

1 Q. Further to the response to Request for Information CA-NLH-328:
2 Please confirm that Hydro has not included a specific allowance for productivity in
3 its 2015 Test Year operating expenses.

4

5

6 A. Hydro's budgeting methodology incorporates productivity improvements into its
7 base budgets, not as a specific allowance to be shown separately. Both methods
8 have the same end result.

9

10 An example of this is clearly shown in Hydro's response to CA-NLH-328 regarding
11 Hydro's 2015 Budget for overtime. As stated¹, overtime costs in 2013 were \$12.3
12 million and in the 2014 Test Year were \$12.2 million. Hydro's 2015 Test Year
13 budget for overtime is \$10.1 million. The \$2 million reduction in overtime
14 estimated for 2015 is targeted to be accomplished through cost management
15 initiatives, namely redeployment of staff and recruitment initiatives.

16

17 As stated in Hydro's response to CA-NLH-328: "[i]f reductions are not achieved,
18 Hydro will incur higher costs that will negatively impact net income; however,
19 customers will still benefit through lower Test Year based rates."

¹ CA-NLH-328 , Footnote 4.