Q. Page 22: Please provide a table, similar to Table 8, showing the impact on the unit costs for each of the Newfoundland Power and the Island Industrial customer class for each change or new addition in the cost of service methodology proposed by Hydro.

A. Please refer to Table 1 to Table 3 which show the unit costs impacts for both Newfoundland Power and the Island Industrial Customers for each change in the methodology proposed by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro as described in the response to PUB-NLH-013.

Table 1 shows the impact of changing wind energy to 22% demand from the existing methodology of 0% demand.

Table 1: Impacts of Change in Wind Energy Classification (¢/kWh)

Customer Class	Existing Method: Wind 100% Energy	Proposed Method: Wind 22% Demand, 78% Energy	Difference
Newfoundland Power	16.15	16.16	0.01
Island Industrial Customers	12.46	12.44	(0.02)

Table 2 shows the impact of changing the functionalization of TL 234 and TL 263 from the existing generator leads to transmission (100 % demand).

Table 2: Impacts of Change in Functionalization of TL 234 and TL 263 (¢/kWh)

Customer Class	Existing Method: Generator Lead (System Load Factor) TL 234 and TL 263	Proposed Method: Transmission 100% Demand TL 234 and TL 263	Difference
Newfoundland Power	16.16	16.16	0.00
Island Industrial Customers	12.45	12.44	(0.01)

Table 3 shows the impact of changing the existing 10-year historical losses to forecast losses; thereby increasing export revenues and reducing revenue requirement.

Table 3: Impacts of Change in Losses (¢/kWh)

Customer Class	Existing Method: 10-Year Historical Losses	Proposed Method: Forecast Losses	Difference
Newfoundland Power	16.17	16.16	(0.01)
Island Industrial Customers	12.45	12.44	(0.01)