditures in the amount
of \$195,400, to upgrade the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system (the "Application").

18

19 The Application explained that, as part of the Labrador East Reliability Plan, Hydro studied the 20 alternatives to minimize customer impact should there be a loss of generation or transmission 21 supply to the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system. The Application proposals include the 22 design, procurement, and installation of additional infrastructure to facilitate more effective 23 grouping of feeders on the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system. The Application 24 submitted that the proposed installation of additional switches and a line extension/upgrade is 25 necessary to ensure that Hydro can continue to provide service which is safe and adequate and just 26 and reasonable as required by section 37 of the Act.

27

The Application was copied to: Newfoundland Power Inc.; the Consumer Advocate, Dennis Browne, Q.C.; Hydro's Island Industrial customers: Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Limited, NARL Refining Limited Partnership, Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited; the communities of Sheshatshui, Happy Valley-Goose Bay, Wabush, and Labrador City (the "Labrador Interconnected Group" or "LIG"); Iron Ore Company of Canada ("IOC"); Teck Resources Limited; and Praxair Canada Inc.

34

On August 24, 2018 requests for information ("RFIs") were filed in relation to the Application by the LIG and IOC. On August 27, 2018 Hydro submitted to the Board that eight of the nine RFIs submitted by the LIG were beyond the parameters and scope of the issues relevant to the Application. On August 31, 2018, the Board wrote to Hydro directing it to provide specific answers to each of the LIG RFIs.

40

41 On August 31, 2018 Hydro filed its responses to IOC's RFIs and LAB-NLH-003. On September

- 42 5, 2018 Hydro filed its responses to the remaining RFIs. IOC filed comments, dated September 6,
- 43 2018. On September 11, 2018 the LIG filed its comments. On September 14, 2018 Hydro filed its
- 44 reply submission. No other submissions were filed in relation to the Application.

³ Order No. P.U. 9(2018), pages 8-9

1 Submissions

2

3 IOC raised no objection to the capital budget proposals in the Application. IOC acknowledged that 4 Hydro has engaged in a number of short and medium-term activities to maintain service over the 5 coming winter, such as those described in the Application, but stated that it could not comment 6 more specifically on Hydro's proposed actions for the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution 7 system. IOC stated that it believes that the long-term solution to the challenge faced by the 8 Labrador communities will become clearer after Hydro has completed its study of the Labrador 9 Interconnected system and a network addition policy has been adopted.

9 10

11 The LIG acknowledged that, without other measures to deal with capacity issues, it may be prudent 12 to proceed with these upgrades on the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system and noted that 13 the amounts involved in this proposal are not large. The LIG stated that in their view Hydro should 14 also be considering options for curtailing cryptocurrency loads as an alternative to, and in 15 conjunction with, the proposal to prioritize certain loads. The LIG submitted that a comprehensive 16 policy framework on cryptocurrency customers is urgently required and that the present 17 Application represents another ad hoc proposal to deal with problems caused by cryptocurrency 18 loads.

19

20 In its reply submission Hydro explained that the ongoing analysis of the Labrador East system 21 identified that the system can be better configured for emergency management and this project 22 supports that purpose. Hydro stated that this Application is directed towards providing efficient 23 management of priority areas in the event of a power emergency and the curtailment of 24 cryptocurrency loads is not relevant in this matter. Hydro explained that it would be proposing this 25 project in the absence of any cryptocurrency customers and even if the Muskrat Falls to Happy 26 Valley Interconnection project was approved and constructed. According to Hydro the emergency 27 management capability that the project provides is prudent and aligned with Hydro's obligation to 28 provide least-cost, reliable service.

29

30 Board Findings

31

The proposals in the Application arise from Hydro's investigation of alternatives to minimize customer impacts should there be a loss of generation or transmission supply to the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system. This work was part of a larger exercise undertaken to ensure the provision of reliable service in Labrador East in 2018-2019 as directed by Order No. P. U. 9(2018). IOC raised no objection to the proposed capital expenditures and the LIG acknowledged that, without other measures to deal with capacity issues, it may be prudent to proceed with the upgrades to the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system.

39

40 The Board accepts Hydro's position that the emergency management capability that the project 41 would provide is prudent and aligned with Hydro's obligation to serve. The Board is satisfied that

42 the proposed capital expenditures would assist in minimizing customer impact in the event of a

42 loss of generation or transmission supply by facilitating better emergency management of the

44 Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system. The Board notes that Hydro advised that it would

44 happy valley-Goose Bay distribution system. The Board notes that Hydro advised that it would 45 propose these system upgrades irrespective of the presence of cryptocurrency customers or the

46 completion of the proposed Muskrat Falls to Happy Valley Interconnection project. The Board

finds that the proposed 2018 supplemental capital expenditure to upgrade the Happy Valley-Goose
Bay distribution system is reasonable and necessary to ensure the continued provision of safe and
reliable electricity supply to Hydro's customers and should be approved.

4 5 6

7 8

9

10

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The proposed capital expenditure to upgrade the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system at an estimated capital cost of \$195,400 is approved.
- 11 2. Hydro shall pay all expenses of the Board arising from this Application.

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, this 24th day of September, 2018.

Hale

Darlene Whalen, P. Eng., FEC Chair & CEO

Dwanda Newman, LL.B. Vice-Chair

Commissioner

Sara-Kean ' Assistant Board Secretary