

1 Q. References:

- 2 (i) NLH 2017 GRA, Evidence, chapter 1, pages 1.7 and 1.8
- 3 (ii) NLH 2017 GRA, Evidence, chapter 5, schedule VII, page 5-VII-3
- 4 (iii) NLH 2017 GRA, Evidence, chapter 5, pages 5.35 and 5.36
- 5 (i) « Hydro is also seeking approval of the following: [...]
- 6 • a revised transmission demand rate for Labrador Industrial Customers to
- 7 promote the efficient use of customers' demand requirements (see Chapter 5). »
- 8 (ii)

Proposed Rates Reflecting Proposed Methodology (per kW per month)

	Proposed January 1, 2018 Interim Rate	Proposed January 1, 2019 Rates
First Block (90% of Power on Order)	\$1.34	\$1.86
Metered Demand in Excess of First Block	\$2.83	\$3.95

- 9 (i) « Hydro is proposing to continue to use the same methodology to determine the
- 10 costs to be recovered from the Labrador Industrial Transmission Customers. The
- 11 average embedded cost for transmission demand allocated to Labrador industrial
- 12 Customers has increased from the \$1.19 per kW approved for the 2015 Test Year
- 13 to \$1.44 per kW for the 2018 Test Year and \$1.86 per kW for the 2019 Test Year. »
- 14 (ii) « The proposed modification to the rate design does not change the total Test
- 15 Year cost to be recovered from Labrador Industrial Transmission Customers.
- 16 However, the proposed rate design provides a stronger financial incentive for the
- 17 Labrador Industrial Customers to reduce their winter peak demands. Reduced
- 18 peak demand from this customer class can contribute to reduced costs for all
- 19 customers on the Labrador Interconnected System. »
- 20

21 Does NLH's two-tiered transmission rate pursues other objectives than the

22 reduction stated above in its Application?

- 1       A.     No, Hydro's proposed two-tiered transmission rate does not pursue objectives
- 2             other than those which are stated in the evidence to Hydro's 2017 GRA.