

1 Q. **Re: Labrador Expansion Study, pages 220 and 223 (pdf); 2018 CBA, MFHVI Project,**  
2 **Revision 2, dated January 25, 2018, p. 34 of the pdf**

3 Citation 1 (p. 220):

4 Based on the current projection (solid red curve), the data used in this analysis  
5 indicates that the expected mean life for the L1301/L1302 wood pole plant asset is  
6 approximately 103 years (Figure 1), which is significantly higher than the  
7 conventional economic life of 40 years historically used in the industry. The typical  
8 lowa curve assumes an expected asset life of 50 years. Similarly, the expected mean  
9 life for the X-arm shows that the asset life is 63 years (Figure 2).

10

11 Citation 2 (p. 223):

12 6 Recommendations for Replacement Rate and Initial Costs

13 Based on the asset life data analysis, it is estimated from Figure 3 that the  
14 replacement rate of the pole plant asset for L1301 for the next 20-year planning  
15 horizon would be 0.30 percent per year given that it has survived for 42 years of  
16 operation. Similarly, this replacement rate for the X-arm asset would be 2.3 percent  
17 per year (Figure 4).

18

19 7 Summary and Conclusions

20 Results of the data analysis clearly demonstrate that the expected life of the wood  
21 pole for L1301 is estimated as 103 years while the X-arm is estimated as 63 years.  
22 The line has survived 42 years of operations. The overall pole replacement rate per  
23 year is well below the published industry data. Based on the current rejection rate,  
24 it is estimated that Hydro may be required to replace 0.30 percent of pole plant  
25 asset per year for the planning horizon considered in this study. For the X-arm, this  
26 replacement rate would be 2.3 percent per year. Planned maintenance outage  
27 duration for L1301 is estimated to be seven days in each year of future operation to  
28 support this replacement rate and the number of poles and X-arms that need to be

1 replaced per year. The planned maintenance outage duration should be pro-rated  
2 for L1302 in terms of line length.

3

4 Given these results, and assuming that the proposed maintenance program is  
5 followed, is there any reason to believe that there is a substantial risk of a  
6 prolonged forced outage on L1301/L1302? Please explain your answer.

7

8

9 A. Short-term outages due to failure of an individual component under normal loading  
10 conditions are possible on TL1301/TL1302. Standard inspection and maintenance  
11 programs are intended to detect and remove deteriorated, structurally inadequate  
12 equipment and, in general, extend the life of these lines. The reduced phase spacing  
13 on a large portion of TL1301 does not allow for standard inspections as crews are  
14 unable to climb the structures between the phases while still maintaining safe limits  
15 of approach. This causes a higher potential of missing a defect during regular  
16 inspections and increasing the risk of a prolonged forced outage.

17

18 In addition, prolonged forced outages (i.e., several days to weeks) are commonly  
19 caused by the failure of multiple structures due to the structural overload beyond  
20 the structure's design capability, typically caused by meteorological events. The  
21 probability of such a meteorological event is independent of maintenance  
22 inspections or the condition of the wood pole structures.

23

24 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro will continue to perform maintenance on these  
25 lines to minimize the risk of outages until they are no longer required for service.