LAB-PUB-002

Page 1 of 3

1	Q:	Re: Brattle Group Report, p. 10, FERC Order 890, pro forma OATT
2		
3 4		Citation 1 (Brattle Group Report, page 10):
4 5		NAPs are tailored for specific contexts and frequently differ based
6		on the interconnecting customer's location (e.g., transmission or
7		distribution), customer type (e.g., generation or load), and size or
8		customer end-use. Similarly, NAPs may vary between investments
9		providing benefits to a single region or multiple regions. Thus,
10		providing a benchmark between Hydro's existing and proposed
11		NAPs is challenging and requires identifying similar contexts for
12		comparison. As we understand that <u>new loads are the driving factor</u>
13		to develop a new NAP, we have focused on reviewing Canadian
14		NAPs focused on new load. <u>To provide context on how</u>
15		interconnections, in this case, generator interconnections, are
16		addressed by the FERC, we give an overview of existing policies.
17		Given that Hydro's proposed NAP applies to non-utility generators
18		as well as load, the FERC's generation interconnection policies are
19		applicable to the case of Hydro. (underlining added)
20		
21		Citation 2 (FERC Order 890 pro forma OATT):
22		
23		27 Compensation for New Facilities and Redispatch Costs
24		
25		Whenever a System Impact Study performed by the Transmission
26		Provider in connection with the provision of Firm Point-To-Point
27		Transmission Service identifies the need for new facilities, <u>the</u>
28		Transmission Customer shall be responsible for such costs to the
29		extent consistent with Commission policy. Whenever a System
30		Impact Study performed by the Transmission Provider identifies
31 32		capacity constraints that may be relieved by redispatching the Transmission Provider's resources to eliminate such constraints, the
32 33		Transmission Customer shall be responsible for the redispatch costs
33 34		to the extent consistent with Commission policy. (underlining added)
35		to the extent consistent with Commission policy. (under minig added)
36		32.4 Facilities Study Procedures
37		call a contrast francis
38		When completed, the Facilities Study will include a good faith
39		estimate of (i) the cost of Direct Assignment Facilities to be charged
40		to the Eligible Customer, (ii) <u>the Eligible Customer's appropriate</u>

Network Additions Policy and Labrador Interconnected System Transmission Expansion Study

Page 2 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11			<u>share of the cost of any required Network Upgrades</u> , and (iii) the time required to complete such construction and initiate the requested service. <u>The Eligible Customer shall provide the</u> <u>Transmission Provider with a letter of credit or other reasonable</u> form of security acceptable to the Transmission Provider equivalent to the costs of new facilities or upgrades consistent with commercial practices as established by the Uniform Commercial Code. The Eligible Customer shall have thirty (30) days to execute a Service Agreement or request the filing of an unexecuted Service Agreement and provide the required letter of credit or other form of security or the request no longer will be a Completed Application and shall be
12			deemed terminated and withdrawn. (underlining added)
13			
14		a)	Please confirm that FERC has a long-standing transmission pricing policy,
15 16			referenced in Order 890 ¹ , which specifically addresses network upgrades driven by transmission customers.
10			uriven by transmission customers.
18		b)	Please confirm that, when network upgrades are required in order for a
19)	FERC-jurisdictional utility to provide service to a transmission customer,
20			the customer is required to provide the Transmission Provider with
21			security equivalent to the costs of new facilities or upgrades.
22			
23		c)	Please explain why Brattle's review made reference to FERC's policy
24			applicable to generator interconnections, but not to that applicable to
25 26			transmission customers.
20 27	A.	a)	Confirmed. Paragraphs 883 and 884 of Order 890 refer to the "higher of"
28	71.	u)	pricing policy, discussed in the Brattle Report on pages 19-20.
29			proving poney, and and and and and respond on pages 19 200
30		b)	Confirmed. In addition to providing security equal to the cost of the network
31			upgrades as cited above, the transmission customer is responsible for a share of
32			the costs, as quoted in the pro forma OATT. While the cited text applies to
33			network integration transmission service, the same requirement applies to
34 25			point-to-point transmission customers as provided in section 19.4 of the <i>pro</i>
35 36			forma OATT.
		c	Generation customers are a type of transmission customer. Reference was
30 37 38		c)	Generation customers are a type of transmission customer. Reference was made in the Brattle Report to FERC policies regarding the network upgrades

¹ E.g., FERC, Order 890, paras. 883 and 884.

Network Additions Policy and Labrador Interconnected System Transmission Expansion Study

Page 3 of 3

- 1 2 required from generation customers as the proposed network additions policy in
- Labrador would be applied to non-utility generation.