

1 Q. Please provide two versions of the COS Model in spreadsheet form:

2 (i) Model using method proposed by Hydro; and

3 (ii) Model using method currently approved by the Board.

4

5 A. Please refer to the excel document provided as PUB-NLH-013, Attachment 1 for the Cost of
6 Service Model using the method proposed by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro (“Hydro”)
7 and the excel document provided as PUB-NLH-013, Attachment 2 for the Cost of Service
8 Model using the method currently approved by the Board of Commissioners of Public
9 Utilities (the “Board”).

10

11 Hydro notes the following list of changes and assumptions for the method currently
12 approved by the Board (the “Existing Method”) and the method proposed by Hydro (the
13 “Proposed Method”):

14

15 • Classification of Wind Purchases: Hydro proposed to classify wind purchases as 22%
16 demand-related in the Proposed Method. Under the Existing Method wind
17 purchases are classified as 100% energy and 0% demand-related.

18

19 • Under Existing Method, all functionalization and classification of the Muskrat Falls
20 project power purchase costs are consistent with the Proposed Method.

21

22 • Under the Existing Method, TL 234 and TL 263 are functionalized as generation (i.e.,
23 treated as generator leads). This differs from the functionalization as transmission
24 in the Proposed Method.

25

26 • Under the Existing Method model, the bulk transmission losses on the Island
27 Interconnected System were calculated as 3.5% based on a historical average loss
28 factor approach. This differs from the 2.9% average forecast 2021 bulk transmission
29 losses as filed in the Proposed Method for the 2021 Cost of Service. Based on

1 review of the previous methodology approval, it appears that the transmission loss
2 approach is not formally approved. In the “2017 General Rate Application,” Rev. 5,
3 Vol. I, Ch. 3, at p. 3.21/1-6, Hydro stated”

4
5 **Losses**
6 The enhanced Island Interconnected System Vista model has a more
7 detailed representation of Hydro’s transmission system. The model
8 calculates losses based on the flow of energy between areas of Hydro’s
9 system, using dynamic loss equations. This allows losses to be modelled
10 more accurately than in Hydro’s previous rate filings. As such, losses
11 included in the Island Interconnected System have been forecast using
12 Hydro’s Vista model.
13

14 No Party took issue with this change so Hydro notes one could also view the
15 Existing Method to reflect the forecast transmission losses as filed. The reduction in
16 losses from historical average is partially related to the new TL 267 from Bay
17 d'Espoir to Western Avalon completed in 2017.

18
19 Power purchases from Muskrat Falls and Recapture Energy are reflected in kWh
20 purchases as delivered to the Island at Soldier's Pond. Therefore, transmission
21 losses in Labrador are not reflected in transmission losses in the cost of service
22 study for the Island Interconnected System (i.e., for both Existing Method and
23 Proposed Method). Changes in transmission losses on the Island Interconnected
24 System are assumed to impact the amount of energy Hydro has available to export.