Page 1 of 5

- Q. Re: Letter from NLH to Board dated November 30, 2018, Table 1; 2018 CBA, MFHVI Project, Revision 2, dated January 25, 2018, p. 13 of the pdf
- 3 Preamble:
 - The Labrador East load forecast presented in the Transmission Expansion Study and reproduced as Table 1 is substantially lower than the load forecast presented as Table 1 on page 10 of the MFHVI project document produced as Tab 13 of the 2018 CBA. This forecast is identified in the Expansion Study as a P90 forecast, released in July 2018. Compared to the three forecasts shown in Appendix A of that same document (page 27 of the pdf), the current forecast is substantially higher than the Fall 2016 forecast, slightly higher than the Spring 2017 forecast, and substantially lower than the Summer 2017 forecast.

- a) Are the three forecasts in the CBA also P90 forecasts? If not, please specify.
- b) Please explain in detail the reasons behind these multiple changes in the Lab East load forecast, and explain in detail reasons for the reduction noted between the Summer 2017 load forecast and the July 2018 load forecast presented in Table 1 of the November 30 letter.
 - c) Has the load forecast been updated since July 2018? If so, please present the most recent Labrador East load forecast.
 - d) Please break down each of these forecasts, year by year, between i) loads related to cryptocurrency mining activities ("data centres"), ii) loads related to DND conversion to all-electric boilers and iii) other loads.
 - e) The 2018 Base Coincident Peak, according to Table 1 of the Nov. 30 letter, is 81.7 MW. Please indicate actual peak demand for the years 2016, 2017 and 2018, breaking them down into a) loads related to cryptocurrency mining activities ("data centres"), and b) other loads.
 - f) Please provide the most recent load forecast, under the hypothesis that all data centre loads are curtailed for the peak 300 hours.

1	A.		Page 2 01 5
_	Α.	-1	The three lead for costs appeared in Devision 2 of the "NAveluat Fellete Heavy
2		a)	The three load forecasts presented in Revision 2 of the "Muskrat Falls to Happy
3			Valley Interconnection" report, Appendix A "Eastern Labrador Transmission
4			System – Planning Report," dated January 25, 2018 reflect P50 demand
5			forecasts for the Labrador East system.
6			
7		b)	The changes in the Labrador East load forecast are a reflection of including
8			current information at the time of the preparation of the forecast.
9			
10			The load forecast presented as the Fall 2016 Forecast in Revision 2 of the
11			"Muskrat Falls to Happy Valley Interconnection" report, Appendix A "Eastern
12			Labrador Transmission System – Planning Report," reflected the Labrador East
13			system load requirements not including forecasted power requirements of new
14			service requests for customers identified as data centres.
15			
16			The load forecast presented as the Spring 2017 Forecast Revision 2 of the
17			"Muskrat Falls to Happy Valley Interconnection" report, Appendix A "Eastern
18			Labrador Transmission System – Planning Report," reflected the Labrador East
19			system load requirements including forecasted power requirements of new
20			service requests for customers identified as data centres.
21			
22			The load forecast presented as the Summer 2017 Forecast in Revision 2 of the
23			"Muskrat Falls to Happy Valley Interconnection" report, Appendix A "Eastern
24			Labrador Transmission System – Planning Report," reflected the Labrador East
25			system load requirements including forecasted power requirements of new

service requests for customers identified as data centres, as well as a sensitivity

load reflecting forecasted power requirements for the Department of National

Defence ("DND") central heating plant fuel oil to electric conversion.

26

27

28

The load forecast presented as the July 2018 load forecast in Table 1 of Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's ("Hydro") November 30, 2018 correspondence "2018 Capital Budget Application – Muskrat Falls to Happy Valley Interconnection Update," reflects Hydro's base case load forecast for the Labrador East system, including forecasted power requirements of new service requests for customers identified as data centres. The sensitivity load reflecting the forecasted power requirements for the DND central heating plant fuel oil to electric conversion was not included in the July 2018 forecast.

Excluding load impacts associated with new service requests for customers identified as data centres and DND's central heating plant, the other Happy Valley system load requirements were reviewed and updated between the Spring 2017 Forecast and Summer 2017 Forecast and between the Summer 2017 Forecast and July 2018 Forecast.

For further information on the forecasted load detail, refer to Hydro's response to LAB-NLH-035(d).

c) The load forecast for the Labrador East system was last updated in July 2018. The regular planning cycle for Hydro's rural systems load forecasts is annually during the spring/early summer time frame.

d) Please refer to Table 1 which provides the available forecasted load detail. Note that the forecasted load related to data centres reflects the loads Hydro is able to identify as new service requests for data processing equipment, which were explicitly accounted for in the forecast methodology. Hydro cannot verify if all such load is related to cryptocurrency mining. Also, it is noted that the

Page 4 of 5

forecasted load for the "other" component for the July 2018 load forecast reflects the forecasted P50 demand requirements.

Table 1: Load Forecast (MW)

	Spring	2017 Fo	recast	Summer 2017			July 2018		
Year	Data Centre	DND	Other ¹	Data Centre	DND	Other	Data Centre	DND	Other
2017	7.5	0	72.3	7.2	0	72.7	-	-	_
2018	7.5	0	72.6	7.2	0	73.4	6.3	0	72.4
2019	7.5	0	73.0	7.2	0	74.2	7.2	0	73.1
2020	7.5	0	73.3	7.2	12.2	74.6	7.2	0	73.3
2021	7.5	0	73.6	7.2	12.2	75.2	7.2	0	73.6
2022	7.5	0	74.0	7.2	12.2	75.5	7.2	0	73.8
2023	7.5	0	74.4	7.2	12.2	76.0	7.2	0	74.1
2024	7.5	0	74.7	7.2	12.2	76.5	7.2	0	74.7
2025	7.5	0	75.1	7.2	12.2	77.0	7.2	0	75.2
2026	7.5	0	75.5	7.2	12.2	77.5	7.2	0	75.7
2027	7.5	0	75.9	7.2	12.2	78.0	7.2	0	76.2
2028	7.5	0	76.2	7.2	12.2	78.5	7.2	0	76.7
2029	7.5	0	76.5	7.2	12.2	78.9	7.2	0	77.3
2030	7.5	0	76.9	7.2	12.2	79.4	7.2	0	77.8
2031	7.5	0	77.2	7.2	12.2	79.9	7.2	0	78.3
2032	7.5	0	77.5	7.2	12.2	80.3	7.2	0	78.8
2033	7.5	0	77.8	7.2	12.2	80.7	7.2	0	79.4
2034	7.5	0	78.1	7.2	12.2	81.2	7.2	0	79.9
2035	7.5	0	78.4	7.2	12.2	81.6	7.2	0	80.4
2036	7.5	0	78.7	7.2	12.2	82.0	7.2	0	80.9
2037	7.5	0	79.0	7.2	12.2	82.4	7.2	0	81.5
2038	7.5	0	79.3	7.2	12.2	82.9	7.2	0	82.0
2039	7.5	0	79.6	7.2	12.2	83.3	7.2	0	82.5
2040	7.5	0	79.9	7.2	12.2	83.8	7.2	0	83.1
2041	7.5	0	80.2	7.2	12.2	84.2	7.2	0	83.6
2042	7.5	0	80.5	7.2	12.2	84.7	7.2	0	84.1
2043	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.2	0	84.6

¹ Fall 2016 Forecast.

2018 Capital Budget Application – Muskrat Falls to Happy Valley Interconnection Project

1

2

3

4

10

customers.

Page 5 of 5

- e) The demand level by day or by time of day is not recorded by retail customer meters and, therefore, the requested system peak demand detail on an actual basis cannot be provided.
- f) Please refer to LAB-NLH-035(d) and (e) with respect to the response to the load forecast explicitly for data centres. The connected data centre customers are currently classified as General Service customers and pay the same rate as any other business in the region with the same load requirements. Hydro does not have the right to arbitrarily treat data centre customers differently from other