



Senwung Luk
sluk@oktlaw.com
416.981.9443
73205

August 24, 2015

SENT VIA E-MAIL

Maureen Greene
Board Hearing Counsel
NL Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities
P.O Box 21040, 120 Torbay Road,
St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Dear Ms Greene:

Re: Innu Nation issues list for Amended 2013 GRA of Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro

I write in reply to your email of August 24, with respect to the Settlement Agreement and its effects on Innu Nation's issues list.

On behalf of Innu Nation, we would state the following with respect to our issues list:

1. **Regulatory Outlook (future studies)** - we are prepared to state that paragraphs 23 and 24 of the Settlement Agreement satisfies Innu Nation's concerns with this issue for the purposes of this GRA, and do not intend to address this issue at the hearing.
2. **Should there be a fixed date for Hydro's next GRA or should the Board order Hydro to file 8 its next GRA in 2017 for rates in 2018?** - we are prepared to state that paragraphs 23 and 24 of the Settlement Agreement satisfies Innu Nation's concerns with this issue for the purposes of the GRA, and do not intend to address this issue at the hearing.
3. **Should the Board order Hydro to carry out studies of marginal costs, cost of service, rate 10 design and the RSP and other supply cost variance mechanisms according to a time-bound 11 plan prior to filing its next GRA?** - we are prepared to state that paragraphs 23 and 24 of the Settlement Agreement satisfies Innu Nation's concerns with this issue for the purposes of the GRA, and do not intend to address this issue at the hearing.
4. **GRA and regulatory costs** - we are prepared to state that paragraph 18 of the Settlement Agreement satisfies Innu Nation's concerns with this issue for the purposes of the GRA, and do not intend to address this issue at the hearing.

5. **Should the Board approve a wheeling rate?** - we are prepared to state that paragraph 20 of the Settlement Agreement satisfies Innu Nation's concerns with this issue for the purposes of the GRA, insofar as it is not related to the Labrador Transmission Rate. Unless the issue is related to the Labrador Transmission rate, that caveat, we do not intend to address this issue at the hearing.

Yours truly,
Olthuis Kleer Townshend LLP



Senwung Luk
Associate

SL/tm