Q. Page 22: Please provide a table, similar to Table 7, showing the impact on the revenue
 requirement for each of Newfoundland Power and the Island Industrial customer class for
 each change or new addition in the cost of service methodology proposed by Hydro.

5

A. Please refer to Table 1 to 3 for impacts on the revenue requirement for both

Newfoundland Power and the Island Industrial Customers for each change in methodology

proposed by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro as described in the response to PUB-NLH
013.

10

11

12

13

14

Table 1 shows the impact of changing wind energy to 22% demand from the existing methodology of 0% demand.

Table 1: Change in Wind Energy Classification (\$000)

Customer Class	Existing Method: Wind 100% Energy	Proposed Method: Wind 22% Demand 78% Energy	Difference
Newfoundland Power	942,391	942,536	145
Island Industrial Customers	92,608	92,463	(145)

Table 2 shows the impact of changing the functionalization of TL 234 and TL 263 from the existing generator leads to transmission (100 % demand).

Table 2: Change in Functionalization of TL 234 and TL 263 (\$000)

Customer Class	Existing Method: Generator Lead (System Load Factor) TL 234 and TL 263	Proposed Method: Transmission 100% Demand TL 234 and TL 263	Difference <sup>1</sup>
Newfoundland Power	942,489	942,536	47
Island Industrial Customers	92,510	92,463	(47)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes the difference in rural deficit allocated to customer costs between the two scenarios.

- Table 3 shows the impact of changing the existing 10-year historical losses to forecast
- 2 losses; thereby increasing export revenues and reducing revenue requirement.

Table 3: Change in Losses (\$000)

Customer Class	Existing Method: 10-Year Historical Losses	Proposed Method: Forecast Losses	Difference
Newfoundland Power	943,329	942,536	(793)
Island Industrial Customers	92,555	92,463	(92)