## Q. Reference: 2018 Cost of Service Methodology Review Report, p. 14 (25 pdf)

## Citation 1:

Hydro plans to evaluate if it is practical to employ a peak allocation approach based on the percentage of load by class in the highest 50 hours of the winter season. Manitoba Hydro currently uses this approach. This analysis would provide additional information to evaluate the reasonableness of the current 1 CP allocation approach. Hydro plans to report to the Board on the analysis results in its next GRA.

Citation 2 (Appendix A, CAEC Report, page 13 (page 69 pdf)):
An alternative might be to use a method applied at Manitoba Hydro, which makes use of the fifty highest demand hours of the winter. Such a measure requires recording and averaging much more data, but is likely to be stable and to capture behavior in the many hours associated with peak demand. Taking this approach to its logical conclusion, one might consider utilizing a marginal cost-based combined classification and allocation approach, which includes all hours, and uses marginal cost to value each hour. Section 3.3 discusses this approach.

Citation 3 (Appendix A, CAEC Report, page 23 (page 79 pdf)):
Manitoba Hydro constitutes an interesting special case. Until recently the utility applied a "weighted energy" allocator to generation costs, which consists of marginal cost-based allocation of generation services. (Manitoba Hydro also utilized a variant of the process in allocating transmission costs.) In a recent COS methodology proceeding, the utility argued for retention of its weighted energy allocator. However, the Public Utilities Board of Manitoba (Manitoba Board) found that the allocator lacked elements of demand, a shortcoming that it felt was determinative. As a result, it required Manitoba Hydro to adopt a system load factor approach. The demand allocator that it recommended is a "winter CP" formulation in which usage in the fifty winter hours with the highest demand is to
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Cost of Service Study ("COSS") is a method of allocating a utility's cost to the various classes of customers that it serves. Its purpose is to determine a fair sharing of the utility's Revenue Requirement among the customer classes. While there are many allocation methods, the central aim is always to allocate costs to the customer classes on the basis of known customer characteristics. The cost study conducted at Manitoba Hydro is an average embedded cost study in that the unit costs represent the average to serve all customers in a rate class or subclass based upon funds historically invested in plant in service.

Manitoba Hydro’s COSS is a Prospective Study. That is, while historic investment has a significant role in determining the costs, the study utilizes forecast costs for the next fiscal year. This provides a basis for testing rates that are proposed for the next fiscal year. It also normalizes for water conditions which could have a significant impact on the results if based on current conditions.

The results of the study indicate the degree to which the rate class/subclass revenue recovers allocated costs. Although the study has the appearance of exactness, it only provides an approximation of the actual cost of serving a particular customer or group of customers within a customer class. This is because there are many judgements involved in the process of classifying and allocating costs, particularly those costs related to capital investment. There is no right or wrong way of allocation, as each utility's operating characteristics and reasons for capital investment are not necessarily the same. The objective for the utility is to select a method which best represents cost causation and the equitable sharing of costs among the customer rate classes. Because of the inexactness of a Cost of Service Study, a Zone of Reasonableness ("ZOR") is usually established within which Revenue to Cost Coverage ("RCC") ratios are targeted. At Manitoba Hydro the target Zone of Reasonableness is for RCC's to be within the range of 95 to 105 percent.

## Cost of Service Review

Manitoba Hydro engaged Christensen Associates Energy Consultants ("CA") to perform a review of its Cost of Service Methodologies. Manitoba Hydro committed to undertake this review to confirm that Manitoba Hydro's cost of service methodologies are consistent with best practices and to address a number of issues that arose out of previous PUB proceedings. The report from CA largely endorsed the current cost of service methodology but also made several recommendations for enhancements.

Manitoba Hydro has prepared its 2012/13 Cost of Service Study ("PCOSS13") to reflect those changes it adopted from the Cost of Service Review (as discussed in MH’s Response to that Review provided in Appendix 13.3) as follows:

## 1. Export Class

PCOSS13 continues to recognize an Export Class. Additionally, PCOSS13 differentiates between Dependable and Opportunity export sales. Dependable export sales have been assigned a share of embedded generation and transmission costs as done previously; Opportunity exports have been assigned the costs of purchased power excluding wind purchases, with remaining opportunity sales in excess of power purchases attracting water rentals fees and variable hydraulic generation operating and maintenance ("O\&M") only.

## 2. Thermal - Natural Gas Generation

The cost of gas-fired thermal plants has been included in the Generation Pool for allocation to both the Dependable exports and the domestic classes.
3. Wind Power Purchase Costs

The cost of wind power purchases is included in the generation pool for allocation to the Dependable Export and domestic classes in PCOSS13

## 4. Transmission Service from Radial Taps

In PCOSS13 the cost of dedicated radial taps serving GSL>100 kV customers has been directly assigned to that class.

## 5. Distribution Plant - Service Voltage

In PCOSS13, the customer and demand factors for GSL $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ used to allocate Distribution Poles and Wires costs have been reduced by $30 \%$ to recognize that these customers do not utilize Manitoba Hydro’s secondary voltage distribution facilities.

## PCOSS13

PCOSS13 has been prepared on the basis of the financial forecast for 2012/13 from IFF11-2 and follows the same methodology approach as reflected in PCOSS11. In addition, PCOSS13 has been prepared incorporating the test year conditions along with the changes in methodology flowing from the Cost of Service Review as discussed above. This comparison serves two purposes. It highlights the difference flowing from methodology changes. It also highlights the allocated cost difference between PCOSS13 and PCOSS11.

There are several matters impacting PCOSS13 that are noteworthy for discussion. These include MH's new deprecation study, Wuskwatim Generating Station, as well as Extraprovincial Revenues and are discussed below.

## Depreciation Study

Manitoba Hydro completed a new depreciation study, with depreciation rates that resulted in a $\$ 38$ million dollar reduction in forecast depreciation expense for 2012/13. These depreciation rates have been reflected in PCOSS13. The service life of subtransmission and distribution plant has been significantly extended, and resulted in the majority of the reduction in depreciation expense. The result is an increase in RCC for classes served from the Distribution system, and decrease in the RCC of classes served upstream of the Distribution system.

## Wuskwatim Generating Station

The inclusion of Wuskwatim generating station in Manitoba Hydro’s Financial Forecast and in PCOSS13 represents the first hydraulic generating station to go into service in over twenty years, at an average embedded cost of production higher than the existing generation assets. The impact on class RCC will vary depending on the relative proportion that generation costs represent in the total cost to serve each class. The increase in the average unit cost of generation will tend to increase the RCC for classes served from the Distribution system, such as the Residential class for whom generation costs represent $42 \%$ of the cost to serve. The increase in
average generation costs will tend to decrease the RCC of classes served upstream of the Distribution system, such as the GSL $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ class for whom generation costs represent $82 \%$ of the cost to serve.

## Extraprovincial Revenues

The reduction in Extraprovincial Revenues substantially attributable to lower projected export market prices does not impact class RCC's materially. This occurs because of the largely offsetting change in Contributions to Reserves (a component of Interest costs included in the PCOSS). The change in interest costs has the greatest impact on plant-intensive functions such as Generation and Transmission, while the reduction in net export has a uniform effect on the net cost of all functions excluding directly assigned cost. Impacts on RCCs will vary based on each class' relative use of each function and proportion of directly assigned costs, but will be considerably less than with similar revenue changes due to volume.

## PCOSS Results

PCOSS13 has been prepared on the basis of IFF11-2 and includes revenues based on April 1, 2012 rates as approved in Order 32/12. As shown in the table below, the RCC's are provided for PCOSS11, and PCOSS13 (with and without methodology changes).

| CUSTOMER CLASS | PCOSS11 | PCOSS13 <br> (no methodology <br> change) | PCOSS13 <br> (with methodology <br> changes) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Residential | $95.9 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| GSS Non-Demand | $104.8 \%$ | $107.4 \%$ | $107.6 \%$ |
| GSS Demand | $103.8 \%$ | $104.3 \%$ | $103.7 \%$ |
| GSM | $101.1 \%$ | $100.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| GSL $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | $91.9 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ |
| GSL $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | $104.2 \%$ | $98.2 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ |
| GSL $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | $112.6 \%$ | $103.7 \%$ | $100.5 \%$ |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | $105.2 \%$ | $101.4 \%$ | $101.8 \%$ |

## Net Export Revenue

A summary of the costs assigned or allocated to the Export class is shown in the table below. PCOSS13 (with no methodology change) results in net export revenue of $\$(15.5)$ million to be allocated to domestic customers, and $\$ 64.0$ million in PCOSS13 (including methodology changes).
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { (million \$) } \\ \text { PCOSS13 } \\ \text { (no methodology change) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { (million \$) } \\ \text { PCOSS13 }\end{array} \\ \text { (with methodology changes) }\end{array}\right]$ 341.9
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## Cost of Service History

Manitoba Hydro has conducted Cost of Service Studies since the mid 1970s. While significant changes have occurred on an evolutionary basis, cost of service studies filed with previous Rate Applications follow generally the same principles that were adopted with early cost of service studies. The significant changes relate mainly to the ability to better forecast customer loads and load factors, and special treatment of items such as DSM or net export revenues. The key features of the study that have remained relatively unchanged since the late 1970s are:

- The study deals with embedded costs (in 1992 the study changed from using historic embedded costs to forecast embedded costs).
- The study functionalizes utility costs into five main groups: Generation; Transmission; Subtransmission; Distribution Plant and Distribution Services (or Customer Service).
- The study allocates Subtransmission costs on the basis of Non-Coincident Peak Demand only.
- The study allocates Distribution plant costs on the basis of Non-Coincident Peak Demand and Customer Count. The proportion classified on the basis of Demand has been set at $60 \%$ since 1991.
- The study allocates Customer Service costs in several ways, but all are customer-related; allocation among classes is based on the number of customers in each class. For some costs customer numbers are weighted differently for each class, reflecting differences among the classes in the cost to provide the service.
- The study allocates Transmission Demand-related costs on the basis of both winter peak (top 50 coincident hours) and summer peak (also top 50 coincident hours). Prior to 2004 these costs were allocated on the basis of winter peak only.
- The study allocates a credit for net export revenue to all domestic classes in proportion to total allocated costs of all functions. This method was endorsed by the PUB in 2006. Previously the credit was allocated to classes on the same basis as allocated Generation and Transmission costs.


## Cost of Service Review

Manitoba Hydro engaged Christensen Associates Energy Consultants ("CA") to perform a review of its Cost of Service Methodologies. Manitoba Hydro committed to undertake this review to confirm that Manitoba Hydro’s cost of service methodologies are consistent with best practices and to address a number of issues that arose out of previous PUB proceedings. The report largely endorsed the current Cost of Service methodology, but also made several recommendations for enhancements.

PCOSS13 has been prepared on the basis of the financial forecast for 2012/13 from IFF11-2 and follows the same methodology approach as reflected in PCOSS11. In addition, PCOSS13 has been prepared incorporating the test year conditions along with the changes in methodology flowing from the Cost of Service Review as discussed above. This comparison serves two purposes. It highlights the difference flowing from methodology changes. It also highlights the allocated cost difference between PCOSS13 and PCOSS11.

The following changes in methodology are reflected in PCOSS13 (with methodology changes):

## Export Class

PCOSS13 continues to recognize an Export Class. Additionally, PCOSS13 differentiates between Dependable and Opportunity export sales. Dependable export sales have been assigned a share of embedded generation and transmission costs as done previously; Opportunity exports have been assigned the costs of purchased power excluding wind purchases, with remaining opportunity sales in excess of power purchases attracting water rentals fees and variable hydraulic generation O\&M only.

Distinction should be made between the cost assignment appropriate for long-term contract commitments made out of dependable resources, and that for short-term sales made on an "as available" basis. Opportunity exports are considered a residual from a long-term planning perspective, and are therefore assigned only the variable costs associated with serving these exports.

## Thermal - Natural Gas Generation

The cost of gas-fired thermal plants has been included in the Generation Pool for allocation to both the Dependable exports and the Domestic classes. Although natural gas-fired generation is not required to support export sales in the median water conditions used in the PCOSS, on a probabilistic basis natural gas generation may support these sales during extreme conditions

## Wind Power Purchase Costs

The energy from wind power purchases is blended into Manitoba Hydro’s overall energy supply to provide firm energy to serve both domestic classes and dependable export sales. Manitoba Hydro agrees with CA's perspective that it is inappropriate to assign the entire cost to the export class and has included the cost of wind power purchases in the generation pool for allocation to the Dependable Export and Domestic classes.

## Transmission Service from Radial Taps

The cost of dedicated radial taps serving GSL $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ customers has been directly assigned to that class in PCOSS13 (with methodology changes). In previous studies the cost of dedicated radial taps ineligible for inclusion in Manitoba Hydro’s Open Access Transmission Tariff was included in the Subtransmission function. Manitoba Hydro agrees with CA's perspective that in the case of dedicated radial taps serving GSL $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ customers, the exclusion of these customers from these costs resulted in a slight understatement in the cost to serve those customers since they do not share subtransmission costs.

## Distribution Plant - Service Voltage

As discussed in the Cost of Service Review, the customer and demand factors for GSL $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ used to allocate Distribution Poles and Wires costs have been reduced by 30\% to recognize that these customers do not utilize Manitoba Hydro’s secondary voltage distribution facilities.

The following assignment or allocations of costs are unchanged in PCOSS13:

## Assignment of Power Purchases and Transmission Service Fees

Non-wind purchased power costs and the costs associated with securing US transmission used to facilitate export sales have been directly assigned to the Export class consistent with past practice.

## Assignment of ‘Trading Desk’ and MISO Fees

The 'Trading Desk', as well as MISO membership provides benefits to domestic customers by facilitating import purchases needed for dependable supply, and during periods of prolonged drought, or in the event of a major generation or transmission failure. Consequently, the portion of these costs that can be directly attributed to Manitoba Hydro's export sales activities has been directly assigned to the export class. The remaining $58 \%$ of the costs have been assigned to the domestic classes.

## Assignment of DSM Costs

PCOSS13 assigns program costs to the customer classes in the same manner as carried out in PCOSS11. CA noted and Manitoba Hydro agrees that DSM is not driven by export sales and the costs should be assigned to the customer classes benefiting from the DSM programming. Assignment in PCOSS13 is based on class participation over ten years in order to match the capitalization and subsequent amortization of program costs, rather than a single year as used in PCOSS11.

## Thermal Plant Costs - Coal

In accordance with climate change legislation, use of the Brandon Unit 5 coal generating station is limited to emergency use to serve domestic load or existing firm export contracts which expire by 2015. As Manitoba Hydro cannot dispatch coal-fired generation to support new export sales, CA recommended the costs be assigned to domestic classes only. All the fixed and variable costs of the unit have been assigned entirely to the domestic classes in this study.

## Classification of Distribution Plant

The classification of Distribution poles and wires as partially demand-related and partially customer-related was endorsed by CA, and has been used in PCOSS13.

## Allocation of Distribution Plant

PCOSS13 continues to allocate theses costs on the basis of class-NCP (Non-Coincident Peak) demand. CA endorsed the current method of allocating demand-related distribution plant cost on the basis of class-NCP, noting the treatment was common industry practice.

## Affordable Energy Fund

The Affordable Energy Fund expenditures will continue to be treated as a policy-related first charge against net export revenue consistent with Manitoba Hydro’s interpretation of the intent of Government of Manitoba’s legislation creating the fund.

## Uniform Rates Adjustment

Manitoba Hydro considers the adjustment a policy-related first charge on net export revenues, and has assigned the adjustment to the export class.

## A\&RL Weighting Factors

CA also reviewed and provided recommendation on the customer class weightings used for Area and Roadway Lighting ("A\&RL") in the allocation of various customer-related costs. Manitoba Hydro accepts the recommendation in the CA report to give A\&RL a zero-weight in the allocator
for both 'Marketing R\&D' and 'Collections', as an examination of the nature of the costs indicated that it is not appropriate to allocate any share of these costs to the A\&RL class.

## Treatment of Diesel Funding Agreement in PCOSS13

Allocation of export revenues in the PCOSS is based on total cost to serve in the diesel rate zone, as provided in the Diesel Funding Agreement between Manitoba Hydro, Aboriginal and Northern Development Canada (AANDC) and the four First Nations represented by Manitoba Keewatinook Ininew Okimowin (MKO). As such the total unreduced cost is reflected in the RCC Table in PCOSS13, while revenues for the Diesel class in the schedules are based upon variable costs.

The RCC calculated using the Diesel Cost of Service Study for 2011/12 is approximately 79\% using revenues of $\$ 6.3$ million and variable costs of $\$ 8.0$ million. Note that revenue does not include allocated net export revenues, which are currently being applied against the accumulated deficit of approximately $\$ 4.1$ million as at March 31, 2012. According to the terms of the Diesel Funding Agreement the deficit will be fully amortized by March 31, 2014.
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PCOSS13 has been prepared on the basis of the financial forecast for 2012/13 from IFF11-2 and followed the same methodology approach as reflected in PCOSS11. PCOSS13 includes revenues based on April 1, 2012 rates as approved in Order 32/12. There are several key matters noteworthy for discussion. These include MH’s new deprecation study, Wuskwatim Generating Station, Net Extra provincial Revenues, IFRS as well as Expected water flow conditions in IFF11-2 and are discussed below.

## Depreciation Study

Manitoba Hydro completed a new depreciation study, with depreciation rates that will be implemented in two phases. The first phase, including new asset component groupings and updated services lives, is effective April 1, 2011. A second phase will implement IFRS compliant depreciation rates effective April 1, 2013.

The impact of the new rates effective April 1, 2011 is a $\$ 38$ million dollar reduction in forecast depreciation expense for 2012/13. These depreciation rates have been reflected in PCOSS13. The service life of subtransmission and distribution plant has been significantly extended, and resulted in the majority of the reduction in depreciation expense. The result is an increase in RCC for classes served from the Distribution system, and decrease in the RCC of classes served upstream of the Distribution system.

## Wuskwatim Generating Station

The inclusion of Wuskwatim generating station in MH's financial forecast and PCOSS13 represents the first hydraulic generating station to go into service in over twenty years, at an average embedded cost of production higher than the existing generation assets. The increase in the average unit cost of generation will tend to increase the RCC for classes served from the Distribution system, and decrease the RCC of classes served upstream of the Distribution system.

## International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

IFF11-2 assumes that Manitoba Hydro will transition to IFRS effective April 1, 2013. Therefore, the impacts of IFRS are not reflected in the 2012/13 test year used for PCOSS13.

## Expected Water Flow Conditions

PCOSS13 has been prepared on the basis of the 2012/13 financial forecast from IFF11-2, which incorporates expected water flow conditions rather than the median flow water conditions normally used. Expected flows in this case are lower than under median conditions, which can be expected to result in a reduction in opportunity export sales. The effect of forecasting export revenues that are lower than the long term average is not expected to have a material impact to PCOSS13 incorporating methodology changes.

## PCOSS13 Results

PCOSS13 incorporates the test year conditions, with and without the changes in methodology flowing from the Cost of Service Review. This comparison serves two purposes. It highlights the difference flowing from methodology changes. It also highlights the allocated cost difference between PCOSS13 and PCOSS11.

A summary of the RCC's are provided in the table below.

| CUSTOMER CLASS | PCOSS11 | PCOSS13 <br> (no methodology <br> change) | PCOSS13 <br> (with methodology <br> changes) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | $95.9 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| GSS Non-Demand | $104.8 \%$ | $107.4 \%$ | $107.6 \%$ |
| GSS Demand | $103.8 \%$ | $104.3 \%$ | $103.7 \%$ |
| GSM | $101.1 \%$ | $100.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| GSL $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | $91.9 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ |
| GSL $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | $104.2 \%$ | $98.2 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ |
| GSL > 100 kV | $112.6 \%$ | $103.7 \%$ | $100.5 \%$ |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | $105.2 \%$ | $101.4 \%$ | $101.8 \%$ |

The primary tables include:

1. Revenue Cost Coverage Tables - This ratio compares revenues of each class to its allocated costs. The RCC ratio provides the relative performance of each rate class over a base of $100 \%$. Schedules B1 and B4 outlines the customer class RCC. Schedule B7 provides the RCC impacts by class for each of the methodology changes reflected in PCOSS13. To determine these impacts, the changes are made cumulatively and the specific change may vary depending on the sequence in which the steps are performed;
2. Customer, Demand and Energy Costs ("CDE") - In this table the components are converted to unit costs using billing determinants, i.e., number of customers, billable demand and kWh sales. The information in Schedules B2 and B5 are intended to provide a comparison of allocated unit costs with the corresponding price in the appropriate rate schedule; and
3. Functional Breakdown - This table identifies the cost of providing each level of service to each customer class. This information could be beneficial when evaluating service extension policies or construction allowance guidelines. Schedule B3 and B6 outlines the functional breakdown.

SCHEDULE B1
Revenue Cost Coverage Analysis - No Methodology Changes

| Customer Class | Manitoba Hydro Prospective Cost Of Service Study March 31, 2013 Revenue Cost Coverage Analysis <br> S UMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Class <br> Revenue (\$000) | Net Export Revenue (\$000) | Total <br> Revenue (\$000) |  |
| Residential | 557,672 | 554,168 | $(6,854)$ | 547,314 | 98.1\% |
| General Service - Small Non Demand | 119,419 | 129,669 | $(1,416)$ | 128,253 | 107.4\% |
| General Service - Small Demand | 117,946 | 124,443 | $(1,395)$ | 123,048 | 104.3\% |
| General Service - Medium | 170,821 | 174,168 | $(2,041)$ | 172,127 | 100.8\% |
| General Service - Large 0-30kV | 88,399 | 82,424 | $(1,056)$ | 81,368 | 92.0\% |
| General Service - Large 30-100kV* | 42,838 | 42,593 | (520) | 42,072 | 98.2\% |
| General Service - Large $>100 \mathrm{kV}^{*}$ <br> *Includes Curtailment Customers | 171,565 | 179,910 | $(2,051)$ | 177,859 | 103.7\% |
| SEP | 1,004 | 894 | - | 894 | 89.0\% |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | 20,269 | 20,620 | (75) | 20,545 | 101.4\% |
| Total General Consumers | 1,289,932 | 1,308,889 | $(15,410)$ | 1,293,479 | 100.3\% |
| Diesel | 9,476 | 6,047 | (118) | 5,929 | 62.6\% |
| Export | 357,379 | 341,851 | 15,528 | 357,379 | 100.0\% |
| Total System | 1,656,787 | 1,656,787 | - | 1,656,787 | 100.0\% |

SCHEDULE B2
Customer, Demand, Energy Cost Analysis - No Methodology Changes
Manitoba Hydro
Prospective Cost Of Service Study - March 31, 2013 Customer, Demand, Energy Cost Analys is

| Class | SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CUSTOMER |  |  | DEMAND |  |  |  | ENERGY |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of Customers | Unit Cost \$/Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Recovery | Billable <br> Demand <br> MVA | Unit Cost <br> \$/KVA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Metered Energy mWh | Unit Cost \$/kWh |
| Residential | 121,550 | 480,996 | 21.06 | 206,199 | 0\% | n/a | n/a | 236,777 | 7,266,318 | 6.10 ** |
| GS Small - Non Demand | 24,520 | 53,714 | 38.04 | 39,922 | 0\% | n/a | n/a | 56,394 | 1,612,575 | 5.97 ** |
| GS Small - Demand | 8,596 | 12,297 | 58.25 | 43,735 | 37\% | 2,195 | 7.32 | 67,009 | 1,971,347 | 4.80 |
| General Service - Medium | 7,259 | 1,938 | 312.13 | 63,344 | 87\% | 7,026 | 7.83 | 102,259 | 3,100,595 | 3.57 |
| General Service - Large <30kV | 3,725 | 289 | n/a | 30,106 | 100\% | 4,148 | 8.16 | 55,624 | 1,721,592 | 3.23 |
| General Service - Large 30-100kV | 2,596 | 40 | n/a | 9,698 | 100\% | 2,121 | 5.80 | 31,065 | 1,053,524 | 2.95 |
| General Service - Large > 100 kV | 2,301 | 16 | n/a | 28,184 | 100\% | 8,511 | 3.58 | 143,131 | 4,857,207 | 2.95 |
| SEP | 287 | 26 | 918.91 | 155 | 0\% | n/a | n/a | 562 | 25,600 | 2.80 ** |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | 15,484 | 153,444 | 8.41 | 2,313 | 0\% | n/a | n/a | 2,548 | 100,062 | 4.86 ** |
| Total General Consumers | 186,317 | 702,760 |  | 423,656 |  | 24,001 |  | 695,369 | 21,708,820 |  |
| Diesel | 250 | 737 | 28.22 | 374 | 0\% | n/a | n/a | 8,970 | 13,463 | 69.41 ** |
| Export | n/a | n/a | n/a | 48,103 | 0\% | n/a | n/a | 309,275 | 7,340,000 | 4.87 |
| Total System | 186,567 | 703,497 |  | 472,133 |  | 24,001 |  | 1,013,615 | 29,062,282 |  |
| * - includes recovery of customer <br> ** - includes recovery of demand <br> *** -includes recovery of custom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SCHEDULE B3
Functional Breakdown - No Methodology Changes

| Manitoba Hydro <br> Prospective Cost Of Service Study - March 31, 2013 <br> Functional Breakdown <br> S UMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Generation } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% $\qquad$ | ransmis Cost (\$000) | \% | Distribution Cust Service Cost (\$000) | \% | tribution ant Cost (\$000) |  |
| Residential | 564,526 | 236,777 | 41.9\% | 58,029 | 10.3\% | 41,329 | 7.3\% | 64,917 | 11.5\% | 163,474 | 29.0\% |
| General Service - Small Non Demand | 120,836 | 56,394 | 46.7\% | 13,200 | 10.9\% | 7,454 | 6.2\% | 16,934 | 14.0\% | 26,854 | 22.2\% |
| General Service - Small Demand | 119,341 | 67,009 | 56.1\% | 14,976 | 12.5\% | 8,022 | 6.7\% | 4,002 | 3.4\% | 25,332 | 21.2\% |
| General Service - Medium | 172,862 | 102,259 | 59.2\% | 23,327 | 13.5\% | 11,162 | 6.5\% | 6,144 | 3.6\% | 29,970 | 17.3\% |
| General Service - Large <30kV | 89,455 | 55,624 | 62.2\% | 12,523 | 14.0\% | 5,594 | 6.3\% | 3,467 | 3.9\% | 12,247 | 13.7\% |
| General Service - Large 30-100kV | 43,358 | 31,065 | 71.6\% | 6,395 | 14.7\% | 3,302 | 7.6\% | 2,514 | 5.8\% | 82 | 0.2\% |
| General Service - Large >100kV | 173,616 | 143,131 | 82.4\% | 28,184 | 16.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 2,267 | 1.3\% | 34 | 0.0\% |
| SEP | 1,004 | 562 | 56.0\% | 155 | 15.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 270 | 26.9\% | 16 | 1.6\% |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | 20,344 | 2,526 | 12.4\% | 415 | 2.0\% | 524 | 2.6\% | 504 | 2.5\% | 16,375 | 80.5\% |
| Total General Consumers | 1,305,342 | 695,347 | 53.3\% | 157,205 | 12.0\% | 77,386 | 5.9\% | 101,019 | 7.7\% | 274,384 | 21.0\% |
| Diesel | 9,594 | 8,970 | 93.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 624 | 6.5\% |
| Export | 357,379 | 309,275 | 86.5\% | 48,103 | 13.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Total System | 1,672,314 | 1,013,593 | 60.6\% | 205,308 | 12.3\% | 77,386 | 4.6\% | 101,019 | 6.0\% | 275,008 | 16.4\% |

SCHEDULE B4
Revenue Cost Coverage Analysis - With Methodology Changes

| Customer Class | Manitoba Hydro Prospective Cost Of Service Study March 31, 2013 Revenue Cost Coverage Analys is S UMMARY |  |  | Total Revenue (\$000) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Class <br> Revenue (\$000) | Net Export Revenue (\$000) |  |  |
| Residential | 586,783 | 554,168 | 27,958 | 582,126 | 99.2\% |
| General Service - Small Non Demand | 125,862 | 129,669 | 5,798 | 135,468 | 107.6\% |
| General Service - Small Demand | 125,560 | 124,443 | 5,770 | 130,213 | 103.7\% |
| General Service - Medium | 182,671 | 174,168 | 8,478 | 182,646 | 100.0\% |
| General Service - Large 0-30kV | 92,939 | 82,424 | 4,311 | 86,735 | 93.3\% |
| General Service - Large 30-100kV* | 46,358 | 42,593 | 2,186 | 44,779 | 96.6\% |
| General Service - Large >100kV* | 187,697 | 179,910 | 8,714 | 188,625 | 100.5\% |
| *Includes Curtailment Customers |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEP | 1,004 | 894 | - | 894 | 89.0\% |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | 20,563 | 20,620 | 306 | 20,926 | 101.8\% |
| Total General Consumers | 1,369,438 | 1,308,889 | 63,521 | 1,372,410 | 100.2\% |
| Diesel | 9,476 | 6,047 | 457 | 6,504 | 68.6\% |
| Export | 277,873 | 341,851 | $(63,978)$ | 277,873 | 100.0\% |
| Total System | 1,656,787 | 1,656,787 | - | 1,656,787 | 100.0\% |

SCHEDULE B5
Customer, Demand, Energy Cost Analysis - With Methodology Changes
Manitoba Hydro
Prospective Cost Of Service Study - March 31, 2013 Customer, Demand, Energy Cost Analys is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CUSTOMER DEMAND }
\end{aligned}
$$

SCHEDULE B6
Functional Breakdown - With Methodology Changes

| Class | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Manitoba Hydro <br> Prospective Cost Of Service Study - March 31, 2013 <br> Functional Breakdown <br> S UMMARY |  |  |  |  |  | Distribution Cust Service Cost (\$000) | $\begin{array}{rc}  & \text { Distribution } \\ & \text { Plant Cost } \\ \% & (\$ 000) \quad \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Generation Cost $(\$ 000)$ | \% | Transmiss ion <br> Cost <br> $(\$ 000)$ |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 558,825 | 242,702 | 43.4\% | 61,673 | 11.0\% | 38,741 | 6.9\% | 61,026 | 10.9\% | 154,683 | 27.7\% |
| General Service - Small Non Demand | 120,064 | 57,703 | 48.1\% | 14,029 | 11.7\% | 6,987 | 5.8\% | 15,919 | 13.3\% | 25,426 | 21.2\% |
| General Service - Small Demand | 119,791 | 68,585 | 57.3\% | 15,916 | 13.3\% | 7,520 | 6.3\% | 3,762 | 3.1\% | 24,008 | 20.0\% |
| General Service - Medium | 174,193 | 104,719 | 60.1\% | 24,791 | 14.2\% | 10,463 | 6.0\% | 5,776 | 3.3\% | 28,444 | 16.3\% |
| General Service - Large <30kV | 88,628 | 56,967 | 64.3\% | 13,310 | 15.0\% | 5,244 | 5.9\% | 3,259 | 3.7\% | 9,848 | 11.1\% |
| General Service - Large 30-100kV | 44,172 | 31,840 | 72.1\% | 6,797 | 15.4\% | 3,096 | 7.0\% | 2,364 | 5.4\% | 77 | 0.2\% |
| General Service - Large >100kV | 178,983 | 146,648 | 81.9\% | 30,172 | 16.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 2,131 | 1.2\% | 32 | 0.0\% |
| SEP | 1,004 | 562 | 56.0\% | 155 | 15.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 270 | 26.9\% | 16 | 1.6\% |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | 20,257 | 2,704 | 13.3\% | 461 | 2.3\% | 512 | 2.5\% | 495 | 2.4\% | 16,085 | 79.4\% |
| Total General Consumers | 1,305,917 | 712,430 | 54.6\% | 167,304 | 12.8\% | 72,562 | 5.6\% | 95,002 | 7.3\% | 258,618 | 19.8\% |
| Diesel | 9,019 | 8,432 | 93.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 587 | 6.5\% |
| Export | 277,873 | 250,021 | 90.0\% | 27,851 | 10.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Total System | 1,592,809 | 970,884 | 61.0\% | 195,156 | 12.3\% | 72,562 | 4.6\% | 95,002 | 6.0\% | 259,204 | 16.3\% |

SCHEDULE B7 RCC Impact of Methodology Changes

## Net Export Revenue

PCOSS13 results in net export revenue of $\$(15.5)$ million to be allocated to domestic customers, and $\$ 64.0$ million in PCOSS13 including methodology changes. A summary of the costs assigned or allocated to the Export class is shown in the table below:

|  | (million \$) <br> PCOSS13 (no <br> methodology change) | (million \$) <br> PCOSS13 (with <br> methodology changes) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Export Revenue | 341.9 | 341.9 |
|  |  |  |
| Less: | 22.2 | 22.2 |
| Uniform Rates | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| Affordable Energy Fund Expenditures | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Trading Desk | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| MISO Fees | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| NEB Charges | 103.0 | 103.0 |
| Purchased Power and Transmission (excl <br> wind) | 65.1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Wind Purchases | 150.8 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Allocated G\&T incl Water Rentals <br> (dependable \& opportunity) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 131.0 |
| Allocated G\&T incl Water Rentals and Wind <br> (dependable exports) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 5.1 |
| Assigned Water Rentals (opportunity exports) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0.5 |
| Variable Hydraulic Generation O\&M <br> (opportunity exports) | $(15.5)$ | 64.0 |
|  |  |  |
| Equals: Net Export Revenue |  |  |
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## Organization and Preparation of Forecast Data

This Section provides a basic review of the approaches taken to organize Manitoba Hydro’s 2012/13 forecast data for use in the PCOSS and the functionalization and classification of costs in preparation for allocation to customer classes. Allocation methods are explained in Section E. The remainder of this Section is organized as follows:

- Definitions
- Functionalization and Classification Process
- Functionalization and Classification of Capital Related Costs
- Functionalization and Classification of Operating and Administrative Costs
- Adjusted Revenue


## Definitions

Functionalization - Functionalization is the preliminary arrangement of costs according to functions performed by the electric system. Manitoba Hydro has defined its functional levels as follows:

- Generation Function - This function includes all generating facilities, HVDC facilities (excluding Dorsey Converter Station), communication facilities associated with the Generation function and a share of the administration buildings and general equipment.
- Transmission Function - Historically Transmission facilities have included the high voltage ( 100 kV and higher) grid transmission lines. With the methodology changes introduced in the PCOSS02, this has been further refined to include only transmission lines which would be recognized for inclusion in Manitoba Hydro’s Open Access Transmission Tariff. Radial Transmission facilities, including those with voltage greater than 100 kV , are included in the Subtransmission function in PCOSS13 (no methodology changes). The cost of dedicated radial transmission facilities greater than 100 kV are directly assigned in the version of PCOSS13 (with methodology changes). In addition to the transmission lines above, this function also includes: Dorsey Converter Station, the high voltage portion of substations, the
communications facilities associated with the Transmission function and a share of the administration buildings, general equipment and substation transformers in stock.
- Ancillary Services Function - Ancillary Services include specific items ${ }^{1}$ previously bundled in the Generation or Transmission function. Ancillary Services are services necessary to support the transmission of capacity and energy from resources to load while maintaining reliable operation of the Transmission provider's electrical system. A complete description of all ancillary services offered can be found in the "Functionalization and Classification of Capital Related Costs" section that follows. The costs shown for Ancillary Services in the PCOSS are those of the Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service only. Although the costs of this service are functionalized separately, they are included with Transmission for the purpose of allocation.
- Subtransmission Function - This function includes non grid/radial transmission lines (greater than 100 kV ), lower voltage ( 66 kV and 33 kV ) subtransmission lines, the low voltage portion of the substations and a share of communication equipment, administration buildings, general equipment and substation transformers in stock. These facilities are required to bring the power from the common bus network to specific load centres.
- Distribution Plant Function - This function includes the low voltage (less than 33 kV ) distribution lines, the low voltage portion of the substations, meters, metering transformers, distribution transformers and a share of communication equipment, administration buildings, general equipment, and substation transformers in stock.
- Distribution (or Customer) Services Function - This function captures all costs associated with serving the customer after delivery of the energy. This includes such costs as billing and collections, as well as other departmental costs such as Power Smart Energy Services and Rates \& Regulatory. In addition, it includes a share of administration buildings and general equipment associated with these activities.

Classification - The process of classifying functionalized costs into one or more of the following: Demand, Energy and Customer-related cost components for allocation to the classes of service. This process also enables the determination of unit demand, energy and customer costs for each customer class.

[^0]Class of Service - A group of customers having reasonably similar service characteristics, plant facilities requirements, ultimate energy use, and load patterns.

Cost Component - The term used to describe the classification of an electric utility's total operating expenses and capital investment in electric plant as Demand, Energy or Customerrelated costs.

- Customer Costs - Customer costs are costs associated with the carrying of customers on the power system, or the addition of customers to the power system.
- Energy Costs - Energy costs are costs associated with the consumption of electricity over a period of time by customers of the power system.
- Demand Costs - Demand costs are costs associated with the rate of flow of electricity demanded at one point in time and the maximum size (capacity) of facilities required to serve the demands of electric customers.


## Functionalization and Classification Process

Manitoba Hydro's COSS has been developed with reference to industry standards as well as the design and operating characteristics of its electric system. Manitoba Hydro functionalizes gross and net plant in-service for the purpose of functionalizing interest expense, capital tax, as well as the contributions to or appropriations from reserves. Manitoba Hydro does not perform a traditional allocation of rate base as a rate of return is not measured, but reserve additions required to achieve reasonable financial targets are included as a Cost of Service. Revenue to cost ratios are used to aid in the evaluation of rate levels.

## Functionalization and Classification of Capital Related Costs

In the preparation of the PCOSS the base year gross investment, that being actual plant in-service as of March 31, 2011, is first functionalized.

Functionalized gross plant investment for 2011 is set forth in Schedule C1. Plant investment is functionalized into the following areas:

- Generation
- Transmission (Domestic, Export)
- Ancillary Service
- Subtransmission
- Distribution Plant
- Distribution Services

Substations are functionalized recognizing Alternating Current ("AC") and Direct Current ("DC") facilities. All DC substations are functionalized as Generation, with the exception of Dorsey Station which is functionalized as Transmission. AC substations are functionalized as Transmission, Subtransmission or Distribution. An analysis of voltage levels, functions, current use, and related books and records of the company, is used to determine the functionalization of the numerous AC substations. Transmission lines and related facilities are functionalized on a comparable basis including analysis of voltage level, current use and function. The Transmission function is separated into facilities used solely by domestic consumers and into facilities used to interconnect Manitoba Hydro’s central transmission grid with neighbouring utilities.

As noted previously Ancillary Services are items that were formerly bundled within the Generation and Transmission function. Separation of these components is done through analysis of individual Generation and Transmission asset components.

There are six types of Ancillary Services, all of which must be offered by the Transmission provider. Of these six services, the purchaser of this service must purchase two from the Transmission provider:

- Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service - Required to schedule the movement of power from, to or within a control area;
- Reactive Supply and Voltage Control from Generation Source Service - Required to maintain Transmission voltages within acceptable limits.

The remaining four other Ancillary Services can be procured from the service provider, self supplied, or purchased from a third party:

- Regulation and Frequency Response Service - Required to provide for the continuous balance of resources (Generation and Transmission) with load and maintaining scheduled interconnections at sixty cycles per second;
- Energy Imbalance Service - Provided when differences occur between scheduled and actual delivery of energy to a load over a single hour;
- Operating Reserve - Spinning Service - Needed to serve load immediately in the event of a system contingency;
- Operating Reserve - Supplemental Reserve Service - Same as spinning reserve, but able to serve load within a short period of time.

All Distribution facilities, meters and metering transformers are functionalized as Distribution. Subtransmission facilities are analyzed by voltage level and are functionalized accordingly.

Communication facilities and equipment are functionalized as Generation, Transmission, Subtransmission and Distribution plant. The communication equipment associated with the above functions is based upon the investment in these facilities.

Buildings and other administrative facilities are treated as administration cost centres in the Financial Reporting System ("SAP"). Depreciation costs for these non-facility cost centres, as they are called in SAP, are allocated back to facility cost centres based on specific assessment cycles within the system. These assessments bring facility cost centres to full cost which can then be appropriately functionalized.

The forecast of capital additions consists of major and domestic item additions. The domestic items consist of non-blanket items (facilities specifically identified) and blanket items (facilities broadly identified). Capital items consist of gross additions, salvage material and capital contributions. The functionalization of forecast salvage material and capital contributions follows the same methodology and is treated consistently with the functionalization of gross additions with the exception of the assignment of capital contributions for the Diesel Rate Zone. These contributions are deducted from those functionalized as distribution lines. Contributions in the Diesel Zone are received primarily through work undertaken on district work orders.

Major item additions are functionalized based on the facility being constructed and included in the COS once the new asset is placed in service. Functionalization of domestic items is based on a three-year average of previous domestic item expenditures since the facilities are only broadly defined.

Included in the forecast of capital additions is salvage labour and expense which must be backed out of the forecast additions to arrive at gross investment. The financial forecast nets salvage labour and expense together by facility. The COSS replicates this process. Salvage labour and expense affects the forecast of accumulated depreciation, and historic retirement values reduce both gross investment and accumulated depreciation. Schedule C2 details the functionalized gross investment forecast for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013.

Schedule C3 shows the functionalization of accumulated depreciation forecast for fiscal year ending March 31, 2013. Accumulated depreciation for the building and general equipment asset classes are prorated based upon functionalized gross investment (opening balance). Accumulated depreciation for the remaining asset classes are functionalized on the same basis used to functionalize the gross investment.

The customer contributions are shown in Schedules C4 and C5. Unamortized customer contributions can be found in Schedule C4; whereas Schedule C5 details the functionalization of customer contribution amortization. Schedule C6 outlines the functionalized net depreciation expense. Schedule C7 shows the net investment for fiscal year end 2013.

Depreciation expense, both direct facility depreciation and allocated administrative depreciation, is separated from operating costs. The Corporation periodically undertakes a depreciation study to ensure that amortization of assets is commensurate with the actual life of a particular asset. The last such review was in fiscal year 2010/11; these revised rates are reflected in the PCOSS13. Functionalized depreciation expense is also matched and adjusted to reflect amortization of customer contributions.

Schedule C8 outlines Rate Base Investment which is used to functionalize net interest expense as well as the forecasted contribution to reserves. The rate base is the average of the net plant in-service forecast for fiscal years 2011/12 and 2012/13 with adjustments for net regulated/intangible assets (calculation of the average investment can be found in Schedule C15). Schedule C9 follows with the functionalized net interest and reserve contribution.

Schedule C10 shows the functionalization of rate base for capital tax at March 31, 2013 (gross investment less accumulated depreciation) adjusted to include net regulated/intangible expenses which is used to functionalize forecast capital tax assessment. The functionalization of the forecast capital tax assessment for 2012/13 is shown on Schedule C11.

## Functionalization and Classification of Operating and Administrative Costs

The PCOSS is based on revenue and cost data contained in the Corporation's Integrated Financial Forecast ("IFF"), supplemented with the use of Manitoba Hydro’s Financial Reporting System, SAP.

Schedule C12 outlines operating costs by function and sub-functions. As with net depreciation expense, these values are determined from the Financial Reporting System (SAP) and include allocations for administrative costs. SAP, via settlement cost centres, provides the initial
functionalization of all functional operating and maintenance costs as well as depreciation expense. Final functionalization is done off-line and includes functionalization of items such as communication system costs to all functions except customer service. Other off-line changes include classification of distribution costs into customer and demand components. This approach used to classify distribution facilities is common to regulatory practices elsewhere. The demand/customer split by component is summarized below:

|  | COST CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES | DEMAND | CUSTOMER |
| Substation | $100 \%$ |  |
| Line Transformers | $100 \%$ |  |
| Pole, Wire and Related Facilities | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Meters and Metering Transformers |  | $100 \%$ |
| Services |  | $100 \%$ |

## Adjusted Revenue

Schedule C13 details class revenue and the allocation of adjustments to arrive at class/subclass revenue contained in the PCOSS. Unadjusted revenue by rate class/subclass is taken from the Proof of Revenue calculation.

Class revenue includes an adjustment to offset any revenue reduction that resulted from implementation of the uniform rates legislation that equalized northern, urban and rural rates throughout the province. The adjustment is necessary to ensure that the cost of implementing uniform rates is broadly shared, and not solely borne by the affected classes' former Zone 1 customers through degradation of the class RCC. The class revenue reduction percentages were calculated by dividing the total revenue for each class after uniform rates by that prior to the adoption of uniform rates. The reduction percentages are applied to the forecast revenue in the study to determine the adjusted revenue for the class. While the percentages are based on a onetime calculation and are constant, the forecast revenue will vary resulting in a change of the magnitude of the adjustment between studies. In PCOSS13 the revenue adjustment is $\$ 22$ million, with the offset charged against net export revenue as per PUB Order 101/04.

The revenue reduction associated with DSM Programs is also assigned to the customer rate classes in the general consumers revenue forecast process. DSM revenue reduction by class is shown below:

| CLASS | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential | $\$ 3,062,733$ |
| General Service Small-Non-Demand | $\$ 1,483,069$ |
| General Service Small-Demand | $\$ 1,821,592$ |
| General Service Medium | $\$ 1,937,762$ |
| General Service Large: |  |
| $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | $\$ 1,049,865$ |
| $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | $\$ 523,451$ |
| $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | $\$ 2,603,826$ |
| Total DSM | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 4 8 2 , 2 9 7}$ |

The accrual adjustment represents any forecast increase in either sales or rates over the previous accrual amounts. This adjustment is allocated to the rate classes/subclasses excluding seasonal, large power customers and street lighting. No seasonal accrual is forecast for street lights and general service large $(>30 \mathrm{kV})$ customers that are billed at month end and therefore no accrual is required.

The general consumer's adjustment which is comprised primarily of late payment charges and some customer adjustments which are not identified to a specific rate class, is also allocated based upon unadjusted revenue excluding street lighting and general service large ( $>30 \mathrm{kV}$ ) customers. Although some of this revenue would apply to the general service large customers it would be minimal and clearly disproportional to sales.

Reconciliation of revenue in the IFF to that in the Cost of Service is shown on Schedule C14.
2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FUNCTIONALIZATION OF GROSS INVESTMENT

| ASSET CLASS | TOTAL GROSS INVESTMENT | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub Trans | Distribution |  | Ancillary <br> Services | Direct Allocation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lighting |  |  | Diesel |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Higho |  |
| generation | 4,861,712,041 | 4,861,712,041 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Thermal | 438,062,495 | 438,062,495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIESEL | 47,836,661 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47,836,661 |
| substation | 1,249,652,835 | 16,288,409 | 401,144,113 | 91,497,443 | 221,821,317 | 504,376,685 |  | 14,524,868 |  |  |
| - HVDC | 1,218,004,734 | 586,539,724 | 631,465,010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSMISSION | 602,765,811 |  | 302,598,784 | 126,831,693 | 173,335,334 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - HVDC | 192,946,343 | 192,946,343 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| distribution | 2,247,341,995 |  |  |  |  | 2,092,305,306 |  |  | 151,667,021 | 3,369,668 |
| SUBTRANSMISSIION | 276,497,731 |  |  |  | 264,981,017 | 11,516,714 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -substation | 21,199,631 | 279,573 | 6,885,203 | 1,570,454 | 3,807,322 | 8,657,079 |  |  |  |  |
| - distribution | 11,812,938 |  |  |  |  | 11,812,938 |  |  |  |  |
| METERS | 46,849,241 |  |  |  |  | 46,849,241 |  |  |  |  |
| BUILDINGS | 443,897,600 | 186,983,237 | 55,605,411 | 9,110,849 | 27,697,787 | 78,172,535 | 79,023,085 |  | 6,682,520 | 622,176 |
| COMMUNICATION | 395,068,196 | 90,410,805 | 33,437,938 | 10,183,176 | 71,073,506 | 95,401,328 |  | 94,561,443 |  |  |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 165,046,500 | 69,620,211 | 20,703,784 | 3,392,278 | 10,312,827 | 29,106,291 | 29,422,979 |  | 2,488,129 |  |
| Subtotal | 12,218,694,752 | 6,442,842,838 | 1,451,840,244 | 242,585,894 | 773,029,111 | 2,878,198,116 | 108,446,064 | 109,086,311 | 160,837,670 | 51,828,505 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 173,652,840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FIXED ASSETS | 12,392,347,592 | 6,442,842,838 | 1,451,840,244 | 242,585,894 | 773,029,111 | 2,878,198,116 | 108,446,064 | 109,086,311 | 160,837,670 | 51,828,505 |

[^1]| Asset Class | Total | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 6,589,069,279 | 6,589,069,279 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | 446,661,101 | 446,661,101 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 48,361,693 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 48,361,693 |
| SUBSTATION | 1,584,361,511 | 17,324,080 | 604,614,102 | 92,199,900 | 265,062,466 | 590,636,095 | - | 14,524,868 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 1,298,513,089 | 613,143,287 | 685,369,802 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 795,750,253 | - | 470,488,910 | 148,721,440 | 176,539,903 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 192,946,343 | 192,946,343 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 2,520,858,688 | - | - | - | - | 2,355,395,173 | - | - | 162,093,847 | 3,369,668 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 314,664,343 | - | - | - | 303,147,629 | 11,516,714 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 21,199,631 | 279,573 | 6,885,203 | 1,570,454 | 3,807,322 | 8,657,079 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 11,812,938 | - | - | - | - | 11,812,938 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 52,253,746 | - | - | - | - | 52,253,746 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 457,372,587 | 192,667,280 | 57,295,742 | 9,387,806 | 28,539,764 | 80,548,877 | 81,425,282 | - | 6,885,660 | 622,176 |
| COMMUNICATION | 441,517,995 | 101,040,777 | 37,369,374 | 11,380,454 | 79,429,912 | 106,618,056 | - | 105,679,422 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 232,787,505 | 98,194,844 | 29,201,359 | 4,784,591 | 14,545,582 | 41,052,557 | 41,499,226 | - | 3,509,347 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 15,008,130,702 | 8,251,326,563 | 1,891,224,494 | 268,044,646 | 871,072,577 | 3,258,491,233 | 122,924,508 | 120,204,290 | 172,488,854 | 52,353,537 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 204,199,483 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FIXED ASSETS | 15,212,330,184 | 8,251,326,563 | 1,891,224,494 | 268,044,646 | 871,072,577 | 3,258,491,233 | 122,924,508 | 120,204,290 | 172,488,854 | 52,353,537 |

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALLZATION OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2013

| Asset Class | Accum Depn by Asset Class | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub Trans | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 1,767,546,201 | 1,767,546,201 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | 238,958,724 | 238,958,724 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 39,182,656 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 39,182,656 |
| SUBSTATION | 570,132,176 | 11,694,744 | 174,444,924 | 31,400,451 | 115,790,296 | 223,251,447 | - | 13,550,313 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 754,807,995 | 371,604,378 | 383,203,617 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 231,504,935 | - | 124,004,026 | 54,052,626 | 53,448,283 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 83,317,284 | 83,317,284 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 1,021,471,070 | - | - | - | - | 934,503,773 | - | - | 84,779,242 | 2,188,055 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 115,959,467 | - | - | - | 110,985,106 | 4,974,361 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 11,656,245 | 252,012 | 3,578,310 | 667,305 | 2,445,119 | 4,713,499 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 3,129,638 | - | - | - | - | 3,129,638 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 23,196,114 | - | - | - | - | 23,196,114 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 54,456,553 | 22,888,106 | 6,806,506 | 1,115,234 | 3,390,410 | 9,568,886 | 9,673,000 | - | 817,989 | 196,421 |
| COMMUNICATION | 163,899,459 | 40,882,554 | 12,992,252 | 3,548,379 | 29,244,998 | 33,243,076 | - | 43,988,199 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 97,399,127 | 41,085,075 | 12,217,954 | 2,001,890 | 6,085,924 | 17,176,537 | 17,363,425 | - | 1,468,323 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 5,176,617,644 | 2,578,229,078 | 717,247,590 | 92,785,885 | 321,390,136 | 1,253,757,331 | 27,036,425 | 57,538,512 | 87,065,555 | 41,567,132 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 80,427,648 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ACCUM DEPRECIATION | 5,257,045,292 | 2,578,229,078 | 717,247,590 | 92,785,885 | 321,390,136 | 1,253,757,331 | 27,036,425 | 57,538,512 | 87,065,555 | 41,567,132 |

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS
UNAMORITIZED BALANCE
FORECAST YEAR ENDINGMARCH 31, 2013

| Asset Class | Unamortized Capital Contribution | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services |  | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 475,745 | 475,745 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| SUBSTATION | 24,221,806 | - | 3,588,971 | - | 2,133,393 | 18,499,442 |  |  | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 63,057,025 | - | 1,903,194 | 316,000 | 60,837,832 | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 51,851 | 51,851 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 201,139,858 | - | - | - | - | 172,688,424 |  |  | - | 28,119,697 | 331,737 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 12,242,531 | - | - | - | 12,242,531 | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| METERS | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| COMMUNICATION | 281,094 | 37,438 | 140,541 | 37,377 | 15,009 | 50,729 |  |  | - | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 186,684 | 186,684 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 301,656,595 | 751,717 | 5,632,707 | 353,376 | 75,228,765 | 191,238,595 | - |  | - | 28,119,697 | 331,737 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL UNAMORTIZED CONTRIBS | 301,656,595 | 751,717 | 5,632,707 | 353,376 | 75,228,765 | 191,238,595 |  |  | - | 28,119,697 | 331,737 |

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS
ANNUAL AMORITIZATION
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31,2013

| Asset Class | Annual Amortization Contribution | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub - <br> Transmission | Distribution |  |  | Ancillary <br> Services |  | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  |  |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 2,492 | 2,492 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Thermal | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIESEL | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBSTATION | 1,235,339 |  | 164,695 |  | 85,291 | 985,352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - HVDC | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSMISSION | 1,356,006 |  | 30,197 | 4,741 | 1,321,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - HVDC | 820 | 820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRIBUTION | 4,959,027 |  |  |  |  | 3,413,979 |  |  |  |  | 1,511,700 | 33,348 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 365,969 |  |  |  | 365,969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - DISTRIBUTION | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| METERS | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUILDINGS | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMUNICATION | 13,966 | 1,860 | 6,983 | 1,857 | 746 | 2,520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 18,226 | 18,226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 7,951,844 | 23,398 | 201,875 | 6,598 | 1,773,074 | 4,401,852 |  | - |  | - | 1,511,700 | 33,348 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ANNUAL AMORT. | 7,951,844 | 23,398 | 201,875 | 6,598 | 1,773,074 | 4,401,852 |  | - |  | - | 1,511,700 | 33,348 |

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2013

| Asset Class | Net Investment | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 4,821,047,333 | 4,821,047,333 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | 207,702,377 | 207,702,377 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 9,179,037 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9,179,037 |
| SUBSTATION | 990,007,529 | 5,629,336 | 426,580,206 | 60,799,449 | 147,138,778 | 348,885,205 | - | 974,555 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 543,705,094 | 241,538,910 | 302,166,184 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 501,188,292 | - | 344,581,690 | 94,352,815 | 62,253,787 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 109,577,208 | 109,577,208 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRIBUTION | 1,298,247,760 | - | - | - | - | 1,248,202,976 | - | - | 49,194,908 | 849,876 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 186,462,345 | - | - | - | 179,919,992 | 6,542,353 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 9,543,386 | 27,561 | 3,306,893 | 903,149 | 1,362,203 | 3,943,579 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 8,683,300 | - | - | - | - | 8,683,300 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 29,057,632 | - | - | - | - | 29,057,632 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 402,916,034 | 169,779,173 | 50,489,236 | 8,272,572 | 25,149,353 | 70,979,991 | 71,752,283 | - | 6,067,671 | 425,755 |
| COMMUNICATION | 277,337,442 | 60,120,785 | 24,236,581 | 7,794,699 | 50,169,904 | 73,324,251 | - | 61,691,223 | - | - |
| GENERALEQUIPMENT | 135,201,694 | 56,923,085 | 16,983,406 | 2,782,701 | 8,459,658 | 23,876,020 | 24,135,801 | - | 2,041,023 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 9,529,856,463 | 5,672,345,767 | 1,168,344,197 | 174,905,385 | 474,453,676 | 1,813,495,307 | 95,888,083 | 62,665,778 | 57,303,602 | 10,454,667 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 123,771,834 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL NET INVESTMENT | 9,653,628,298 | 5,672,345,767 | 1,168,344,197 | 174,905,385 | 474,453,676 | 1,813,495,307 | 95,888,083 | 62,665,778 | 57,303,602 | 10,454,667 |

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF RATE BASE INVESTMENT

| Asset Class | Rate Base Investment | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 4,575,185,812 | 4,575,185,812 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | 212,606,521 | 212,606,521 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 14,199,030 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14,199,030 |
| SUBSTATION | 960,526,461 | 5,306,005 | 416,572,279 | 61,802,742 | 133,481,611 | 342,325,058 | - | 1,038,766 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 541,595,018 | 243,884,992 | 297,710,025 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 509,012,587 | - | 351,860,199 | 89,857,463 | 67,294,925 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 111,101,553 | 111,101,553 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 1,294,528,852 | - | - | - | - | 1,242,483,401 | - | - | 51,179,548 | 865,903 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 185,212,157 | - | - | - | 178,413,811 | 6,798,346 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 9,882,552 | 32,034 | 3,417,047 | 928,274 | 1,423,115 | 4,082,081 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 8,858,955 | - | - | - | - | 8,858,955 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 30,348,334 | - | - | - | - | 30,348,334 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 402,289,805 | 169,513,072 | 50,410,102 | 8,259,606 | 25,109,936 | 70,868,741 | 71,639,823 | - | 6,058,161 | 430,365 |
| COMMUNICATION | 281,589,232 | 61,094,469 | 24,593,545 | 7,903,543 | 50,935,693 | 74,351,402 | - | 62,710,581 | - | - |
| GENERALEQUIPMENT | 215,066,750 | 90,606,638 | 27,002,991 | 4,424,393 | 13,450,546 | 37,961,994 | 38,375,036 | - | 3,245,152 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 9,352,003,620 | 5,469,331,095 | 1,171,566,190 | 173,176,021 | 470,109,636 | 1,818,078,312 | 110,014,859 | 63,749,347 | 60,482,862 | 15,495,298 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 120,491,391 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rate Base Investment | 9,472,495,011 | 5,469,331,095 | 1,171,566,190 | 173,176,021 | 470,109,636 | 1,818,078,312 | 110,014,859 | 63,749,347 | 60,482,862 | 15,495,298 |


FUNCTIONALIZATION OF INTEREST EXPENSE \& RESERVE CONTRIBUTION

| Asset Class | Interest \& ReserveExpense | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 207,469,822 | 207,469,822 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| -THERMAL | 9,641,015 | 9,641,015 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 643,880 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 643,880 |
| SUBSTATION | 43,556,756 | 240,610 | 18,890,200 | 2,802,554 | 6,052,958 | 15,523,330 | - | 47,105 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 24,559,576 | 11,059,393 | 13,500,183 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 23,082,068 | - | 15,955,718 | 4,074,744 | 3,051,606 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 5,038,095 | 5,038,095 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 58,702,680 | - | - | - | - | 56,342,588 | - | - | 2,320,826 | 39,266 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 8,398,770 | - | - | - | 8,090,487 | 308,283 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 448,142 | 1,453 | 154,952 | 42,094 | 64,534 | 185,109 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 401,725 | - | - | - | - | 401,725 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 1,376,198 | - | - | - | - | 1,376,198 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 18,242,537 | 7,686,867 | 2,285,934 | 374,546 | 1,138,654 | 3,213,667 | 3,248,633 | - | 274,718 | 19,516 |
| COMMUNICATION | 12,769,157 | 2,770,436 | 1,115,237 | 358,400 | 2,309,768 | 3,371,595 | - | 2,843,721 | - | - |
| GENERALEQUIPMENT | 9,752,579 | 4,108,717 | 1,224,498 | 200,632 | 609,939 | 1,721,453 | 1,740,183 | - | 147,157 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 424,083,000 | 248,016,407 | 53,126,723 | 7,852,970 | 21,317,946 | 82,443,948 | 4,988,817 | 2,890,826 | 2,742,701 | 702,661 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Interest Exp Allocated | 424,083,000 | 248,016,407 | 53,126,723 | 7,852,970 | 21,317,946 | 82,443,948 | 4,988,817 | 2,890,826 | 2,742,701 | 702,661 |

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF RATE BASE FOR CAPITAL TAX
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31,2013

| Asset Class | Rate Based for Capital Tax | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 5,030,404,673 | 5,030,404,673 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| -THERMAL | 207,702,377 | 207,702,377 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 13,320,042 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13,320,042 |
| SUBSTATION | 990,820,796 | 5,639,936 | 426,841,269 | 60,858,996 | 147,283,138 | 349,213,451 | - | 984,008 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 543,705,094 | 241,538,910 | 302,166,184 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 516,121,887 | - | 352,078,611 | 97,495,085 | 66,548,191 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 109,577,208 | 109,577,208 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 1,325,750,585 | - | - | - | - | 1,273,846,923 | - | - | 51,053,786 | 849,876 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 189,793,483 | - | - | - | 183,112,381 | 6,681,102 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 9,543,386 | 27,561 | 3,306,893 | 903,149 | 1,362,203 | 3,943,579 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 8,683,300 | - | - | - | - | 8,683,300 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 29,057,632 | - | - | - | - | 29,057,632 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 402,990,400 | 169,810,543 | 50,498,565 | 8,274,101 | 25,154,000 | 70,993,105 | 71,765,540 | - | 6,068,792 | 425,755 |
| COMMUNICATION | 281,804,610 | 61,143,090 | 24,614,675 | 7,909,843 | 50,973,556 | 74,402,985 | - | 62,760,461 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 220,623,287 | 92,955,775 | 27,698,872 | 4,538,411 | 13,797,173 | 38,940,294 | 39,363,980 | - | 3,328,782 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 9,879,898,761 | 5,918,800,072 | 1,187,205,069 | 179,979,585 | 488,230,642 | 1,855,762,372 | 111,129,521 | 63,744,469 | 60,451,360 | 14,595,672 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate Base for Capital Tax | 9,879,898,761 | 5,918,800,072 | 1,187,205,069 | 179,979,585 | 488,230,642 | 1,855,762,372 | 111,129,521 | 63,744,469 | 60,451,360 | 14,595,672 |


| 2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FUNCTIONALIZATION OF CAPITALTAX FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Transmission |  | $-\underset{\text { Sub- }}{\text { Sub }-}$ | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| Asset Class | Capital Tax | Generation | Transmi | $\frac{\text { ion }}{\text { Export }}$ |  | Distrib Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 27,671,582 | 27,671,582 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | 1,142,543 | 1,142,543 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 73,272 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73,272 |
| SUBSTATION | 5,450,373 | 31,025 | 2,347,997 | 334,777 | 810,185 | 1,920,976 | - | 5,413 | - |  |
| - HVDC | 2,990,849 | 1,328,673 | 1,662,176 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 2,839,117 | - | 1,936,737 | 536,307 | 366,073 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 602,770 | 602,770 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 7,292,776 | - | - | - | - | 7,007,261 | - | - | 280,840 | 4,675 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 1,044,029 | - | - | - | 1,007,277 | 36,752 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 52,497 | 152 | 18,191 | 4,968 | 7,493 | 21,693 | - | - | - | - |
| - distribution | 47,766 | - | - | - | - | 47,766 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 159,842 | - | - | - | - | 159,842 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 2,216,796 | 934,105 | 277,786 | 45,515 | 138,369 | 390,524 | 394,773 | - | 33,384 | 2,342 |
| COMMUNICATION | 1,550,169 | 336,340 | 135,402 | 43,511 | 280,399 | 409,281 | - | 345,237 | - |  |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 1,213,619 | 511,337 | 152,368 | 24,965 | 75,896 | 214,205 | 216,536 | - | 18,311 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 54,348,000 | 32,558,527 | 6,530,656 | 990,044 | 2,685,691 | 10,208,300 | 611,309 | 350,650 | 332,535 | 80,289 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Tax Allocation | 54,348,000 | 32,558,527 | 6,530,656 | 990,044 | 2,685,691 | 10,208,300 | 611,309 | 350,650 | 332,535 | 80,289 |

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE

2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
ADJUSTED REVENUE INCLUDING DSM REDUCTION @ APPROVED RATES

| Revenue Class | Unadjusted Revenue | $\begin{gathered} \text { To Operating } \\ \text { Expense } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | To Export Revenue | Other <br> Accrual | General <br> Consumer <br> Adjustment | Total adjusted Revenue | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Export Adj } \\ \text { to Offset } \\ \text { Uniform Rates } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total Revenue After Uniform Rates Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 522,599,532 |  |  | 528,984 | 2,819,043 | 525,947,559 | 18,366,089 | 544,313,647 |
| Seasonal | 7,217,605 |  |  |  | 38,934 | 7,256,539 | 1,421,556 | 8,678,095 |
| Water Heating | 1,168,828 |  |  | 1,183 | 6,305 | 1,176,316 |  | 1,176,316 |
|  | 530,985,964 |  |  | 530,167 | 2,864,282 | 534,380,413 | 19,787,645 | 554,168,058 |
| General Service - Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non Demand | 126,104,924 |  |  | 127,645 | 680,244 | 126,912,814 | 1,673,980 | 128,586,794 |
| Seasonal | 529,734 |  |  |  | 2,858 | 532,591 | 38,075 | 570,666 |
| Water Heating | 508,722 |  |  | 515 | 2,744 | 511,981 |  | 511,981 |
| Total Non Demand | 127,143,379 |  |  | 128,160 | 685,846 | 127,957,386 | 1,712,055 | 129,669,441 |
| Demand | 123,257,579 |  |  | 124,763 | 664,885 | 124,047,227 | 395,711 | 124,442,938 |
|  | 123,257,579 |  | - | 124,763 | 664,885 | 124,047,227 | 395,711 | 124,442,938 |
| SEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSM | 816,405 |  |  | 826 | 4,404 | 821,635 |  | 821,635 |
| GSL | 72,456 |  |  |  |  | 72,456 |  | 72,456 |
|  | 888,861 |  |  | 826 | 4,404 | 894,091 | - | 894,091 |
| General Service - Medium | 173,020,793 |  |  | 175,134 | 933,321 | 174,129,248 | 38,308 | 174,167,557 |
|  | 173,020,793 |  |  | 175,134 | 933,321 | 174,129,248 | 38,308 | 174,167,557 |

General Service - Large
0-30 Kv
$30-100 \mathrm{Kv}$
$31-100 \mathrm{Kv}$ Curtailable
Over - 100 Kv
Over - 100 Kv
Over-100 Kv Curtailable Area \& Roadway Lighting
Street Lighting
Sentinel Lighting
Diesel
Residential
Full Cost
Construction Power

| 0-30 Kv | 81,899,184 |  |  | 82,900 | 441,786 | 82,423,870 |  | 82,423,870 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30-100 Kv | 34,242,560 |  |  |  |  | 34,242,560 |  | 34,242,560 |
| 31-100 Kv Curtailable | 8,350,273 |  |  |  |  | 8,350,273 |  | 8,350,273 |
| Over-100 Kv | 108,151,915 |  |  |  |  | 108,151,915 |  | 108,151,915 |
| Over - 100 Kv Curtailable | 71,758,509 |  |  |  |  | 71,758,509 |  | 71,758,509 |
|  | 304,402,441 |  |  | 82,900 | 441,786 | 304,927,127 | - | 304,927,127 |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Street Lighting | 17,499,565 |  |  |  |  | 17,499,565 | 232,819 | 17,732,385 |
| Sentinel Lighting | 2,869,044 |  |  | 2,904 | 15,476 | 2,887,425 |  | 2,887,425 |
|  | 20,368,609 |  | - | 2,904 | 15,476 | 20,386,990 | 232,819 | 20,619,809 |
| Diesel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 574,500 |  |  |  |  | 574,500 |  | 574,500 |
| Full Cost | 5,472,348 |  |  |  |  | 5,472,348 |  | 5,472,348 |
|  | 6,046,848 |  |  | - | - | 6,046,848 | - | 6,046,848 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Power | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gen. Consumers Before Adj | 1,286,114,474 |  | - | 1,044,855 | 5,610,000 | 1,292,769,329 | 22,166,538 | 1,314,935,868 |
| Accrual- Other | 1,044,855 |  |  | $(1,044,855)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous - Non-Energy | 561,000 |  | $(561,000)$ |  | - | - |  |  |
| Late Pmt Charges \& Cust Adj | 5,610,000 |  |  |  | $(5,610,000)$ | - |  |  |
| Total General Consumers | 1,293,330,329 | - | $(561,000)$ | - | - | 1,292,769,329 | 22,166,538 | 1,314,935,868 |
| Extra-Provincial | 341,167,000 |  | 684,000 |  |  | 341,851,000 |  | 341,851,000 |
| Other (Non Energy net of Subs) | 15,706,000 | $(15,583,000)$ | $(123,000)$ |  |  | - |  |  |
| Total Revenue | 1,650,203,329 | $(15,583,000)$ | - | - | - | 1,634,620,329 | 22,166,538 | 1,656,786,868 |

SCHEDULE C14
Reconciliation to Financial Forecast

## MANITOBA HYDRO PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING <br> MARCH 31, 2013

## RECONCILIATION TO FINANCIAL FORECAST (In Millions of Dollars)

## Reconciliation of Revenue

As per Financial Forecast:
General Consumers Revenue 1,290.4
Additional GCR 45.3
Extra Provincial Revenue 341.2
Other Revenue (non-energy)
Total Revenue Per Financial Forecast
\$ 1,692.5

Cost of Service Adjustments
a. Transfer of Other Revenue (non-energy) to Operating
b. Uniform Rates Adjustment
c. Revenue Adjustment/Recognition of 1\% Rollback/Sept 1, 2012 Increase

Total Revenue Per Cost of Service Study
\$ 1,656.8

# MANITOBA HYDRO <br> PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2013 

## RATE BASE CALCULATION AND REGULATED/INTANGIBLE ITEMS (In Millions of Dollars)

Allocation of net interest expense and reserve contribution is based upon average net plant in-
service forecast for fiscal years 2012 and 2013 adjusted for net regulated/intangible items and net
major capital additions forecast to come into service during fiscal year
included on an in-service date basis. This calculation is summarized below:

Net Investment (Excluding Motor Vehicles)
Add: Total Net Regulated/Intangible Items
Less: Major Capital Item Additions 2013

Average Investment (2012 + 2013) $\div 2$
Add: Major Capital Item Additions 2013 on an in-service date basis
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SECTION D: LOAD INFORMATION

# MANITOBA HYDRO <br> PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING 

MARCH 31, 2013

Load data used in the preparation of the PCOSS for 2012/13 has been estimated using forecast energy and peak demand from the System Load Forecast and available class load information.

In PCOSS10 Manitoba Hydro introduced the use of averaged results from multiple Load Research studies to minimize year-to-year variation in the factors used to estimate class demands. The average will be based on the past eight Load Research studies, and will be phased in as data becomes available.

Load research data is used to estimate the average top 50 hourly peaks during both the summer and winter. Class data for $2005 / 06$ to $2010 / 11$ is used in the PCOSS to estimate this average seasonal class demand. Load research data used to estimate non-coincident peaks are based on the eight year average of 2003/04 to 2010/11 data.

Also included is a forecast of energy and capacity savings to be achieved through DSM Programs. For 2012/13 the DSM savings are forecast to be 293 GW.h and 58 MW at generation, or 261 and 51 measured at the meter.

Schedule D1 outlines Manitoba Hydro's calculation of forecast demand for the 2012/13 fiscal year. Forecast consumption by rate class is shown seasonally; seasonal energies are displayed to demonstrate the calculation of the demand allocator. The average of winter and summer demands (2 CP) is used to allocate Transmission related costs.

Generation costs are allocated based on energies weighted by relative value of SEP energy in each of the twelve time of use periods: Winter Peak/Off-Peak/Shoulder, Spring Peak/OffPeak/Shoulder, Summer Peak/Off-Peak/Shoulder and Fall Peak/Off-Peak/Shoulder. The development of these allocators is outlined in Schedule D2.

Schedule D3 shows the computation of expected Transmission and Distribution losses on Manitoba Hydro’s Integrated System. Common bus energy and coincident peak losses of 2,186,638 MWh and 357.1 MW respectively have been taken from the 2011 Electric Load Forecast and adjusted to reflect forecast DSM savings. These losses apply to forecast Manitoba Hydro firm energy and peak. Distribution energy losses are simply the difference between sales
at meters and energy at common bus. Distribution losses at time of system peak are calculated in Schedule D4 based on the approach used by Mr. M. W. Gustafson in his article, "Approximating the System Loss Equation". The adjustment factor of $-13 \%$ for temperature reflects the reduction in the resistivity of conductors between $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ being the average Winnipeg temperature and the ambient temperature on the peak load day usually being around $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Schedule D3 also shows the difference between total coincident peak calculated by applying class load factors in the PCOSS13 from the system peak forecasted in the 2011 Electric Load Forecast for the 2013 fiscal year. This difference of 110 MW is applied as an adjustment to all classes’ estimated coincident peak based upon Load Research results.

Schedule D5 summarizes the load data and computations for all customer classes. The sources of data and derivation of estimates are elaborated upon below.

## Assignment of Losses

In order to properly reflect cost causation in allocating energy and capacity costs, energy sales and demand must be measured at Generation as opposed to the meter. This is accomplished by assigning Distribution and Transmission losses to each of the rate classes based upon the voltage level in which they receive service.

In this process, Distribution energy losses are assigned first. Customers receiving service at greater than 30 kV have been assigned losses based upon a uniform percentage of metered sales (1.5\%). Customers receiving service at supply voltage less than 30 kV share in the residual losses. A differential percentage has been assigned depending upon whether service is taken at primary or secondary voltage level. General Service Small - Three Phase, General Service Medium and General Service Large are assumed to receive service at a primary service level, while Residential, Area and Roadway Lighting and General Service Small - Single Phase are assumed to receive service at the secondary level. Capacity losses on the Distribution system are assigned in a similar manner.

The table below summarizes the assignment of the Distribution energy loss differential and Schedule D6 shows the results of this assignment based upon sales at the meter for both energy and capacity.

| Residual Losses Assigned on a Differential Percentage Basis |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Secondary | $+1.6 \%$ |
| Primary - Utility-owned transformation | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Primary - Customer-owned transformation | $-1.0 \%$ |

Transmission losses are shared equally by all rate classes based upon deliveries from common bus, i.e., sales at the meter plus assigned distribution losses.

## Load Research Project

Manitoba Hydro has made a commitment to an active program of electric load research. One of the reasons for undertaking this program is to support Cost of Service Studies with particular emphasis on cost causation to aid in rate design. In addition, the program is to support an aggressive DSM Program through improved end-use load and energy data and to support the Load Forecast function as it adopts forecasting methods which rely on end-use based procedures to forecast sales and loads by customer class.

For Cost of Service/Rate Design, there are twelve groups overall for which the project is to provide demand and energy estimates with known precision, i.e., $90 \%$ confidence with an accuracy of $\pm 10 \%$. To obtain this objective, a sample size of 1,351 customers was selected from Manitoba Hydro’s various customer classes. Normally all General Service Large 30-100 kV and $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ customers are sampled , however in 2010-11 three new customer loads were added to the General Service Large 30-100 kV class, and as there was incomplete data for the period under study, an estimated demand load shape was produced. There was no meter data available for one General Service Large $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ customer due to an equipment failure so an estimated demand load shape was produced.

## Development of Class Loads

## 1. Residential Class

The 2012/13 forecast kWh sales to the Residential Class and the forecast number of customers are taken from the 2011 Electric Load Forecast. Load Forecasting provides separate information for Flat Rate Water Heating and Seasonal customers.

In Schedule D5, energy sales have been reduced by the forecast savings of 45 GW.h applicable to the residential DSM Programs before energy at the customer meter is grossed up by Distribution losses and Transmission losses to yield estimated energy generated to serve the various subclasses of the Residential Class.

The coincident peak demand at the customer meters has been estimated by applying coincident peak load factors to the kWh sales. Coincident peak load factors have been developed from data from the last three load research studies, and are based on the average top 50 hourly peaks during the winter and summer seasons.

The Flat Rate Water Heating Class coincident demand is estimated on the basis of 1 kW customer peak and $80 \%$ coincident factor of individual customers with the system peak.

The Seasonal Class coincident peak load factor is taken from previous Peak Load Responsibility studies as new information from Load Research is limited. The coincident peak load factor was previously determined to be $157.8 \%$.

The estimated coincident peaks at the meter have been adjusted by 89.6 MW to incorporate Residential's share of the total calibration factor derived in Schedule D3. The applicable share of each class's contribution to the calibration factor is provided by the error margin in the Load Research sample.

These loads have been reduced by the forecast capacity savings of 10.8 MW to be achieved through the residential DSM Programs.

Estimated Distribution losses and Transmission losses are applied to provide the estimate of class coincident peak at Generation. Load Research results are then applied to yield class non-coincident peaks at meter and at generation.

## 2. General Service Small Class

The General Service Small class consists of two major subgroups; customers who are demand metered (General Service Small Demand, load over 50 kV.A billing demand, but not exceeding $200 \mathrm{kV} . \mathrm{A}$ ) and those with no demand meters (General Service Small NonDemand, load less than 50 kV .A billing demand). In addition, loads shown in Schedule D5 have been separated into single phase and three phase based upon 2011 data. Also shown are loads for small subgroups: Water Heating and Seasonal.

As with the Residential Class, General Service Small kWh sales and customer counts are taken from the 2011 Electric Load Forecast and further processed to yield the rate subgroup forecasts shown in Schedule D5. Also similarly, the sales have been reduced by the forecast DSM energy and capacity savings of 66.3 GW.h and 16.2 MW before being grossed up to include Distribution and Transmission losses.

For the General Service Small classes the coincident peak load factors were determined using load research information, with the same load factors applied to both single and three phase customers.

Coincident peak load factors for the small subgroups have been estimated using approaches similar to those employed for past studies as new information from Load Research is limited. The Seasonal coincident peak load factor of $162.3 \%$ is the same as used in previous studies.

The estimated coincident peaks at the meter have been adjusted by 13.9 MW to reflect General Service Small share of the adjustment required to reconcile coincident peak at meter.

The coincident peak load factors are used to derive class coincident peak's at the meter. Distribution and Transmission peak MW losses are added to give coincident peak at Generation. Finally, class coincidence factors, based on the load research information have been applied to derive class non-coincident peaks.

## 3. General Service Medium

General Service Medium includes customers with demands between 200 and 2,000 kV.A, who are served through utility-owned transformation. All these customers are demand metered. A few, mainly those served above distribution voltages, have historically been
metered with recording pulse meters which provide a permanent record of 15 -minute interval demands. Currently there are 282 pulse metered customers included in the Load Research sample.

Customer and kWh sales data are derived from the load forecast and apportioned among service voltages on the basis of recent past experience. DSM savings of $41.8 \mathrm{GW} . \mathrm{h}$ and 8.7 MW have been assigned to this class.

General Service Medium estimated coincident peaks at the meter have been adjusted by 6.7 MW to reflect their share of the adjustment required to reconcile coincident peak at the meter.

Most General Service Medium customers are served at Distribution voltages and therefore are assigned responsibility for the same percentage losses as General Service Small three phase customers.

## 4. General Service Large

For customers in this class load information has been historically available. Seventy-six percent of the customers in the $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ subclass, $92 \%$ of the customers in the $30-100$ kV subclass and $94 \%$ of the customers in the over 100 kV subclass are pulse metered.

The estimated coincident peaks at the meter have been adjusted by 0.0 MW to reflect General Service Large’s share of the adjustment required to reconcile coincident peak at meter.

DSM savings assigned to this class total 107.8 GW.h and 15.4 MW.

Customers over 100 kV in this class are not assigned distribution losses. For customers served at 30-100 kV distribution energy losses are equal to $1.5 \%$ of sales.

## 5. Surplus Energy Program

Surplus Energy Program ("SEP") energy sales are taken from the 2011 Electric Load Forecast. Customers and forecast energy have been separated into service voltage levels and into utility or customer owned transformation.

Distribution and Transmission losses are assigned consistent with the other rate classifications, service voltage levels and transformation ownership.

## 6. Area and Roadway Lighting

## Sentinel Lights

Sentinel light energy consumption and customer count are taken from the 2011 Electric Load Forecast. The class non-coincident peak results from the total wattage of luminaires served. Load Research indicates that these luminaires are lighted, on average $38.2 \%$ of the peak 50 hours, with a class coincident peak of $119.7 \%$. These factors are applied to forecast energy to yield forecast peaks for the class.

No DSM savings have been assigned to Sentinel Lighting class as past DSM is now fully reflected in load forecast estimates.

Sentinel lights are served from the Distribution system and are therefore assigned the same energy and peak loss percentage as the Residential Class.

## Street Lights

Street light energy consumption forecast for 2012/13 is based on the inventory wattage multiplied by 4,252 hours of use per year, a figure based on Load Research results. The customer count is based on June 2011 actual billing data plus forecast additions to the system of 760 lights to year end 2013. Street lights also show a class coincident peak load factor of $119.7 \%$ and coincidence factor of $38.2 \%$. No DSM savings have been assigned to these customers as past DSM is already fully reflected in inventory wattage data.

## 7. Export Class

Forecast Export energy in PCOSS13 includes 7,340 GWh in sales, which equals 7,998 GWh at Generation after adding back transmission losses of 658 GWh

Export energy sales used to determine 'Seasonal 2CP Demand' in Schedule D1 has been reduced by the forecast 153 GWh of US On Peak purchases in PCOSS13 (with no methodology changes). These purchases are assumed to serve On Peak US sales in a median flow year, and would not physically use Manitoba Hydro's Transmission system.

Only dependable export energy sales of $3,588 \mathrm{GWh}, 3,910 \mathrm{GWh}$ after allocation of losses, are used to determine 'Seasonal 2CP Demand' in Schedule D7 as only dependable sales attract embedded transmission costs in this methodology.

Export energy sales in Schedule D2 '12 Period Marginal Cost Weighted Energy’ has been reduced for $3,497 \mathrm{GWh}$ in Imports, including wind purchases, deemed to serve export markets in PCOSS13 (with no methodology changes). Export energy sales in Schedule D8 represent the dependable export sales of $3,909 \mathrm{GWh}$, and are not adjusted for import purchases in PCOSS13 (with methodology changes).
2013 Pros pective Cost of Service Study
Prospective Peak Load Responsibility Report
Seasonal Coincident Peaks（2 CP）at Generation Peak
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SCHEDULE D2
Prospective Peak Load Responsibility Report Energy (kWh) - No Methodology Changes
Weighted by Marginal Cost


# MANITOBA HYDRO <br> PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY <br> March 31, 2013 

## CALCULATION OF LOSSES

| ENERGY (in MWh) | MANITOBA <br> HYDRO |
| :---: | :---: |
| Firm Energy at Generation (After DSM) | 24,934,175,669 |
| Common Bus Losses (After DSM) | 2,186,638,213 |
| Deliveries From Common Bus | 22,747,537,456 |
| Sales at Meter | 21,805,819,508 |
| Distribution Losses | 941,717,948 |
| DEMAND (in MW) | MANITOBA <br> HYDRO |
| Firm Peak Capacity At Generation (After DSM) | 4,430.70 |
| Common Bus Losses (After DSM) | 357.11 |
| Deliveries From Common Bus | 4,073.59 |
| Calculated Distribution Losses | 228.27 |
| Calculated Demand at Meter (CP Load Factors) | 3,735.12 |
| Less: Adj made for curtailable load added back | - |
| Adjustment To Reconcile | 110.20 |

```
    MANITOBA HYDRO
2013 PRO SPEC TIVE C O ST OF SERVIC E STUDY
            March 31, }201
DEIERMINATION OF COINCIDENT PEAK DISTRIBUTION LOSSES
```

1) ENERGY SALES AND TOTAL LOSSES ON DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

| Sales | Losses | Energy @ <br> Common Bus |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RESIDENTIAL | $7,266,317,553$ | $486,132,199$ | $7,752,449,752$ |
| G.S.S. SINGLE PHASE | $1,352,993,509$ | $90,518,162$ | $1,443,511,671$ |
| G.S.S. THREE PHASE | $2,230,928,348$ | $111,328,100$ | $2,342,256,449$ |
| *G.S.M. | $3,124,095,023$ | $155,899,029$ | $3,279,994,052$ |
| *G.S.L. O-30 | $1,723,692,298$ | $70,502,705$ | $1,794,195,003$ |
| G.S.L. 30 - 100 | $1,053,523,623$ | $15,802,854$ | $1,069,326,477$ |
| LIGHTING | $100,062,431$ | $6,694,391$ | $106,756,822$ |
| MAN. HYDRO CONSTRUCTION | $97,000,000$ | $4,840,508$ | $101,840,508$ |
|  | $16,948,612,785$ | $941,717,948$ | $17,890,330,733$ |

* (includes SEP sales)

2) COINCIDENT PEAK AT COMMON BUS

| C.P. AT GENERATION | $4,430.70$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| LESS SALES AT CB LEVEL : |  |
| $\quad-\quad$ EXPORTS | 0.00 |
| $\quad-$ G.S.L. $>100$ | $(588.10)$ |
| C.B. LOSSES | $(357.11)$ |
| EXPORT LOSSES | 0.00 |
| COINCIDENT PEAK AT COMMON BUS | $3,485.49$ |

3) LOAD FACTOR AT COMMON BUS 58.6\%
(Hours per Year $=8,760$ )
4) EQUIVALENT HOURSLOSS FACTOR
```
EQF = (0.08 x 58.59%) +(0.92 x (58.59%)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}
    = 0.362730
```

5) NO LOAD LOSS FACTOR AS A PERCENT AGE OF DISTRIBUTION ENERGY LOSSES
18.00\%
a) $941,718 \times 0.1800=169,509$ MW.H
b) $\frac{941,718 \times 0.1800}{8,760}=$
19.4 MW @ PEAK
6) CO-EFFICIENT OF SYSTEM LOSSES

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{941,718 .-169,509}{8,760 \times(3,485.49)^{2} \times 0.36273} \\
& =0.000020
\end{aligned}
$$

7) SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION LOSSES AT PEAK

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
= & 19.35+0.00002 \mathrm{X}(3,485.49)^{2} \\
= & 262.37
\end{array}
$$

8) ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR TEMPERATURE -13.0\%
9) SYSTEM DIST RIBUTION LOSSES AT PEAK ASSIGNED IN COSS 228.265 MW
10) RELATIONSHIP PEAK TO AVERAGE LOSSES (based on sales @ meter)

| AVERAGE (KW.h) | $941,718 / 16,948,613$ | $=5.56 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| PEAK (MW) | $228.27 / 3,257.229$ | $=7.01 \%$ |

Prospective Peak Load Report - Using Top 50 Peak Hours
2013 Prospective Cost of Service Study Prospective Peak Load Report
Using Top 50 Peak Hours
Energy Data


SEP
GSM
GSL 0 - 30 Kv
Total SEP

| 21 | 23,500,000 |  | 23,500,000 | 1,172,700 | 2,371,697 | 27,044,397 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2,100,000 |  | 2,100,000 | 85,894 | 210,122 | 2,396,017 |
| 26 | 25,600,000 | - | 25,600,000 | 1,258,595 | 2,581,819 | 29,440,414 |
| 127,637 | 88,437,208 | - | 88,437,208 | 5,916,639 | 9,069,893 | 103,423,739 |
| 25,807 | 11,625,223 | - | 11,625,223 | 777,752 | 1,192,253 | 13,595,228 |
| 153,444 | 100,062,431 |  | 100,062,431 | 6,694,391 | 10,262,146 | 117,018,968 |
| 702,760 | 21,969,896,922 | $(261,077,414)$ | 21,708,819,508 | 936,877,440 | 2,176,848,655 | 24,822,545,603 |
|  | - | - | - |  | - | - |
|  | 97,000,000 |  | 97,000,000 | 4,840,508 | 9,789,558 | 111,630,066 |
| 702,760 | 22,066,896,922 | $(261,077,414)$ | 21,805,819,508 | 941,717,948 | 2,186,638,213 | 24,934,175,669 |

2013 Prospective Cost of Service Study

| Prospective Peak Load Report Using Top 50 Peak Hours | Demand Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CP <br> Load <br> Factor | CP @ Meter <br> Before DSM <br> Non-Recon <br> MW | Forecast DSM MW Savings | CP @ Meter <br> After DSM <br> Non-Recon. <br> MW | Adjust \%'age | Adjust To Recon. | CP @ Meter Reconciled MW | Distrib Losses MW | $\begin{gathered} \text { Common Bus } \\ \text { Losses } \\ \text { MW } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CP @ Gen. <br> MW | Class <br> Coinc. <br> Factor | Class <br> Demand NCP MW <br> @ Meter <br> D50 | Class <br> Demand NCP MW <br> @ Gen. <br> D20 |
| Residential <br> Residential <br> Seasonal Water Heating | $\begin{gathered} 50.7 \% \\ 157.8 \% \\ 67.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,626.0 \\ 5.9 \\ 2.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (10.8) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,615.2 \\ 5.9 \\ 2.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 81.3\% | $89.6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,704.8 \\ 5.9 \\ 2.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 138.1 0.5 0.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 161.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,004.4 \\ 6.9 \\ 2.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.8 \% \\ 8.0 \% \\ 80.0 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,878.1 \\ 73.6 \\ 3.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,208.3 \\ 86.5 \\ 3.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total Residential | 51.1\% | 1,634.3 | (10.8) | 1,623.5 | 81.3\% | 89.6 | 1,713.1 | 138.8 | 162.3 | 2,014.3 | 87.6\% | 1,954.8 | 2,298.4 |
| GS Small - Single Phase <br> Non-Demand <br> Demand | $\begin{aligned} & 62.1 \% \\ & 66.0 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 181.2 \\ 66.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.5) \\ (1.7) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 176.7 \\ 64.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \% \\ & \text { 0.7\% } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 182.7 \\ 65.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 14.8 5.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 17.3 \\ 6.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 214.8 \\ 76.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.4 \% \\ & 88.9 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 211.5 \\ 73.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 248.7 \\ 86.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Subtotal <br> Seasonal <br> Water Heating | 63.2\% 162.5\% <br> 71.8\% | $\begin{array}{r} 247.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (6.2) | $\begin{array}{r} 241.1 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6.2\% | 6.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 247.8 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20.1 0.0 0.1 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 23.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 291.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.0 \% \\ 8.0 \% \\ 75.0 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 284.8 4.2 1.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 334.9 \\ 4.9 \\ 1.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total Single Phase | 63.3\% | 248.3 | (6.2) | 242.2 | 6.2\% | 6.8 | 249.0 | 20.2 | 23.6 | 292.7 | 85.8\% | 290.0 | 341.0 |
| GS Small - Three Phase <br> Non-Demand <br> Demand | $\begin{aligned} & 62.1 \% \\ & 66.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.6 \\ & 281.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (2.9) } \\ & (7.2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115.7 \\ & 274.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \% \\ & \text { 2.9\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \\ & 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.7 \\ & 277.5 \end{aligned}$ | 7.1 16.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.1 \\ & 25.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137.9 \\ & 319.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.4 \% \\ & 88.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 138.5 \\ & 312.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159.7 \\ & 359.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Three Phase | 64.8\% | 400.1 | (10.1) | 390.0 | 6.5\% | 7.1 | 397.2 | 23.7 | 36.9 | 457.7 | 88.1\% | 450.9 | 519.6 |
| Total G.S.Small <br> Non-Demand <br> Demand | $\begin{aligned} & 61.0 \% \\ & 64.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 299.8 \\ & 347.6 \end{aligned}$ | (7.4) (8.8) | $\begin{array}{r} 292.4 \\ 338.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.1 \% \\ & 3.6 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10.0 3.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 302.4 \\ 342.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 21.9 21.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 28.4 \\ & 32.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 352.7 \\ 396.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.4 \% \\ & 88.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | 350.1 385.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 408.4 \\ 446.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Sub-Total G.S. Small | 64.2\% | 647.3 | (16.2) | 631.1 | 12.6\% | 13.9 | 645.0 | 43.7 | 60.4 | 749.1 | 87.7\% | 735.7 | 854.5 |
| Seasonal | 162.6\% | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 0.0\% | - | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 8.0\% | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| Water Heating | 71.8\% | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | 0.0\% | - | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 75.0\% | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Total GS Small | 64.3\% | 648.5 | (16.2) | 632.2 | 12.6\% | 13.9 | 646.1 | 43.8 | 60.5 | 750.5 | 87.2\% | 740.9 | 860.6 |
| General Service - Medium | 72.5\% | 495.1 | (8.7) | 486.4 | 6.1\% | 6.7 | 493.1 | 29.4 | 45.8 | 568.3 | 91.6\% | 538.6 | 620.7 |
| General Service - Large $0-30 \mathrm{Kv}$ | 79.7\% | 249.9 | (4.4) | 245.4 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 245.4 | 11.8 | 22.6 | 279.8 | 90.0\% | 272.9 | 311.1 |
| 30-100 kV | 90.8\% | 105.7 | (1.7) | 104.1 |  | - | 104.1 | 2.0 | 9.3 | 115.3 | 75.2\% | 138.4 | 153.4 |
| 30-100 kV - Curtailment Cust's | 101.8\% | 25.2 | (0.4) | 24.8 |  | - | 24.8 | 0.5 | $2.2 \dagger$ | 27.5 | 91.0\% | 27.3 | 30.3 |
| Over 100 Kv | 91.1\% | 364.6 | (5.5) | 359.2 |  | - | 359.2 | - | 31.5 | 390.7 | 87.9\% | 408.6 | 444.5 |
| Over 100 Kv - Curtailment Cust's | 99.2\% | 232.4 | (3.5) | 228.9 |  | - | 228.9 | - | 20.1 † | 249.0 | 81.7\% | 280.3 | 304.9 |
| Total G.S.- Large | 90.4\% | 977.9 | (15.4) | 962.5 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 962.5 | 14.3 | 85.6 | 1,062.3 | 85.4\% | 1,127.5 | 1,244.1 |
| SEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSM | 49.5\% | 5.4 |  | 5.4 |  | - | 5.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 74.7\% | 7.3 | 8.4 |
| GSL $0-30 \mathrm{Kv}$ | 105.2\% | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | - | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 15.0\% | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Total SEP | 51.7\% | 5.7 | - | 5.7 |  | - | 5.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 64.3\% | 8.8 | 10.1 |
| Street Lighting Sentinel Lighting | $\begin{aligned} & 119.7 \% \\ & 119.7 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 \\ & 1.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 8.4 1.1 |  | - | 8.4 1.1 | 0.7 0.1 | 0.8 <br> 0.1 | 9.9 1.3 | $38.2 \%$ $38.2 \%$ | 22.1 2.9 | $\begin{array}{r}26.0 \\ 3.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total-Lighting | 119.7\% | 9.5 | - | 9.5 | 0.0\% | - | 9.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 38.2\% | 25.0 | 29.4 |
| Total - General Consumers | 66.5\% | 3,771.0 | (51.1) | 3,719.8 | 100.0\% | 110.2 | 3,830.0 | 227.4 | 355.7 | 4,413.1 | 87.1\% | 4,395.6 | 5,063.3 |
| Extra Provincial <br> Man Hydro - Construction | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \% \\ 72.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 15.3 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 15.3 \end{array}$ |  | - | $15.3$ | 0.9 | $1.4$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 17.6 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Integrated System | 66.5\% | 3,786.3 | (51.1) | 3,735.1 | 100.0\% | 110.2 | 3,845.3 | 228.3 | 357.1 | 4,430.7 |  |  |  |

# PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY 

March 31, 2013

| Distribution Energy Losses Expressed as a \%'age of Kwh @ meter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Class Avg |
| Export Sales | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| GS Large |  |
| $<30$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| $30-100$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| $>100$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |
| GS Medium | $5.0 \%$ |
| GS Small |  |
| 3 Phase | $5.0 \%$ |
| 1 Phase | $6.7 \%$ |
| Residential | $6.7 \%$ |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | $6.7 \%$ |

# PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY 

March 31, 2013

Distribution Capacity Losses Expressed as a \%'age of MW@ meter

Class Avg

|  | Class Avg |
| :---: | :---: |
| Export Sales | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |
| GS Large |  |
| $<30$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| $30-100$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| $>100$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |
| GS Medium | $6.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| GS Small | $6.0 \%$ |
| 3 Phase | $8.1 \%$ |
| 1 Phase | $8.1 \%$ |
| Residential | $8.1 \%$ |

2013 Prospective Cost of Service Study
Prospective Peak Load Responsibility Report
Seasonal Coincident Peaks（2 CP）at Generation Peak
2013 Prospective Cost of Service Study
Prospective Peak Load Responsibility Report
Seasonal Coincident Peaks（2 CP）at Generation Peak




| SUMMER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated <br> Seasonal <br> Energy | Seasonal CP LF | Estimated <br> Seasonal <br> Demand |
| 3，077，509，339 | 82．9\％ | 840，651 |
| 53，888，297 | 162．5\％ | 7，510 |
| 8，574，738 | 126．0\％ | 1，541 |
| 3，139，972，374 |  | 849，702 |
| 774，880，461 | 74．0\％ | 237，123 |
| 994，463，187 | 81．7\％ | 275，637 |
| 1，769，343，648 |  | 512，760 |
| 4，425，235 | 162．5\％ | 617 |
| 2，913，222 | 106．0\％ | 622 |
| 1，776，682，105 |  | 513，999 |
| 1，673，506，238 | 80．7\％ | 469，596 |
| 970，348，609 | 82．8\％ | 265，380 |
| 442，996，246 | 99．4\％ | 100，922 |
| 125，866，214 | 100．5\％ | 28，361 |
| 1，492，608，936 | 106．7\％ | 316，776 |
| 1，090，888，887 | 100．4\％ | 246，047 |
| 4，122，708，892 |  | 957，485 |
| 49，724，769 | 0．0\％ | － |
| 10，762，594，379 |  | 2，790，783 |
| 2，459，138，400 | 84．2\％ | 661，366 |
| 13，221，732，779 |  | 3，452，149 | Winter
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|  |  |  | q 0 0 © 世 |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0\end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## MANITOBA HYDRO

PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING
MARCH 31, 2013

## SECTION E: ALLOCATION METHODS

# MANITOBA HYDRO PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2013 

Costs that have been functionalized and classified by cost component in Section C are allocated to the customer rate classes. Allocation methods are based upon:

- direct identification;
- the class's share of load ( kW demand and kWh consumption) to the system load; or
- the number of customers within the class to the total number of customers.

The allocation process uses class characteristics that comport with the classification of the cost: customer costs are allocated based on a weighted or unweighted count of the customers in each class; energy costs are allocated based on consumption by each class weighted for losses to reflect energy at Generation; and demand costs are allocated based on demand of each class also weighted for losses to reflect the load at Generation.

Customer counts and class loads developed in Section D are used in the allocation tables to assign classified costs to customer rate classes. In this allocation process, recognition is given to:

- Use of the facilities by the rate class (i.e. the loads of large industrial customers who receive service at the Transmission level are excluded from the allocation tables used to allocate Subtransmission and Distribution facilities).
- Cost distinction between rate classes in providing for customer-related facilities or services through the use of weighting factors (i.e. a three phase non-demand meter is approximately five times as costly as a single phase non-demand meter and this cost distinction is reflected in the customer weights used to allocate the capital cost of metering equipment).

The balance of this section is intended to provide an insight into the cost allocation process. This section contains the following schedules:

- Schedule E1 summarizes the classified costs by allocation table in PCOSS13 (with no methodology changes).
- Schedule E2 summarizes the classified costs by allocation table in PCOSS13 (with methodology changes).
- Schedules E3 - E20 represent some of the main tables used to allocate classified costs.


## Classified Costs by Allocation Table - No Methodology Changes

Prospective Cost Of Service Study
March 31, 2013
Classified Costs by Allocation Table

| Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Function | Interest | Depreciation | Operating | Misc. Rev | Total |
| E12 | Generation - Domestic \& Export | 256,189 | 151,533 | 270,785 | 5,925 | 684,432 |
| E13 | Generation - Domestic | 10,784 | 18,788 | 40,102 | - | 69,673 |
|  |  | 266,972 | 170,321 | 310,887 | 5,925 | 754,105 |
| D13 | Transmission-2CP Domestic |  | - | 2,259 |  | 2,259 |
| D14 | Transmission-2CP Domestic \& Export | 71,686 | 63,398 | 64,269 |  | 199,352 |
|  |  | 71,686 | 63,398 | 66,528 | - | 201,611 |
| D21 | Subtrans | 4,553 | 23,250 | 29,185 |  | 56,989 |
| D22 | Subtrans Stations | 6,935 | - |  |  | 6,935 |
| D23 | Subtrans Line | 12,515 | - |  |  | 12,515 |
|  |  | 24,004 | 23,250 | 29,185 | - | 76,439 |
| D32 | Dist. Plant Stn | 17,651 | 22,084 | 35,838 |  | 75,572 |
| D36 | Dist. Plant Lines | 35,436 | 23,953 | 21,862 |  | 81,251 |
| D40 | Dist. Plant S/E | 11,320 | 12,629 | 5,959 |  | 29,908 |
|  |  | 64,407 | 58,665 | 63,659 | - | 186,731 |
| C23 | Dist. Plant Lines | 23,624 | 15,969 | 14,575 |  | 54,167 |
| C27 | Dist. Plant Services | 3,085 | 2,086 | 1,903 |  | 7,074 |
| C40 | Dist. Plant Meter Investment | 1,536 | 5,196 |  |  | 6,732 |
| C41 | Dist. Plant Meter Mtce. |  |  | 2,233 |  | 2,233 |
|  |  | 28,245 | 23,251 | 18,711 | - | 70,207 |
| C10 | Dist Serv $\quad$ Cust Service - General | 2,214 | 6,071 | 32,923 | - | 41,208 |
| C11 | Dist Serv Cust Acct - Billings | 1,560 | 2,344 | 23,196 |  | 27,100 |
| C12 | Dist Serv Cust Acct - Collections | 1,046 | 1,274 | 15,549 |  | 17,869 |
| C13 | Dist Serv Marketing - \& D | 34 | 41 | 503 |  | 578 |
| C14 | Dist Serv Inspection | 167 | 434 | 2,482 |  | 3,083 |
| C15 | Dist Serv Meter Read | 579 | 754 | 8,614 |  | 9,947 |
|  |  | 5,600 | 10,918 | 83,267 | - | 99,785 |
|  | Total Allocated Costs | 460,914 | 349,803 | 572,237 | 5,925 | 1,388,879 |


| C02 | Generation | Diesel | 717 | 1,489 | 6,653 |  | 8,860 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E01 | Generation | Export | 22,167 | 9,286 | 173,463 |  | 204,915 |
|  |  |  | 22,167 | 9,286 | 173,463 | - | 204,915 |
| E01 | Generation | SEP - GSM | 193 | 129 | 194 |  | 516 |
| E01 | Generation | SEP - GSL 0-30kV | 17 | 12 | 18 |  | 47 |
| E01 | Generation | DSM Direct Assignment - Energy |  |  |  |  |  |
| E01 | Generation | Residential | 2,214 | 4,602 | 294 |  | 7,110 |
| E01 | Generation | GSS ND | 1,769 | 3,811 | 65 |  | 5,646 |
| E01 | Generation | GSS Demand | 1,845 | 4,012 | 78 |  | 5,935 |
| E01 | Generation | GSM | 2,156 | 4,608 | 122 |  | 6,886 |
| E01 | Generation | GSL 0-30kV | 1,135 | 2,353 | 67 |  | 3,554 |
| E01 | Generation | GSL 30-100kV excl Curt. | 196 | 408 | 30 |  | 635 |
| E01 | Generation | GSL > 100kV excl Curt. | 724 | 1,399 | 103 |  | 2,227 |
| E01 | Generation | Street Lights | 1 | 3 | 3 |  | 7 |
| E01 | Generation | Curtailment (GSL 30-100) | 318 | 715 | 8 | (639) | 402 |
| E01 | Generation | Curtailment (GSL > 100) | 3,033 | 6,753 | 71 | $(5,286)$ | 4,571 |
|  |  |  | 13,603 | 28,805 | 1,053 | $(5,925)$ | 37,535 |
| D04 | Transmission | Export | - | - | 1,614 |  | 1,614 |
| D04 | Transmission | SEP - GSM | 51 | 45 | 46 |  | 142 |
| D04 | Transmission | SEP - GSL 0-30kV | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 13 |
|  |  |  | 56 | 49 | 50 | - | 155 |
| C01 | Distribution | Lighting | 3,075 | 4,096 | 7,041 |  | 14,212 |
| C01 | Distribution | Diesel | 66 | 96 | 454 |  | 616 |
|  |  |  | 3,141 | 4,192 | 7,496 | - | 14,828 |
|  | Total Directs |  | 39,683 | 43,821 | 190,329 | $(5,925)$ | 267,908 |
|  | Total |  | 500,598 | 393,623 | 762,566 | - | 1,656,787 |
|  | Generation |  | 303,459 | 209,900 | 492,056 | - | 1,005,415 |
|  | Transmission |  | 71,742 | 63,447 | 68,192 | - | 203,381 |
|  | Subtransmission |  | 24,004 | 23,250 | 29,185 | - | 76,439 |
|  | Distribution Plant |  | 95,793 | 86,108 | 89,866 | - | 271,767 |
|  | Distribution Services |  | 5,600 | 10,918 | 83,267 | - | 99,785 |
|  |  |  | 500,598 | 393,623 | 762,566 | - | 1,656,787 |
|  | Energy |  | 302,741 | 208,411 | 485,403 | - | 996,555 |
|  | Demand |  | 160,153 | 145,363 | 161,036 | - | 466,551 |
|  | Customer |  | 37,704 | 39,849 | 116,127 | - | 193,680 |
|  |  |  | 500,598 | 393,623 | 762,566 | - | 1,656,787 |

Classified Costs by Allocation Table

| Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Function | Interest | Depreciation | Operating | Misc. Rev | Total |
| E12 | Generation - Domestic \& Export | 262,192 | 160,774 | 347,507 | 5,925 | 776,398 |
| E13 | Generation - Domestic | 4,780 | 9,547 | 23,002 | - | 37,329 |
|  |  | 266,972 | 170,321 | 370,509 | 5,925 | 813,728 |
| D13 | Transmission-2CP Domestic |  | - | 2,259 |  | 2,259 |
| D14 | Transmission-2CP Domestic \& Export | 71,686 | 63,398 | 64,269 |  | 199,352 |
|  |  | 71,686 | 63,398 | 66,528 | - | 201,611 |
| D21 | Subtrans | 4,402 | 23,184 | 29,185 |  | 56,771 |
| D22 | Subtrans Stations | 6,935 | - |  |  | 6,935 |
| D23 | Subtrans Line | 12,515 | - |  |  | 12,515 |
|  |  | 23,852 | 23,184 | 29,185 | - | 76,221 |
| D32 | Dist. Plant Stn | 17,651 | 22,083 | 35,838 |  | 75,572 |
| D36 | Dist. Plant Lines | 35,436 | 23,953 | 21,862 |  | 81,251 |
| D40 | Dist. Plant S/E | 11,320 | 12,629 | 5,959 |  | 29,908 |
|  |  | 64,407 | 58,665 | 63,659 | - | 186,731 |
| C23 | Dist. Plant Lines | 23,624 | 15,969 | 14,575 |  | 54,167 |
| C27 | Dist. Plant Services | 3,085 | 2,086 | 1,903 |  | 7,074 |
| C40 | Dist. Plant Meter Investment | 1,536 | 5,196 |  |  | 6,732 |
| C41 | Dist. Plant Meter Mtce. |  |  | 2,233 |  | 2,233 |
|  |  | 28,245 | 23,251 | 18,711 | - | 70,207 |
| C10 | Dist Serv Cust Service - General | 2,214 | 6,071 | 32,923 | - | 41,208 |
| C11 | Dist Serv Cust Acct - Billings | 1,560 | 2,344 | 23,196 |  | 27,100 |
| C12 | Dist Serv Cust Acct - Collections | 1,046 | 1,274 | 15,549 |  | 17,869 |
| C13 | Dist Serv Marketing - \& D | 34 | 41 | 503 |  | 578 |
| C14 | Dist Serv Inspection | 167 | 434 | 2,482 |  | 3,083 |
| C15 | Dist Serv Meter Read | 579 | 754 | 8,614 |  | 9,947 |
|  |  | 5,600 | 10,918 | 83,267 | - | 99,785 |
|  | Total Allocated Costs | 460,763 | 349,736 | 631,859 | 5,925 | 1,448,283 |


| C02 | Generation | Diesel | 717 | 1,489 | 6,653 |  | 8,860 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E01 | Generation | Export | 22,167 | 9,286 | 113,841 |  | 145,293 |
|  |  |  | 22,167 | 9,286 | 113,841 | - | 145,293 |
| E01 | Generation | SEP - GSM | 193 | 129 | 194 |  | 516 |
| E01 | Generation | SEP - GSL 0-30kV | 17 | 12 | 18 |  | 47 |
| E01 | Generation | DSM Direct Assignment - Energy |  |  |  |  |  |
| E01 | Generation | Residential | 2,214 | 4,602 | 294 |  | 7,110 |
| E01 | Generation | GSS ND | 1,769 | 3,811 | 65 |  | 5,646 |
| E01 | Generation | GSS Demand | 1,845 | 4,012 | 78 |  | 5,935 |
| E01 | Generation | GSM | 2,156 | 4,608 | 122 |  | 6,886 |
| E01 | Generation | GSL 0-30kV | 1,135 | 2,353 | 67 |  | 3,554 |
| E01 | Generation | GSL 30-100kV excl Curt. | 196 | 408 | 30 |  | 635 |
| E01 | Generation | GSL > 100kV excl Curt. | 724 | 1,399 | 103 |  | 2,227 |
| E01 | Generation | Street Lights | 1 | 3 | 3 |  | 7 |
| E01 | Generation | Curtailment (GSL 30-100) | 318 | 715 | 8 | (639) | 402 |
| E01 | Generation | Curtailment (GSL > 100) | 3,033 | 6,753 | 71 | $(5,286)$ | 4,571 |
|  |  |  | 13,603 | 28,805 | 1,053 | $(5,925)$ | 37,535 |
| D04 | Transmission | Export | - | - | 1,614 |  | 1,614 |
| D04 | Transmission | SEP - GSM | 51 | 45 | 46 |  | 142 |
| D04 | Transmission | SEP - GSL 0-30kV | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 13 |
| D04 | Transmission | GSL $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 151 | 67 |  |  | 218 |
|  |  |  | 207 | 116 | 50 | - | 373 |
| C01 | Distribution | Lighting | 3,075 | 4,096 | 7,041 |  | 14,212 |
| C01 | Distribution | Diesel | 66 | 96 | 454 |  | 616 |
|  |  |  | 3,141 | 4,192 | 7,496 | - | 14,828 |
|  | Total Directs |  | 39,835 | 43,887 | 130,707 | $(5,925)$ | 208,503 |
|  | Total |  | 500,598 | 393,623 | 762,566 | - | 1,656,787 |
|  | Generation |  | 303,459 | 209,901 | 492,056 | - | 1,005,416 |
|  | Transmission |  | 71,893 | 63,514 | 68,192 | - | 203,599 |
|  | Subtransmission |  | 23,852 | 23,184 | 29,185 | - | 76,221 |
|  | Distribution Plant |  | 95,793 | 86,107 | 89,866 | - | 271,767 |
|  | Distribution Services |  | 5,600 | 10,918 | 83,267 | - | 99,785 |
|  |  |  | 500,598 | 393,623 | 762,566 | - | 1,656,787 |
|  | Energy |  | 302,741 | 208,412 | 485,403 | - | 996,556 |
|  | Demand |  | 160,153 | 145,362 | 161,036 | - | 466,551 |
|  | Customer |  | 37,704 | 39,849 | 116,127 | - | 193,680 |
|  |  |  | 500,598 | 393,623 | 762,566 | - | 1,656,787 |

SCHEDULE E3
12 Period Weighted Energy Table

# 12 PERIOD WEIGHTED ENERGY TABLE 

(E12 Generation)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the energy component within the Generation function that are shared by the Domestic and Export classes.

## METHOD

Table represents marginal cost ratios multiplied by twelve-period seasonal kWh sales as measured at Generation (On-Peak, Off-Peak and Shoulder periods for each of the four seasons).

## JUSTIFICATION

Generation costs are weighted by marginal cost factors to recognize the differential price of energy in various diurnal and seasonal periods.

SCHEDULE E4
12 Period Weighted Energy Table

## 12 PERIOD WEIGHTED ENERGY TABLE

(E13 Generation)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the energy component within the Generation function that are shared by the Domestic classes.

## METHOD

Table represents marginal cost ratios multiplied by twelve-period seasonal kWh sales as measured at Generation (On-Peak, Off-Peak and Shoulder periods for each of the four seasons).

## JUSTIFICATION

Generation costs are weighted by marginal cost factors to recognize the differential price of energy in various diurnal and seasonal periods.

# AVERAGE WINTER AND SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE 

 (MW)(D13 Transmission)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of the Transmission function that are shared among the Domestic classes.

## METHOD

Class contributions to the seasonal system peaks in both summer and winter have been averaged to develop the allocators (2CP) using average of load research data for 2005/06 to 2010/11.

## JUSTIFICATION

These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the contribution of each class to the maximum system peak demand. The contribution of each class to system peak includes the assignment of Distribution and Transmission losses.

# AVERAGE WINTER AND SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE 

 (MW)(D14 Transmission)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of the Transmission function that are shared by the Export and Domestic classes.

## METHOD

Class contributions to the seasonal system peaks in both summer and winter have been averaged to develop the allocators (2CP) using average of load research data for 2005/06 to 2010/11

## JUSTIFICATION

This allocation recognizes the integrated effects of export activity in both summer and winter seasons. These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the contribution of each class to the maximum system peak demand. The contribution of each class to system peak includes the assignment of Distribution and Transmission losses.

# CLASS NON-COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW) 

(D21/D22/D23 - Subtransmission)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component within the Subtransmission function. There are adjustments within this allocation table to recognize the use of the facilities by the different rate classes. For example, customers who receive service at the Transmission level ( $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ ) do not share in any of the Subtransmission function costs.

## METHOD

This table is based on the non-coincident peak demand of each class including losses. Class non-coincident demands have been developed using historical data derived from the average of load research data from fiscal years 2005/06 to 2010/11.

## JUSTIFICATION

Subtransmission costs are incurred in order that the necessary facilities are available to meet the non-coincident peak demand at the secondary level ( 66 kV and 33 kV ). These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the maximum demand requirements of each class.

# CLASS NON-COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW) 

(D32 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of Distribution stations and station transformers within the Distribution plant function. There are adjustments within this allocation table to recognize the use of the facilities by the different rate classes. For example, customers who receive service at the Transmission or Subtransmission level ( 33 kV or greater) do not share in any of the Distribution costs.

## METHOD

This table is based on the non-coincident peak demand of each class including losses.

## JUSTIFICATION

The demand component costs within the Distribution plant function are incurred in order that the necessary facilities are available to meet the non-coincident peak demand at the distribution level ( 25 kV and below). These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the maximum demand requirements of each class.

# CLASS NON-COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW) 

(D36 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

These tables are used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of Distribution lines and associated Distribution infrastructure within the Distribution plant function. There are adjustments within this allocation table to recognize the use of the facilities by the different rate classes. For example, customers who receive service at the Transmission or Subtransmission level ( 33 kV or greater) do not share in any of the Distribution costs.

## METHOD

This table is based on the non-coincident peak demand of each class including losses.

## JUSTIFICATION

The demand component costs within the Distribution plant function are incurred in order that the necessary facilities are available to meet the non-coincident peak demand at the distribution level ( 25 kV and below). These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the maximum demand requirements of each class.

SCHEDULE E10
Class Non-Coincident Peak Demand Table (Distribution Plant)

## CLASS NON-COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW)

(D40 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of Distribution transformation. Classes receiving service at greater than 30 kV or with customer-owned transformation are excluded from the table.

## METHOD

This table is based on the non-coincident peak demand of each class including losses.

## JUSTIFICATION

The demand component costs within the Distribution plant function are incurred in order that the necessary facilities are available to meet the non-coincident peak demand at the distribution level ( 25 kV and below). These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the maximum demand requirements of each class.

# WEIGHTED RATIO CUSTOMER SERVICE GENERAL TABLE 

(C10 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the general Customer Service costs within the Distribution Services function.

## METHOD

Customer classes are weighted according to total time spent by line departments on serving each customer class. An analysis was undertaken to estimate the efforts various departments devote to each customer class, which was weighted by the budget for each department. For example, Key Accounts Department spend all their time providing customer service to General Service Large customers and no time on Residential customer service and are weighted accordingly. Each class is allocated a portion of the non-specific customer costs based on their share of the total weighted table.

## JUSTIFICATION

General costs associated with customer service and business activities are incurred relative to the customer service efforts devoted to each customer class, rather than the number of customers actually within each class.

SCHEDULE E12
Weighted Customer Count Table - Billing

## WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - BILLING

(C11 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of billing costs.

## METHOD

The allocation table represents the percentage of billing costs assignable to each rate class. An analysis was undertaken to determine the percentage of customer-related costs assignable to each class based upon a detailed billing study which was updated with forecast customer numbers.

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

SCHEDULE E13
Weighted Customer Count Table - Collections

## WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - COLLECTIONS

(C12 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of collection costs. Street and Sentinel Lighting are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

The allocation table represents the percentage of collection costs assignable to each rate class. An analysis was undertaken to determine the percentage of customer-related costs assignable to each class based upon a detailed collection study which was updated with forecast customer numbers.

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

# CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT 

> (C13 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of marketing - research and development costs. Street and Sentinel Lighting are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

Number of customers adjusted for water heating.

## JUSTIFICATION

These costs are incurred relative to the number of customers that are being served. These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the number of customers in each class.

# WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - ELECTRICAL INSPECTIONS 

(C14 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of electrical inspection costs.

## METHOD

An analysis was undertaken to determine the percentage of customer-related costs assignable to each rate class based upon electrical inspection permit statistics. The results of this analysis are used to weight the forecasted number of customers.

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

SCHEDULE E16
Weighted Customer Count Table - Meter Reading

# WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - METER READING 

(C15 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of meter reading costs.

## METHOD

The allocation table represents customers weighted by the relative frequency in which a meter is read by the utility. The results of this analysis are used to weight the forecast number of customers.

The relative frequency of meter readings by rate class is shown in the following table.

| RATE CLASS |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential |  |
| Standard | 5 |
| Seasonal | 1 |
| General Service - Small |  |
| Demand | 12 |
| Non-Demand | 5 |
| Seasonal | 1 |
| General Service Medium | 12 |
| General Service Large |  |
| $<30 \mathrm{kV}$ |  |
| $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 12 |
| $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 12 |

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

## CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - DISTRIBUTION POLE AND WIRE

(C23 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion associated with Distribution lines. Classes receiving service at greater than 30 kV are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

The allocation table represents unweighted customers except for street lights, sentinel lights and flat rate water heating. No costs are allocated to sentinel lighting or flat rate water heating as this service has been provided by the primary rate class (i.e. Residential or General Service). Street lighting count reflects the number of taps into the distribution system that would be required if the lights were connected in a series through a relay.

## JUSTIFICATION

Customer component costs are incurred in Distribution plant dependent upon the number of customers being served.

## WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - SERVICES

## (C27 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion associated with service drops. Classes receiving service at greater than 30 kV , Flat Rate Water Heating, Street and Sentinel Lighting are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

Number of customers are weighted 5 x for General Service Small - 3 Phase, General Service Medium and General Service Large customers.

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

# WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - METER INVESTMENT 

(C40- Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion associated with meters and metering transformers. Flat Rate Water Heating, Street and Sentinel Lighting are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

An analysis of meter costs was undertaken to determine the relative costs for metering equipment by customer class and voltage level. The results of this analysis are used to weight the forecast number of customers.

This table represents the number of customers weighted by the relative cost of metering equipment. No costs are allocated to non-metered services such as Street Lighting and Flat Rate Water Heating. The weighting factors for cost allocation are shown in the table below.

|  | WEIGHTING <br> FACTOR |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential | 1 |
| General Service Small |  |
| Single Phase - Non-Demand | 1 |
| - Demand | 14 |
| Three Phase - Non-Demand | 5 |
| - Demand | 23 |
| General Service Medium | 36 |
| General Service Large |  |
| $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | 49 |
| $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 224 |
| $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 233 |

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

## WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - METER MAINTENANCE

(C41- Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion relating to meter maintenance costs. Flat Rate Water Heating, Street and Sentinel Lighting are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

An analysis of meter maintenance costs was undertaken to determine the relative costs for meter maintenance by customer class. The results of this analysis are used to weight the forecast number of customers.

This table represents the number of customers weighted by the relative cost of maintaining the metering equipment. No costs are allocated to non-metered services such as Street Lighting and Flat Rate Water Heating. The weighting factors for cost allocation are shown in the table below.

|  | WEIGHTING <br> FACTOR |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential | 1 |
| General Service Small |  |
| Single Phase - Non-Demand | 1 |
| - Demand | 155 |
| Three Phase - Non-Demand | 50 |
| - Demand | 105 |
| General Service Medium | 215 |
| General Service Large |  |
| $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | 530 |
| $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 530 |
| $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 530 |

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.
be averaged to produce class peak period usage totals. Curiously, the weighted energy (marginal cost) approach could readily have been retained had marginal cost included both energy and reserves instead of energy alone.
a) Please provide references to Manitoba Hydro documents that explain in detail how it implements (or implemented) this approach.
A. This response has been provided by Christensen Associates Energy Consulting.

Please see the attached Cost of Service document, Manitoba Hydro, Prospective Cost of Service Study, For Fiscal Year Ending March 31, 2013, July 2012., pp. 78-81. This part of the document describes allocators of generation and transmission costs. The former of these utilizes marginal cost ratios for four seasons, each divided into three pricing periods. The latter utilizes average summer and winter coincident peaks based on the top 50 hours of each season. (Reference page 57 for a description of the "top 50 hourly peaks during the winter and summer seasons".)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As based on Business Process Synchronization Unit ("BPSU") breakdown in SAP.

[^1]:    2013 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
    ELOZ ' $1 \varepsilon$ HכY

