

1 Q. (Expert Evidence – JT Browne Consulting, page 4) It is stated “*Since it is unlikely that*
2 *the ML would have been built at this time without the MFP, Hydro views any net*
3 *savings as a result of the ML prior to the commissioning of the MFGF to be an*
4 *integral part of the net benefits/costs of the MFP.*” Is there legally binding
5 documentation stating that the ML, following commissioning, cannot be used to the
6 benefit of Island customers prior to commissioning of Muskrat Falls, or that if it is
7 used, there would be a cost associated with doing so? If so, please file copies of
8 such documentation.

9
10

11 A. This response has been provided by JT Browne Consulting.

12
13
14
15

Based on information provided by Hydro, it is Mr. Browne’s understanding that
Hydro will be able to use the Maritime Link prior to the commissioning of the
Muskrat Falls Project and that there will be no additional costs related to this usage.