September 24, 2015		NL Hydro GR
	nge 1	Page
1 (9:08 a.m.)	1 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	C C
2 CHAIRMAN:	2 Q. So that -	
3 Q. So before we proceed to Mr. Johnson, I	3 MR. HENDERSON:	
4 understand there are some preliminary matters,	4 A. So the people have been as	sked. You asked also
5 Madam, which you wish to address.	5 about whether there's been	n departments that
6 MS. PENNELL:	6 have reduced in size. I don	i't recall any that
7 Q. We have a few more undertakings this morning,	7 have gone down, but t	here have been
8 Mr. Chair. We have Undertaking No. 16 which	8 adjustments where in one	area, for instance,
9 is the number of employees in Hydro in 2006	9 we may move a position	from one area of
0 and 2007. We have Undertaking 15B which are	10 operations into another. 1	For instance, in
1 the divisional work plans for HROE for 2013,	11 Labrador, we needed add	
2 2014 and 2015, and we also have Undertaking	12 control technologist there	-
3 No. 25 which is our process for recording time	13 position in the hydro gener	
4 and we believe this also satisfies Undertaking	14 to provide that position in	
5 No. 22. Thank you.	15 types of things will happen	
6 CHAIRMAN:	16 customer services area,	
7 Q. Okay. So if there's nothing else, Mr.	17 implementing automatic m	
8 Johnson, I think we'll start with you.	18 been eliminating positions	-
9 MR. ROBERT HENDERSON, MR. DARREN MOORE, MR. TERRY	19 respect to the implementa	
0 GARDINER, CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THOMAS JOHNSON, Q.C.	20 meter readings. As meter	
1 (CONT'D)	21 left the company, we put i	
2 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	reading and we did not refi	
3 Q. Thank you, sir. In relation to FTE growth for	23 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	in most positions.
4 a few minutes, in the examination yesterday of	24 Q. Would it be fair to say th	nat the tendency
5 Mr. O'Brien, Mr. Henderson, you were	25 would be suggestions for F	•
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	age 2	Page
1 avalaining how the different departments h		-
 explaining how the different departments b forward the requirement that they expect i 		opposed to FIE
3 order to execute the annual work plan, and		a'a haan a numbar
4 said that during that process, during	4 A. In the last two years, there	
5 budgeting, the managers are asked question		
order to challenge the managers as to wheth	-	ad to address.
they can find other ways of accomplishing		• • • • •
work without addition of additional resource		-
Can you cite any concrete examples who		
managers, departments, were told that you		
1 not accepting FTE growth or where a depart	-	steady from 2007 to
2 got smaller?	12 2013. You recall that?	
3 MR. HENDERSON:	13 MR. HENDERSON:	
4 A. In terms of where there have been a num		
5 of items in my experience where people h		
6 come forward with FTEs and they've been t		
7 no, they will not be proceeding, that you ne		
to go back. I'll say that that's happened in	in 2015, so a ten percent in	
9 numerous, I'll say, cases that have been pu	19 net FTEs from '13 to '15, r	ight?
o forward to me with respect to both our	20 MR. HENDERSON:	
1 well, in our rural operations areas, in our	A. It sounds about right.	
2 thermal generation, our hydro generation,	22 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
each one of those. In the two years that I've	23 Q. Now, would you character	•
4 been most involved with that process, that	24 dramatically large increase	e in a short amount
5 happened regularly.	25 of time?	

September 24, 2015	Multi-	Page	NL Hydro GRA
Pa	age 5		Page 7
1 MR. HENDERSON:		1 MF	R. HENDERSON:
2 A. That's a large amount relative to our history		2	A. No, there was not a report with that in it.
3 certainly and there's been we did a hard		3 JO	HNSON, Q.C.:
4 look at what we required moving forward.		4	Q. Now, you indicated that there had been a
5 There's been requirement for additional peopl	le	5	review of how well you were executing or Hydro
6 in order to manage our facilities to make sure		6	was executing upon its operating requirements
7 that we complete all of our required		7	for asset management in light of aging assets
8 maintenance and we have aging facilities that	;	8	and the like and you described yesterday that
9 require additional work and attention, so we		9	you went through a review in 2013-2014 where
10 need to make sure that we have the right		10	you identified areas that you needed to
11 people there for that. We also have some		11	address. You recall that?
12 growing demand on the power system that's a	also	12 (9:	15 a.m.)
13 putting additional pressures on the		13 MF	R. HENDERSON:
requirement for additional people, so there's		14	A. Yes.
15 positions that have been added for those		15 JO	HNSON, Q.C.:
16 reasons too. So while there was a long period			Q. Now, in terms of the work that was not getting
17 of stability, there has been some changes in		17	done to your level of satisfaction, why wasn't
18 the terms of our condition of our equipment		18	the work getting done with the numbers of
19 and addition of equipment that we have to		19	people you had out in the field already, a
20 address.		20	number that had remained constant from '07 to
21 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		21	2013 more or less?
22 Q. So in terms of the additions that were made, I			R. HENDERSON:
take it that these were discussed and approved			A. There was I'll say there was a number of
24 at the highest levels of Hydro's leadership?		24	equipment breakdown issues that we were
25 MR. HENDERSON:		25	people had to turn their attention to to
	age 6	1	Page 8
1 A. That's true. 2 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		1 2	ensure that the facilities were put back into service to restore power to customers, to
		2	restore equipment into operation. There was
3 Q. And it was a very deliberate process?4 MR. HENDERSON:		5 4	also the growing capital program which was
		-	drawing people from operational areas to
5 A. Yes. 6 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		5	assist and to oversee aspects of the
		6 7	-
7 Q. And as part of this deliberate process, did		7	implementation of new capital assets that were
8 the leadership have the benefit of any		8	going in. So there was a combination of those
9 comprehensive analysis or comprehensive		9	things that were impacting that, so there was
10 report, internal or external, as to the		10	a the growth of capital and the age of the
11 justification for the number of FTEs that you		11	facilities that were resulting in additional
12 were looking to add to the company?		12	breakdown, corrective maintenance that we had
13 MR. HENDERSON:		13	to address that was leading to an increase in
14 A. We did an extensive discussion and review of		14	the amount of work that was in our backlog.
15 the requirements to ensure that they were the			HNSON, Q.C.:
16 ones that were required and that was an			Q. Was there any productivity issues identified
17 extensive discussion with each of the areas		17	as giving rise to why some of this work was
18 that had additional requirements to ensure		18	not getting done?
19 that they were appropriate.			R. HENDERSON:
20 JOHNSON, Q.C.:			A. There were not particularly, but there was
21 Q. And did it result in any type of comprehensive		21	what we had done is we looked at our work
22 report that was brought up to say, look,		22	scheduling and planning to see if there was
here's where we are and here's the overall		23	more that we could do in terms of integrating
24 justification as to, you know, where we're		24	the capital work requirements and the
25 going over the next couple of years?		25	operating work requirements and we saw there -

ept		Iulti-P	age ""	NL Hydro GR
	Pa	ge 9		Page
1	- we identified that that was an area that we	1		it wasn't just putting more people at it.
2	should pursue to try to better integrate the	2		There is a growing demand and a demonstration
3	capital program with the operating program, so	3		of the growth in the backlog work. So that
4	that there was a you know, in terms of the	4		was all laid out and dealt with in the review
5	work that the project execution folks were	5		process through discussion.
6	looking after and the folks in the field who	6	JOHN	SON, Q.C.:
7	were looking after the maintenance to make	7	Q.	So in terms of the work that wasn't getting
8	sure that we fully integrated the plans. So	8		done, I understand from your testimony
9	over the last couple of years, we've put in a	9		yesterday, that you were aware that there was
0	lot of effort to try to make that more robust,	10		work not being done for a number of years
1	integrated plan so that all of the capital	11		prior to 2014. Would that be correct?
2	work and the operating work is all laid out,	12	MR. H	IENDERSON:
3	planned out at the beginning of the year, so	13	A.	What I would say is that when we looked at the
14	that you have you know, everything is	14		work when I came into the position in 2013,
5	identified.	15		looking at the work and the challenges we were
6	We also put in additional efforts in the	16		having in completing our annual capital
7	outage planning and scheduling through the	17		program and getting our preventative
8	system operations group which are the people	18		maintenance up to a higher level, we said that
9	who have to identify when equipment can com	le 19		one of the areas we need to do is better
20	out of service to ensure continued reliability	20		integration of the capital and the operating
21	of the power system. They look at the cost of	21		work and so, in the fall of 2013, we initiated
22	generation and when's the best time to do it.	22		that process of improvement for going forward
23	So, they're balancing all that. So we took a	23		to better integrate that work.
24	much more robust and integrated approach over	r 24	JOHN	SON, Q.C.:
25	the last two years in merging all that	25		But you were aware that you were obviously
	Pag	e 10		Page
1	together, which results in resources being			aware that the work was not getting done prior
2	there when they're required, equipment being	2		to 2014?
3	there or material being there when required.			IENDERSON:
4	• •			
4 5	So all of those things we've identified as	4	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I
5	So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of	4	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but
5 6	So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that.	4 5 6	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we
5 6 7 J0	So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.:	4 5 6 7	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and
5 6 7 J0 8	So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much	4 5 6 7 8	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative
5 6 7 J(8 9	So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for	4 5 6 7 8 9	А.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital
5 6 7 J(8 9	So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that.OHNSON, Q.C.:Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	А.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting
5 6 7 Jo 8 9 10	So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? AR. HENDERSON:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our
5 6 7 Jo 8 9 10 11 M	So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? MR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about,
5 6 7 Jo 8 9 10 11 M 12 13	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? MR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the
5 7 J 9 10 11 M 12 13	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? IR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The
5 6 7 J0 8 9 10 11 M 12 13 14	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? IR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was
5 6 7 J 8 9 10 11 M 2 13 4 4 5 6	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? MR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 N 2 3 4 5 6 7	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE requirements were that we looked at that to 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to get the work done within the tight windows
5 6 7 9 0 11 8 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? MR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE requirements were that we looked at that to determine what improvements we can make in the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to get the work done within the tight windows that we had for outages. So, all of those
5 6 7 9 0 1 8 9 0 1 8 9 0 1 8 5 6 7 8 9	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? MR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE requirements were that we looked at that to determine what improvements we can make in the productivity, but also with the growing demand 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to get the work done within the tight windows that we had for outages. So, all of those were areas that we were apparent to me that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE requirements were that we looked at that to determine what improvements we can make in the productivity, but also with the growing demand and how there is a backlog of a growth in 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to get the work done within the tight windows that we had for outages. So, all of those were areas that we were apparent to me that we said we need to address, and so it was
5 6 7 8 9 0 11 8 13 44 5 6 6 7 8 9 20 21	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? IR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE requirements were that we looked at that to determine what improvements we can make in the productivity, but also with the growing demand and how there is a backlog of a growth in the backlog of work that we had to do. So, we 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to get the work done within the tight windows that we had for outages. So, all of those were areas that we were apparent to me that we said we need to address, and so it was through that we were trying to do this greater
5 6 7 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? AR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE requirements were that we looked at that to determine what improvements we can make in the productivity, but also with the growing demand and how there is a backlog of a growth in the backlog of work that we had to do. So, we did that and that was all part of the budget 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to get the work done within the tight windows that we had for outages. So, all of those were areas that we were apparent to me that we said we need to address, and so it was through that we were trying to do this greater integration.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE requirements were that we looked at that to determine what improvements we can make in the productivity, but also with the growing demand and how there is a backlog of a growth in the backlog of work that we had to do. So, we did that and that was all part of the budget review process was for each people in the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. JOHN	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to get the work done within the tight windows that we had for outages. So, all of those were areas that we were apparent to me that we said we need to address, and so it was through that we were trying to do this greater integration. SON, Q.C.:
5 6 7 Jo 8 9	 So all of those things we've identified as that there's a benefit by integrating all of that. OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. Was there an assessment made as to how much work you ought to be able to expect, for instance out of one of your maintenance crew? AR. HENDERSON: A. There were in some areas, we had looked at how effective we were in terms of how many what I'll say is how much work is being done per FTE, that type of thing, to help when we were making assessment on what the FTE requirements were that we looked at that to determine what improvements we can make in the productivity, but also with the growing demand and how there is a backlog of a growth in the backlog of work that we had to do. So, we did that and that was all part of the budget 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. JOHN Q.	I was aware that there was challenges. I didn't have specific metrics, if you like, but I knew that from the managers' level that we were having not getting as high a level and effective level in the preventative maintenance work, but also the capital program, and we were constantly putting pressure on our schedules with respect to our outages. There was a constant concern about, you know, trying to get work done within the timeframe that we had for outages. The overtime costs were going up and that was driven by the fact that people were trying to get the work done within the tight windows that we had for outages. So, all of those were areas that we were apparent to me that we said we need to address, and so it was through that we were trying to do this greater integration.

Se	ptember 24, 2015	Multi	-Pag	ge TM NL Hydro GRA
		Page 13		Page 15
1	2014, there was basically metrics developed	ed,	1	be integrating those folks back into the
2	was there?		2	operations. There's a number of different
3	MR. HENDERSON:		3	aspects of that. The Holyrood thermal plant
4	A. After January 2014, what was required was	s for	4	will be going into a standby state once the
5	all of the work execution managers and the	he	5	project is complete and there's also the
6			6	people who are Holyrood. We've made a
7	picture of the work for the year and how	v	7	commitment to all of the permanent employees
8	they're progressing against that. So, each		8	that were working there at Holyrood at the
9	week there's a report provided to me		9	time of the decision to proceed that we would
10		sing	10	be integrating them into the operation. There
11	during the week and are they meeting pla	-	11	is a significant requirement for additional
12			12	people because there is a large growth in our
13	that are unknown, unforeseen that happe	-	13	asset infrastructure and a changing
14			14	requirement going from a thermal plant
15	correct to stay on track to complete the		15	operation into HVDC operation and another
16			16	hydro plant with additional transmission lines
17	in the annual work plan.		17	and also through the system operations group,
18	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		18	which will have a significant change because
19	Q. Okay. And prior to that, you had just bee		19	they are now going to be regularly
20	getting a monthly verbal?		20	communicating with neighbouring utilities and
	MR. HENDERSON:		21	the exchange of power. There's a fair bit of
22	A. Prior to that, I was getting an update on ho		22	change that's going to happen. So, all of
23	the capital program was progressing. I was		23	these folks will be integrated back into the
24	getting a monthly update on operating expe		24	operation and will have different roles. Many
25			25	of the engineering design folks I'd expect
	^	Page 14		Page 10
1	maintenance plan or the execution of the	-	1	would end up back in our project execution
2	annual work plan and how that was progres		2	technical services group.
3	JOHNSON, Q.C.:	0	3 JC	OHNSON, Q.C.:
4	Q. And that old approach was obviously not	- it	4	Q. So how many people are we talking about
5			5	reintegrating?
	MR. HENDERSON:			AR. HENDERSON:
7	A. So what we were this was in order to)	7	A. I don't have that number with me.
8				OHNSON, Q.C.:
9			9	Q. Can you offer an approximate?
10	-		10 M	AR. HENDERSON:
	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		11	A. I'm not sure. I don't know, Terry, if you
12	Q. The employees who have gone on to the I		12	know?
13				/R. GARDINER:
14			14	A. There's about there's approximately 15
15		-	15	engineering design, and I stand to be
16			16	corrected, but as I understand, there's about
17	-		17	15 people that would be integrated, and Mr.
18			18	Johnson, I've been tasked to work with the
19			19	team to find and HR to integrate those
	MR. HENDERSON:		20	people back in and in the next three years,
21	A. For each of those folks who are Nalcon		20	we'll be working on the plan through
22	employees, they will be coming back into		22	recruitment and retention and retirements of
23	company in different roles. That's part of		23	how we would integrate those people back into
24			24	project execution and technical services in
25			25	their areas.
ت				

September 24, 2015	Multi-	Page	e [™] NL Hydro GRA
I	Page 17		Page 19
1 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		1	Q. Subject to check?
2 Q. Okay. Just to turn for a moment to capital	1	2 MF	R. HENDERSON:
3 budget performance, in terms of meeting t	the	3	A. Subject to check, but my understanding that it
4 capital budget spending envelope, if you wi	ill.	4	was higher than that.
5 You indicated in cross-examination with M	Mr.	5 JO	DHNSON, Q.C.:
6 O'Brien, when he asked you about achiev	ving	6	Q. Okay. If we could go to Undertaking 6? And I
7 your capital budget spending level, that yo	u	7	think it's page eight, if I'm not mistaken.
8 were satisfied that Hydro would be within t	ten	8	Yes. This is 2014 target, yeah. You had it
9 percent variance going forward in 2015. I	Do	9	there. Now you have it. On project
10 you recall that testimony?	1	10	execution, Mr. Henderson, you see that?
11 MR. HENDERSON:	1	11 MF	R. HENDERSON:
12 A. Yes.	1	12	A. This is 2000 and -
13 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	1	13 JO	DHNSON, Q.C.:
14 Q. Now, Mr. Henderson, these were matters	that 1	14	Q. '04, I believe. Or 2014, I'm sorry.
15 had been measured and tracked and targete			R. HENDERSON:
the company's internal targets in both 201		16	A. '14?
17 and 2014, correct?		17 JO	DHNSON, Q.C.:
18 MR. HENDERSON:			Q. Yes.
19 A. Yes.	1		R. HENDERSON:
20 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	2	20	A. Okay.
21 Q. And in 2013, your target, I think you'll	2		DHNSON, Q.C.:
agree, was to deliver upon 90 percent of th			Q. So do you accept now it's 85 percent?
23 project? Is that right?			R. HENDERSON:
24 MR. HENDERSON:	2	24	A. That's what it says there, although I was of
25 A. That sounds right.	2	25	the understanding it was very close to 90
I	Page 18		Page 20
1 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	-	1	percent.
2 Q. And what was achieved was less than 90, it	t was	2 JO	DHNSON, Q.C.:
3 about 82 percent?			Q. In terms of the target for 2015, because in
4 MR. HENDERSON:		4	2013 and 2014, there were actually numerical
5 A. I can't recall the number in 2013.		5	targets, but I understand 2015 no longer has a
6 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		6	numerical target on delivery on project
7 Q. Would you take, subject to check, it was 8	32	7	execution. Is that correct?
8 percent?		8 MF	R. HENDERSON:
9 MR. HENDERSON:		9	A. We were we've done a review of the targets
10 A. Sure.	1	10	for 2015 and there are numeric targets, but
11 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	1	11	what was presented there was high level that
12 Q. Okay. And 2014, the goal was similarly	to 1	12	there would be specifically measurable targets
13 achieve delivery of 90 percent?		13	with respect to safety, quality, schedule and
14 MR. HENDERSON:		14	costs and we were doing a did a review of
15 A. That's correct.		15	those this year to establish, I'll say, a more
16 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	1	16	robust measurement on that and so, we've been
17 Q. That goal wasn't met in 2014?	1	17	working through that and I think we're pretty
18 MR. HENDERSON:		18	well there now. Terry and I have been working
19 A. It was very close to that.		19	through that, along with the project execution
20 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		20	team, as well as finance, to ensure that it's
21 Q. Okay. I think the record would indicate that		21	clearer as to what's expected, and so the
it was about 85 percent achieved.		22	and again, we're at the 90 percent target, but
23 MR. HENDERSON:		23	it was part of the explanation for that is
24 A. I'll -		24	that we've experienced a number of
25 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		25	supplemental capital applications and so when
	2		

Sept		ulti-I	'age	
	Page	21		Page 2
1	we established the target at the beginning of			NSON, Q.C.:
2	the year, there's a program that we put		2 Ç	2. Settled now.
3	forward to the Public Utilities Board for our			HENDERSON:
4	capital program, but there's also		4 A	. It's but it was something that had been
5	supplementals that are added during the year		5	under discussion because of the as I just
6	that have an impact and the expectation is to		6	explained, the types of things that were
7	be delivering the supplementals. So, there		7	changing during the year, the target that was
8	was a we had to consider how we reflected		8	set at the beginning of the year, what are we
9	those in the targets, so that's what the		9	measuring as things change during the year.
0	discussion has been primarily around is those	1	0 JOH	NSON, Q.C.:
1	changes that go through the year.	1	1 Ç	2. If I could turn to the topic of consultants
2	There's also acceptable changes that	12	2	costs for a moment, and to do this, I might
3	could occur during the year for good reasons.	1	3	ask that we bring up Table 2.7 of the
14	If you we've had some projects that we've	1	4	company's evidence at 2.39 of Volume 1, Tab 2.
5	cancelled because we've done some condition	1	5 MS.	GRAY:
6	assessment or when we did some additional	1	6 Ç	2. Sorry, Mr. Johnson, what page again?
7	work, we identified that the requirement could	1	7 JOH	NSON, Q.C.:
8	be deferred. And so all of those things would	1	8 Q	2. It's page 2.39. Mr. O'Brien touched on this
9	be things that we would consider and weigh	1		topic as well, but I just want to be clear.
20	whether they are, I'll say, good reasonable	2		Your 2014 test year costs for consultants,
21	reasons to change and what does that mean in	2		that includes outage inquiry costs, does it
2	terms of what we the standard in which	2		not, of about two million dollars?
3	we're holding ourselves to in terms of			HENDERSON:
24	completion. The important thing is what we	2		. That's correct.
25	say and set out to do that we complete and we			NSON, Q.C.:
	Page	22		Page 2
1	want to make sure that's right.		1 Q	2. And so that'll be subject to prudence, right?
2 (9	2:30 a.m.)			HENDERSON:
3 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:		3 A	. I believe the well, the 2014, I'm going to
4	Q. Just for clarity on the point, if you could go		4	say, deferral revenue, shortfall deferral,
5	to page 11 of Undertaking 6, and this is the		5	includes that, so that large piece of costs is
6	extract from the 2015 leadership team		6	subject to review.
7	measures, page 11. Yeah, project execution,			NSON, Q.C.:
8	safety, quality, schedule, and costs. So I). Right. And then if I look at GRA and Board
9	think you said that there was going to be 90		° `	related costs in test year 2014, there's 3.5
.0	percent in 2015.	1	-	million. Does that include costs for both the
	R. HENDERSON:	1		original and the amended GRA?
2	A. I think that's -			HENDERSON:
	R. GARDINER:	1		That would include our full estimate of the
4	A. Yes, it is, yeah.	1		costs for the 2014 test year. It's for all
	R. HENDERSON:	1		costs.
.6	A. Yeah.			NSON, Q.C.:
	DHNSON, Q.C.:	1		b. Yeah, so that would so to answer my
17 JC	Q. It's going to be 90 percent in 2015?	1		question, the costs associated with both the
	R. GARDINER:	1		original filing and the amended filing?
19 M 20	A. That's correct.			HENDERSON:
				A. Yeah, it would be all of the costs associated
	OHNSON, Q.C.:	2		-
2	Q. So this topic is still being worked on,	2		with the filing.
3	developed?			NSON, Q.C.:
	R. HENDERSON:	2		b. Okay. Now Mr. Henderson, I mean, it's not
25	A. Well, no, it's settled now.	2	5	it wasn't customers fault that Hydro pulled

Sep	otember 24, 2015	Multi-P	age	M NL Hydro GRA
		Page 25		Page 27
1	the application in 2014, you know, ju	ust a 1		balance, we said that the appropriate thing to
2	month or so before the thing was supp	posed to 2		do, rather than go for another GRA and
3	get under way, and I'm looking for	r the 3		everything that would be involved with that,
4	justification as to why, you know, ther	re would 4		we would put forward a test year modification
5	be costs for sort of a doubling up of ef	fort, 5		at that time.
6	if you will. There was a lot of stran	ded 6	JOHN	NSON, Q.C.:
7	costs when there was a decision made	to put 7	Q.	That doesn't take away from the point that
8	the brakes on the first application. Get	t you 8		there was a lot of costs that went into the
9	to comment on that.	9)	original filing that was sort of went to
10	MR. HENDERSON:	10)	waste.
11	A. You just want a comment on that?	11	MR.	HENDERSON:
12	JOHNSON, Q.C.:	12	A.	I couldn't comment on how much of the original
13	Q. Yeah. I mean, what's you know, it			filing is not still being considered here. My
14	costs, test year costs include costs for l			take is that there is a lot of that that is
15	original and the amended GRA, I'd lik			still carried forward, but there is some
16	explanation for that.	16		effort obviously for putting forward a new
	MR. HENDERSON:	17		test year and putting forward all of that.
18	A. It's because that's what it cost to go th			But in the balance, that would have been in
19	the regulatory process for this applicat	U U		our estimation, would have been lower cost
	JOHNSON, Q.C.:	20		than having two back to back GRAs, because we
20	Q. Yeah, but I guess, to address my point			would have had to refile immediately, given
21	were on the eve and everybody was all			where our costs were going.
22 23	up and we're on the eve of a hear	-		VSON, Q.C.:
	practically when Hydro made a decis	-		So in terms of the particulars of breakdown of
24 25	we're not going with that filing. We're			the costs of the original and amended, I guess
23	we te not going with that thing. We te			·
	to you call it amonded filing but it a	Page 26		Page 28
1	to you call it amended filing, but it v			we could put that to the Finance panel?
2	you know, substantially different filing	-		HENDERSON:
3	did Hydro give any thought to its inte			What that difference may be, yes.
4	visit all these costs back on customers	-		NSON, Q.C.:
	MR. HENDERSON:	5		Now as to the 2015 test year in that table, we
6	A. The consideration of costs was certain	• -		see consultant costs of 5.6 million. Would
7	of the discussion and what the evidence			that also include costs pertaining to the
8	that if we did not file an amend			Board's ongoing inquiry?
9	application, we would have to be c	-		HENDERSON:
10	forward with an application immediate	•		In 2015, I don't think there was anything put
11	heels of the 2013 application because			forward in 2015 test year that would have been
12	cost changes that were occurring in Hy			related to that. I think the anticipation was
13	Hydro would have to be, so there'd be			most of that would have been completed
14	GRA on top of the first GRA back to bac			earlier. I'm looking at our evidence just to
15	we thought and looked at it and said th			confirm that, but I there may have been a
16	effective way to do this is to change th			small amount there, but I don't think very
17	year to look to get a forward looking			much.
18	year because we were well past the end			NSON, Q.C.:
19	at that point and the costs for 2013 we		Q.	Again, perhaps something I can take up with
20	longer reflective, something that we)	the Finance Panel?
21	carry on with the changes that had oc	curred 21	MR.	HENDERSON:
22	and when we examined our costs, we s	said we're 22	А.	Yes.
23	going to have to go with another filing	right 23	JOHN	NSON, Q.C.:
24	on top of the one that was in the middl	le or we 24	0	If I could bring you to Table 37 of the Grant
24		C 01 WC 24	· Q.	in reduite dring you to ruble 37 of the Grant

Septen	nber 24, 2015 N	Iulti-P	age	NL Hydro GRA
	Pag	e 29		Page 31
1	a moment. Page 61, sorry. So Mr. Henderson	, 1	MR. H	ENDERSON:
2	we see here that for travel, we have, in 2013,	2	2 A.	That's precisely what we do, and there is
3	3.3 million. Forecast for 2014 is higher	3	3	increasing costs related to the services that
4	again by about 400,000, up to 3.7 million.	4	Ļ	we obtain related to travel, airfares and
5	But your actuals come in lower than forecast	4	5	gasoline for vehicles and those types of
6	at 3.2, yet we see an increase forecast again	6	5	things, that are putting the upward pressure,
7	in 2015, up to 3.7 million dollars, Mr.	7	1	and we put together the test year, those were
8	Henderson, and I note if we just if you	8	3	the things that we were seeing and put forward
9	could go back to page 60 for a moment that	9)	in the test year and that would have been also
10	travel, you know, very consistently from '07	10)	true for the 2014 test year.
11	all the way up to 2012 in terms of actuals,	11	JOHN	SON, Q.C.:
12	they've never exceeded three million dollars	12		You talk about vehicles for gas and the like,
13	and then, so we're seeing now about another			isn't that captured in transportation, a few
14	well, the forecast for 2014 is higher than	14		lines up?
15	actuals and then you're higher again for			ENDERSON:
16	forecast for 2015 by a considerable margin	16		Yeah, perhaps you're right there. But the
17	over historic travel costs. And just I'm	17		airfares was one of the areas that was putting
18	struggling with the justification for that.	18		upward pressure.
	HENDERSON:	19		SON, Q.C.:
	. Well, the costs, we do a lot of travelling in	20		Are you all going to be doing more flying in
20 11	Hydro because of our very broad geographic			2015 than -
22	area and the distance between our central			ENDERSON:
23	offices and where our work is done, where we			That's not what's happening here. It's all to
23	service customers all along the coast of	24		do with the cost of the fares for what goes
25	Labrador. We have a lot of travelling	25		into the travel budget. It's not for
25			,	~
	-	e 30		Page 32
1	throughout the Northern Peninsula, all across	1		increasing travel. It's to do with the
2	the Island. There is a considerable amount of	2		increasing cost of the travel.
3	travel. So, we look at that because it is a	3		SON, Q.C.:
4	significant cost and trying to minimize that	4	Q.	Just stay on the same table, office supplies.
5	travel, but there is upward pressure on travel	5	5	Now if I look at your 2014 actuals, they come
6	fares, the cost to maintain our vehicles.	6		in at about 2.4 million.
7	There's the fuel costs for the vehicles.		(9:45	
8	There's also the costs for the, for instance,	8		ENDERSON:
9	airfares, utilization of helicopter services	9) A.	Excuse me, can you scroll down so I can see
10	and so on. All of those were increasing and	10		the numbers?
11	so when we looked at that, those were the	11		SON, Q.C.:
12	drivers of the increase in costs.	12	2 Q.	Table 37, yeah, office supplies.
13 јонт	NSON, Q.C.:	13		ENDERSON:
14 Q	9. But Mr. Henderson, Hydro has always had, you	14	A.	Yes.
15	know, a far flung arrangement, even through	15		SON, Q.C.:
16	the years 2007 to 2012, in terms of having to	16	5 Q.	Yeah, I see these actuals are about 2.4
17	travel here and there. But what I'm	17	1	million in 2014, yet you're forecasting so
18	struggling with specifically is the basis for	18	3	you were under forecast in 2014 on these
19	saying that in 2014 actuals could be 3.2, but	19)	office supplies by about 200 and some odd
20	you'd need fully a half a million dollars more	20)	thousand. Yet, your 2015 forecast is some
21	in the test year in 2015. I mean, I thought	21		400,000 above 2014 actuals. And this is in
22	you were trying to be using things like	22	2	the context of when you go back at Table 36,
23	conference calling and looking for ways and	23	5	you see office supplies being very consistent,
24	means to reduce costs. I'm struggling with	24	Ļ	without big variations from year to year. But
25	this.	25	;	in the test year, we see a jump.

September 24, 2015	Multi-P	age	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 33		Page 35
1 MR. HENDERSON:	1		will that cover off the warranty protection
2 A. I don't have the detail for that, but when	we 2		for two full years or is there is that how
3 reviewed those costs, there were items	in 3		it's supposed to work or is there supposed to
4 there that are indeed driving those costs	up 4		be further payments in 2016?
5 and so, I can't give you a detail on that, N	Ar. 5	MR. H	ENDERSON:
6 Johnson, and perhaps the Finance Panel	might 6	А.	There's further payments. That cost is over a
7 be able to when they come to the stand,	but 7		two-year period. So that period began in I'm
8 there are a number of items in there.	. 8		going to say end of July, I believe, in 2015
9 Anything that was at all seen as putting	us 9)	and will continue for a two-year period.
10 above inflation, there was discussions ab			SON, Q.C.:
11 that and they were accepted, and I don't l	know 11	Q.	So there'll be another 1.6 million in 2016
12 all the items in an office supply cost	12		expended?
13 category, but there are certain things the			ENDERSON:
14 that may be directly influencing thos	e 14		Yes, there will be additional amounts in 2016.
15 increases.	15		It wouldn't be the full amount because it's
16 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	16		only it will run to the middle of the year.
17 Q. Turn to System Equipment Maintenance,			SON, Q.C.:
18 this, I'd like to bring you back to page 2.			Okay. So for that two-year protection,
19 of your regulated activities evidence. Ye			there's more being paid in 2015 than in 2016?
20 and starting at line nine. Hydro starts of			ENDERSON:
21 at line nine saying "System Equipme			That's correct. The agreement that we have
22 Maintenance expenses in the 2015 Test Y			runs for two years. That started at the end
2314.8 million are 7.3 million higher than			of July and will run through to the end of
24 actuals of 7.5 million. In 2015, there's a			July in 2016.
25 further increase of 4.1 from 2014 prima	-	JOHN	SON, Q.C.:
	Page 34		Page 36
1 related to costs of one million associate			Okay. So there's okay. So the details on
2 with the new CT and an additional" and the			that, I guess the Finance people would have
 3 the focus here for the moment "an 4 additional 1.6 million to provide for th 			that?
			ENDERSON:
5 extended two-year warranty to cover6 provision of technical oversight and coac			I'm sorry, I it's a two-year period, so I've I see puzzled looks on the face, but
	-		it's a two-year period starting in 2015, so it
7 from the engineering procurement a 8 construction contractor related to the			runs into 2017.
9 operation and maintenance of that unit."			SON, Q.C.:
So, Mr. Henderson, you're aware of that			Okay. As regards vegetation management,
11 warranty protection?	10 10 11		that's referenced on page 2.46, line 21,
12 MR. HENDERSON:	11		further increase of a half million dollars
13 A. Yes.	12		related to vegetation management. That's a
14 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	13		fairly significant increase in the cost for
15 Q. And the cost of it?	15		vegetation management. I think you'll agree.
16 MR. HENDERSON:			ENDERSON:
17 A. Yes.	17		It is, and it is specifically to address
18 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	18		vegetation management requirements of the
19 Q. So this 1.6 million dollars, that's going t			company. We had experienced a number of
20 be paid in 2015 or it's probably already p			customer interruptions due to tree contact and
21 right?	21		we had a look and saw that we needed to put in
22 MR. HENDERSON:	22		some extra effort there to stay ahead of what
A. That is a fee that we pay on a monthly ba			we were experiencing, which was a we
24 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	24		weren't staying ahead of the growth of
25 Q. Okay. And will the monies expended in	2015, 25		vegetation along our transmission lines and

September 2	4, 2015 Mult	i-Pa	age™	⁴ NL Hydro GRA
	Page 37			Page 39
1 also o	on our dams and dikes, so we had to put	1	Q.	Okay. So the costs that are being requested
2 in a b	it more, and there was also an increase	2		in revenue requirement now of 2.8 million,
3 in the	contract costs. When we went to tender	3		were these established based upon the records
4 for th	at, the costs have gone up as well.	4		of the previous party that was paying for
5 JOHNSON, Q).C.:	5		these costs, in terms of what they were
6 Q. Okay	. Just turning to another aspect of O&M	6		expending, or how was this arrived at?
7 costs	, that having to do with the TwinCo	7	MR. H	HENDERSON:
8 assets	s. Mr. Henderson, we understand that	8	A.	This was reflective of what CF(L)Co was
9 and I	think this comes from PUB-367. It just	9		doing that work for Twin Falls Corporation and
10 furthe	er provides some details on the amount	10		they will continue for us and this is a
	going into the 2015 test year revenue	11		reflection of what they had been spending and
	rement in relation to O&M costs for the	12		estimated that they would require to continue
-	Co assets. So there you see it now, PUB-	13		to maintain those facilities.
14 367 c	on the screen.	14	JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
15 Mr.	Henderson, I take it that the	15		Okay. So you have their records as to what
16 opera	tion and maintenance costs related to	16		they were expending on it over say the past
-	assets, these have not been costs that	17		five years?
	o has had to bear in the past, right?	18	MR. H	HENDERSON:
19 MR. HENDE	· ·	19	A.	I'm going to ask Darren if he could because I
20 A. That'	s correct. The only costs that Hydro was	20		don't have the full detail on that.
	ring in the past with respect to the	21	MR. N	MOORE:
	Co assets was through what was called the	22	A.	We don't actually have their exact record of
	ush Terminal Station Use Agreement and	23		what they've been spending over the last five
	's an amount that we pay each year that	24		years, but we worked very closely with
	d. I could say it was somewhere in	25		Churchill Falls to develop this budget amount
	Page 38			Page 40
1 arour	d the million dollars a year, I believe,	1		based on their estimates of what it cost them
	varied from year to year, that we were	2		over the past number of years to maintain and
	g for sharing the costs of the terminal	3		operate these assets and we're looking at the
	n in Wabush. Now with Hydro taking over	4		operation and maintenance as well to Hydro's
	ing the full, all of the facilities, we	5		standards for asset management in 2015 with
	have all of the facilities costs, which	6		them providing the services to do that work,
	ow recovered over a broader customer base	7		but if you look at their budgeting, which I
	inly because it also is recovered through	8		don't have access to, I guess, or the
	idustrial Customers who are using that	9		information in front of me now, I don't think
	mission line.	10		these things were broken out as a line-by-line
11 JOHNSON, Q		11		item specific to those assets, but it was
	okay. Now the 2.8 million dollar amount,	12		based on discussion with their records over
	had been picking up the tab on these O&M	13		the last number of years to develop the budget
	previously?	14		for 2015 to maintain these assets and operate
15 MR. HENDE		15		these assets to Hydro's standards.
	would have been as I said, there was		JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
-	pect of it that Hydro was sharing in the	17		I see there's a housing line there, \$100,000,
18 cost.		18	τ.	and if you scroll down a little bit, there's a
19 JOHNSON, Q).C.:	19		footnote there. "Housing in Lab City for two
20 Q. Yes.		20		operators working at the Wabush Terminal
21 MR. HENDE	RSON:	21		Station." So is that the arrangement? Is it
	then the bulk of it then would have been	22		50,000 per? Are they in separate homes? How
	gh the arrangements with Twin Falls	23		does that work?
	oration and its customers.		MR.F	HENDERSON:
25 JOHNSON, Q		25		They would be in separate homes.
		20	11.	

September 24, 2015	Multi-Pag	e TM NL Hydro GR
	Page 41	Page
1 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	1	explanation, but it would be related to the
2 Q. And it's like is that the rental cost of	2	other assets in Labrador, which would be the
3 4,000 a month?	3	transmission line and the terminal stations
4 MR. HENDERSON:	4	that go into Happy Valley area.
5 A. I don't have I don't know, Darren?	5 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
6 MR. MOORE:	6	Q. Okay. All right. I'll take that undertaking.
7 A. My understanding, it's the actual cost for	r 7 M	S. GLYNN:
8 that housing facility for those employees.	I 8	Q. The undertaking is noted on the record.
9 think it may be through a lease arrangeme	ent, 9 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
but I'd have to dig further on the exact	10	Q. I just wish to turn to reliability. Mr.
1 details, but I know it's the actual cost for	11	Henderson, I said the same thing to Mr. Martin
housing for those employees to provide the	hat 12	when he was on the stand and that is I made
3 service.	13	the observation that I didn't see much of a
4 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	14	discussion in Hydro's application, just merely
5 Q. So that's the cost of the lease for 2015?	15	a paragraph or two or three perhaps at Section
6 MR. MOORE:	16	2.3.4, which spoke of the recent events of
7 A. Yes.	17	January '13 and the events of early 2014. And
8 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	18	you know, as we've seen and it's been
9 Q. There was a question asked by PUB-403 an	id in 19	discussed in the hearing, even before the
0 relation to transmission costs. If you could	d 20	events of 2014, Hydro did not meet its KPI
1 bring up that RFI, PUB-NLH-403? The questi	ion 21	indicators as filed with the Board and I
2 was to explain in detail why transmissio	on 22	indicated in my opening statement to the Board
3 demand expenses for the Labrador	r 23	that there had been a decline in reliability
Interconnected System have increased mor	re than 24	of service. Similarly, Newfoundland Power
five times in the 2015 test year cost of	25	remarked the same. So what's your appraisal,
	Page 42	Page
1 service compared to the 2013 test year cos	-	as the VP in charge of Hydro, as to the
2 service as shown in the particular schedule		reliability situation over the last couple of
3 and the answer indicates that the expenses		years?
4 transmission demand basically have increa	ased 4 (1	0:00 a.m.)
5 from 976,000 in 2013 to 5.276 million in t		R. HENDERSON:
6 2015 test year, which includes an increase		A. In the last couple of years, we've had two
7 operating and maintenance expense of f		significant events that we have looked into,
8 million, 4.1 million, and it says "this	8	investigated, identified action items to
9 increase in O&M is primarily related to th	he 9	address. There were specific items, a number
0 cost Hydro will be required to pay CF(L)Co		of items in those that we are addressing, have
1 maintenance of the TwinCo assets on t		addressed in many cases, and are continuing to
2 Labrador Island or the Labrador	12	address. Those incidents are were
3 Interconnected System" and I was wonder	ing the 13	significant and a significant concern to us
4 basis for that reply in the sense that it was	14	and the impact that it had on our customers.
5 primarily related to those costs because the	ose 15	We're well aware of that and very concerned
6 costs are 2.8 million, as I understand the		about it and are taking it quite seriously to
7 previous discussion. So what's the	17	address those problems that occurred with
8 explanation for the other 1.3 million of the	-	those two significant events, and that's been
9 overall 4.1 million increase?	19	a significant and primary focus of the company
0 MR. HENDERSON:	20	since they occur and continues to be to
1 A. Do you have that?	20	address the items that were found and it is a
2 MR. MOORE:	22	concern and one that we are working and
3 A. I don't have that number, no.	23	continue to work to prevent from occurring in
4 MR. HENDERSON:	23	the future.
XX 11 1		

25 JOHNSON, Q.C.:

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

25

A. We'll have to undertake to provide that

Sep	ptem	ber 24, 2015 Mult	i-Pa	age	NL Hydro GRA
		Page 45			Page 47
1	Q.	So you wouldn't take any exception with the	1		commitment to continue to do that. You're
2		statement that this recent performance has not	2		asking me when will it be back at a specific -
3		been meeting customers reasonable	3		I can't say a specific on it. That's not the
4		expectations?	4		type of thing that I could put out there, but
5	MR. H	ENDERSON:	5		all I can say is that we are doing a
6	A.	I would agree that those nobody anticipated	6		significant amount of work, have done a
7		and would expect those kind of events to occur	7		significant amount of work to ensure the
8		and that we, as a company, we are very	8		reliability of the power system, and that will
9		concerned. It's been a strong focus of the	9		be our continued and ongoing focus, and that
10		company to address them.	10		will result in improvements.
11 .	JOHN	SON, Q.C.:	11	JOHNS	SON, Q.C.:
12	Q.	Yeah, I understand, but you know, the	12	Q.	But you don't really know how it'll take?
13		performance has not met with reasonable	13	MR. H	ENDERSON:
14		expectations of a reasonable customer?	14	А.	As I said, we're in an environment where we
15	MR. H	ENDERSON:	15		have aging infrastructure that we are working
16	А.	I can't speak for what a reasonable all I'm	16		through and addressing and to stay on top of
17		saying is that that performance is less than	17		that. All I can say is that that's the
18		what our historic performance was. We are	18		context in which we're working. To say, I
19		concerned that we get back to our performance	19		don't know, I don't know that anybody can
20		levels that we've historically experienced.	20		reasonably predict the future, but I can say
21		That's in the context of aging facilities, the	21		that what we're doing is addressing the
22		Holyrood plant is going to be used more	22		reliability issues, the things that occur on
23		intensively over the next period of time. So,	23		the system we will investigate, identify
24		we have to ensure that we stay on top of the	24		issues and address them. We also will look at
25		maintenance requirements for those facilities,	25		the conditions so that we're proactive on the
		Page 46			Page 48
1		that we replace them through our capital	1		condition of the facilities to ensure that
2		program as required to ensure the ongoing	2		we're addressing it in an appropriate time
3		reliability and it's a high focus area for us	3		frame.
4		to get our reliability to the level that			ON, Q.C.:
5		customers have been accustomed to and we are	5		Mr. Henderson, yesterday you spoke of a number
6		moving on all of those fronts.	6		of changes implemented since January of 2014.
		SON, Q.C.:	7		You spoke about the addition of the Chief
8	Q.	When do you expect that we will be getting	8		Operating Officer, the weekly tracking of
9		back to a level that customers have been	9		preventative maintenance progress, a group
10	MD 11	accustomed to, as you put it?	10		focus on the CT, and you also discussed
		ENDERSON:	11		briefly the reliability improvement program
12	А.	We are continually working on any issues that	12		which is being led by the Chief Operating
13		arise. We have a very strong focus on our	13 14		Officer, and this is a - would you characterize this as a directed effort to seek
14		asset management program to ensure that we're going appropriate condition assessments,	14		
15 16		completing our preventative maintenance			out reliability improvement opportunities?
17		program. All of those things will have an	17		It is to look at what we've experienced and
18		impact to bring reliability back to where it	18		continue to look at that to put them forward
19		was. I have to say that there is no	10		to make sure that we're following forward with
20		guarantees because we do have aging	20		the items that had been identified through our
20		facilities, things can happen with aging	21		reviews over the last two years, and it is to
22		facilities, but our objective is to stay on	22		also look for other opportunities to improve
23		top of that to do everything that we can while	23		reliability that we may put forward through a
24		balancing cost, of course, to ensure reliable	24		capital improvement program or it could be any
25		service to our customers and that's our	25		number of things, and I wouldn't - those are

September 24, 2015	Multi-Page TM	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 49	Page 51
1 the types of things. It's to look at items	1	COO's duties, I take it?
2 that will address it, certainly considering a	a 2 MR. HI	ENDERSON:
3 balance of cost as well, but it is to look fo	r 3 A.	That is part of the COO, and that's one of,
4 opportunities to improve reliability in area	as 4	I'll say, critical pieces of his activities in
5 that we feel our reliability performance	e 5	2015 is getting that into a document for me.
6 should be better.	6 JOHNS	ON, Q.C.:
7 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	7 Q.	Now yesterday, Mr. Henderson, you stated in
8 Q. So it sounds like you would agree with	the 8	relation to the distribution SAIDI and SAIFI
9 characterization that it's a very directed	9	targets, as captured in the KPIs filed with
10 effort?	10	the Public Utilities Board, that these were
11 MR. HENDERSON:	11	unrealistic for both 2013 and 2014, is that
12 A. It is a specific directed effort so that we	12	right?
13 will be able to follow and track what we	're 13 MR. HI	ENDERSON:
14 doing to address any specific reliability	14 A.	That's right.
15 issues that we've identified.	15 JOHNS	ON, Q.C.:
16 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		Now these would have been developed by Hydro's
17 Q. And this will be - when was this reliabilit	ty 17	internal experts on these matters, isn't that
18 improvement program established?	18	right?
19 MR. HENDERSON:		ENDERSON:
20 A. Well, what I would say to you that it has b		These were developed some years ago by people
21 always a focus of Hydro to address		who are knowledgeable on the reliability
22 reliability, so in terms of a program, it has		metrics, and they were established based on a
23 always been part of our work. It's not		continuous improvement of historic performance
24 something new. What this is, is to ensu		and making improvements year over year over
25 that we have it documented, the items th	nat 25	historical performance. They did not
	Page 50	Page 52
1 we're doing so that I have visibility of the		adequately consider the work plan that was
2 items, and we can track each of those ite		being put forward each year. They were, if
3 that we're doing to address reliability, so		you like, they were backward looking, they
4 they will - I say it's been happening all the		ooked at where we had been and said, okay, we
5 time in Hydro, but this is to bring it up to		want to establish an improvement and they
6 higher level so that I see it and I have it		established a fixed annual improvement, 5 or
7 before me the document. So some of this		10 percent over the previous year, and those
8 be things that may have been identified pr		metrics look back at where we had been to
9 to 2013 that are ongoing. There will also		establish where we're going, they were not
10 items that we've identified as a result of the		appropriately reflective of the capital
11 reviews of 2013 and 2014, and they will		program that we were putting forward and were
12 other things that people have been asked		executing, which would be including
13 look at where there's other opportunities t		significant planned outages in our
14 we can do on a go forward basis, so that		distribution system for distribution line
15 have visibility of it, and it's something that		upgrades, terminal station upgrades that were
16 we will track on a go forward basis.		happening in Labrador City and Wabush where
17 JOHNSON, Q.C.:18 Q. So it sounds like it has a degree of formal		t's particularly significant to us the but out ages that were required because of growth
19 to this program?	•	in customers that were occurring in Labrador,
20 MR. HENDERSON:		in particular, but there's all of those
21 A. It has a degree in what I would expect to s		aspects of it that when I talked to our folks
22 as a documented list of actions and		about that, that they had not taken that into
23 initiatives.		consideration. They had looked backwards and
24 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		said we want to go for continuous improvement,
25 Q. Right, and this is a significant part of the		and those targets had been established a
	1	

Septem	ber 24, 2015	Multi-P	age	NL Hydro GF
	Pa	ge 53		Page
1	number of years ago before these programs w	vere 1	Q.	And certainly you always had projects that
2	being put forward in the capital and the	2		impact transmission?
3	approach was that if you didn't meet it, you	3	MR. H	IENDERSON:
4	carried the target forward into the next year,	4	Α.	Again it's reflective of we have been making
5	and you just keep carrying forward the target	5		considerable increase in our capital
6	that had been established until you make it.	6		investment requiring additional outages, and
7	That, when I looked at it, I said we need to	7		so that is impacting on the performance or the
8	rethink this because we've got an extensive	8		metrics in those areas as well. There was a
9	upgrade program in our distribution systems	s 9		need to be more deliberate in setting the
0	that's affecting planned outages, and we also	10		targets to consider those. There was an
1	have to reflect the condition of our assets	11		element in the transmission ones that was
2	and that type of thing. So this year was the	12		reflective of the plan, but again it did not -
3	start of doing a more thorough review and	13		it didn't have the full robustness that would
4	bringing that into the target setting.	14		really reflect what's needed to set that
	SON, Q.C.:	15		target to be meaningful.
	But isn't it - so to your knowledge, how long			SON, Q.C.:
7 Q.	had Hydro been preparing, in this case, the	10		So I guess, the bottom line is that the new
8	distribution SAIDI and SAIFI targets along the	18		targets, these new and improved targets, if
9	line as you've described them?	18		you will, they're going to, in essence, make
	IENDERSON:	20		it less difficult for Hydro to meet them, do
	It had been that way for a fairly long time.	20		you agree with that?
	SON, Q.C.:			ENDERSON:
	Right, and wouldn't it be true that in any	22		They are more realistic of the expectation of
		23		-
4 5	particular year there would be capital projects being undertaken by Hydro that might			what the system - what will happen. 5 a.m.)
.5			(10.1	•
1		ge 54	IOIN	Page
1 2	have a bearing on outages? I mean, that's not new, is it?			SON, Q.C.: And, for instance, I see a parallel here on
		2		
	IENDERSON:	3		customer satisfaction, and that is the
	Our distribution system is - we have a fairly	4		customer satisfaction KPI was greater than 90
5	small customer base of 38,000 customers. S			percent in 2013, right?
5	in that scenario, you can have high			IENDERSON:
7	variability from year to year for significant	7		That's right.
3	events because one event in one communit	-		SON, Q.C.:
)	could have significant impact. You don't hav			But then you folks adjusted the target to say,
)	the ability that you'd see in a large utility	10		no, we're not going to demand of ourselves
l	like Newfoundland Power that has 280 or 29			greater than 90 percent in 2014, we're going
2	thousand customers, have a larger customer	: 12		to drop it to greater than 80 percent in 2014,
3	base, that those things become less evident,	13		right?
4	but when we do something as extensive as w	ve 14		IENDERSON:
5	were doing in Labrador City, that has a big	15		That's right.
5	impact.	16		SON, Q.C.:
7 JOHN	SON, Q.C.:	17	Q.	And then it made the target because according
8 Q.	But you're similarly, I take it, planning to	18		to the survey, it came in at 84 percent?
9	change how you go about targeting on	19	MR. H	IENDERSON:
)	transmission SAIFI and SAIDI too, taking it	20	A.	Right.
1	into the same type of consideration, is that	21	JOHN	SON, Q.C.:
2	right?	22	Q.	And, of course, you didn't measure customer
	IENDERSON:	23		satisfaction in 2013 at all, you just had a
3 MR. F		1		satisfaction in 2015 at an, you just had a
	That's correct.	24		target, but it was not measured, right?

Sep	otember 24, 2015	Multi	i-Pa	age TM	NL Hydro GRA
	P	age 57			Page 59
1	A. That's right.		1.	JOHNSON	N, Q.C.:
2	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		2	Q. Is	a target?
3	Q. Now with these adjusted distribution SAIDI and		3	MR. HEN	DERSON:
4	SAIFI targets that you're talking about, would		4	A. Ye	28.
5	Hydro have made target in either of 2013 or		5.	JOHNSON	N, Q.C.:
6	2014 with these new and improved targets?		6	Q. Ar	d all I'm asking you is numerically with
7	MR. HENDERSON:		7	tha	at 2015 target you have in mind, would your
8	A. What we're talking about is a process of		8	per	rformance in 2013 and 2014 have made the
9	establishing targets, and we did not go back		9	gra	ade?
10	in 2012, 2013 or 2014 and change the targets.		10	MR. HEN	DERSON:
11	The targets were what they were, and we just		11	A. No).
12	lived with them. We did not go back and say		12	JOHNSON	N, Q.C.:
13	what would we have done if we had done it		13	Q. No	o, okay. The only thing I say is that grade
14	differently. We didn't make that effort. We		14	for	those years, using that process, has not
15	said on a go forward basis, we want to be		15	bee	en set.
16	doing that, but we did look back at what we		16 .	JOHNSON	N, Q.C.:
17	had been experiencing and considered that in		17	Q. Ha	s Hydro sought any independent guidance on
18	terms of the future targets because we wanted		18	its	approach to adjusting targets in this
19	to make sure that we're challenging ourselves		19	ma	tter, or is this a made in Hydro approach?
20	to improve.		20 1	MR. HEN	DERSON:
21	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		21	A. Th	is is the approach that I have directed and
22	Q. But what I'm getting at is we know the data		22	rec	juested occur. It's not something that came
23	from 2013 and 2014, we know the performance	of	23	fro	m anybody else.
24	Hydro on distribution SAIDI and SAIFI, and		24	JOHNSON	N, Q.C.:
25	what I'm asking is if you applied the new		25	Q. No	ow the Board has heard evidence, as have the
	Р	age 58			Page 60
1	targets that you have in mind now, would yo	•	1	pa	rties, in this proceeding that the company's
2	performance in 2013 and 2014 have met th		2	-	n targets that it set internally, not the
3	new and improved targets?		3		I targets that get filed with the Board, but
4	MR. HENDERSON:		4		own targets that find their way into
5	A. Well, the target for 2015 is reflective of		5	per	rformance contracts and the like, that the
6	what we anticipate will happen in 2015,		6	co	mpany's own targets weren't achieved in
7	reflective of our outage plans in 2015,		7		ation to reliability in either of 2013 or
8	reflective of the work that we've done in the	e	8	20	14, and I take it you agree with that?
9	past to improve reliability to get		9]	MR. HEN	
10	improvements, so those targets are appropri	ate	10	A. Ye	28.
11	for 2015, they may not be appropriate for 20	014	11	JOHNSON	λ, Q.C.:
12	or 2013 because they were focused on what	t we	12	Q. Ok	ay.
13	were doing in 2015.			MR. HEN	•
	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		14	A. Bu	t I will include one qualifier, is that the
15	Q. Yeah, but at the end of the -		15		gets that we have are distribution
16	MR. HENDERSON:		16		iability, transmission reliability,
17	A. So if I put them back and compare them to t	the	17	gei	neration reliability, of different sorts.
18	previous years, the previous years won't ma		18	Ũ	some areas during that time, I believe that
19	those targets because there's an improvement		19		reliability was not necessarily poor. My
20	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		20		derstanding is that in one of those years
21	Q. But at the end of the day what comes out of	of	21		e area, I'll say, of a distribution area did
22	this new and improved process is a number		22		eet target, or was close to meeting target.
23	right?		23	JOHNSON	
24	MR. HENDERSON:		24	Q. Ar	nd we'll look at the internal reliability
25	A. It is.		25		gets in a moment, but just to be clear, is
					-

September 24, 2015	Multi-P	Page	⁴ NL Hydro GRA
	Page 61		Page 63
1 it the internal targets that have been	1	1	UFOP on the combustion turbines. There's
2 developed and which find themselves in	the 2	2	monthly reports on each of these that come to
3 performance contracts, are they the target	ts 3	3	me, so that all of those are there and are
4 that the company has been using to focus y	your 4	4	monitored and I will have discussions with
5 efforts and work plans, and not the ones fi	led 5	5	people who have different aspects of it.
6 with the Board?	6	5	Generally speaking, what happens there is that
7 MR. HENDERSON:	7	7	you know and I know what the issues were
8 A. There's different aspects of this. As far as	8	8	before the report comes out because when they
9 the company is concerned and the high le	evel 9	9	occur, I'm well aware of them, and there's a
10 ones that we discussed at the leadership te	am, 10)	lot of discussion about them and let's look at
11 there's certain ones there that we talk about	ıt, 11	1	what happened, how do we prevent that from
12 and for the most part we focus on initiative	es 12	2	happening again, what did we learn from that
13 that we're undertaking to improve reliabili	ity 13	3	incident. All of these things, while these
14 on those types of things, but the actual	14	4	lagging measures show you at the end of the
15 metrics of, a lagging metrics, looks back a	as 15	5	year how you've done, or each month they show
16 to what you had, they are also there as wel	ll, 16	5	you how you've done, but a lot of the focused
17 and in each of the managers within Operat	tions, 17	7	discussion is what was the cause of the
18 they have metrics in their performance	e 18	8	incidents that we had and what are we doing to
19 contracts related to reliability for their	19	9	address those incidents, and to improve
20 particular area of responsibility. So if it's	20)	performance and - so, you know, I'm going out
a manager that has a distribution reliability	y 21	1	a bit because, you know, what's important here
22 area or concern, then that manager has	a 22	2	is to learn from what's happening to make
23 distribution reliability target built into	23	3	improvements. That's where our focus is. We
24 their performance contract, and the measu	ires 24	4	talk about our asset management program and
25 that they have in terms of distribution	25	5	completion of our MPs, and we talk about the
	Page 62		Page 64
1 reliability would be consistent with what	we 1	1	incidents and how we're investigating those
2 put to the Public Utilities Board as the	2	2	incidents and ensuring that we do a consistent
3 target. There's nothing different there.	3	3	approach on that to improve reliability. As I
4 It's one and the same. So the distribution	1 4	4	said, at the end of the year when we measure
5 SAIDI and SAIFI values that are put before t		5	our performance, we use the exact same metrics
6 Board, while they are a high level compa	any 6	5	that have been reported to the Board in terms
7 level, they can be broken down into a Lab		7	of looking and measuring how we do. That's a
8 region or a Northern region, so each one	of 8	8	benchmark that we are striving to get to those
9 those regional managers will have their sh	are 9	9	levels, we have targets that we've established
10 of that reliability target to meet. The sum	10)	on those, and those targets are what we
11 of each of the regions adds up to the whol	le, 11	1	provide to the Board.
12 which is the number that we put in the K	PI 12	2 JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
13 report.	13	3 Q.	The reliability focused targets, as discussed
14 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	14	4	in your performance contracts of 2013 and
15 Q. Well, tell us how the internal targets at	15	5	2014, are reliability, forced outages, and
16 Hydro on reliability differ from the ones	s 16	5	maintain generation contingency reserve
17 filed with the Board?	17	7	requirements?
18 MR. HENDERSON:			HENDERSON:
19 A. Hydro uses all of the ones that are filed wi	ith 19	9 A.	That's right.
20 the Board and measures, tracks, reports	s. 20) JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
21 Every month, I see a report on the	21	1 Q.	Could you explain to us what was the process
22 transmission SAIDI and SAIFI, the distributi	on 22	2	that you folks used for arriving at your
23 SAIDI and SAIFI. I see the DAFOR forced	23	3	internal targets in relation to those areas?
24 outage rate on a rolling annual basis. I see	24	4 MR. I	HENDERSON:
25 the utilization forced outage probability, th	ne 25	5 <u>A</u> .	Can you just bring those up just so I have

Sej	ptember 24, 2015	Multi	-Page	TM NL Hydro GRA
		Page 65		Page 67
1	them as a reference?		1	event, that we would have a customer
2	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		2	interruption. So we strive to get to 100
3	Q. Certainly, these would be Undertaking 2.		3	percent there. That's where we want always to
4	MR. HENDERSON:		4	be in a situation where if an event occurs,
5	A. So there's two metrics there. One is th	e	5	that - we talked about in, in minus 1, it's
6	reliability and forced outages, so it exclude	les	6	that one event that occurs that takes you
7	planned outages. So we're focusing on the	hose	7	down. This measure here is looking at how
8	that are unexpected. This measure is	a	8	well we are making our generation available to
9	measure of the amount of energy unsuppl	-	9	ensure customers load is met in case there is
10	Hydro as a result of interruptions. This		10	one more event. So we're basically looking
11	comes from the main transmission system		11	for 99.5 target of that availability. So this
12	generation system, how well we've opera		12	ties back to our generation availability
13	supply energy to our customers. So this i		13	metrics. There isn't a similar metric like
14	measure of how much energy wasn't sup	-	14	this reported that I'm aware of by anybody
15	and it's really - you can almost equate it t		15	else, so it's not one that you can benchmark
16	a customer measure because the custome		16	against other utilities, but it's one that we
17	using the energy, but different customers		17	said this is really where the rubber hits the
18	different amounts, but it's holistic and loc		18	road, if you like, that if our generation is
19	at the total unsupplied energy. That		19	not there when a customer needs it, that's the
20	particular metric is one that is tied to our		20	issue, and while the generation availability
21	transmission SAIDI and SAIFI, and it's		21	number, if you look at it, that does not
22	calculated using the transmission SAIDI a		22	reflect customer demand, it just talks about
23	SAIFI. It also brings into account the unde		23	the equipment, is it there or not. This one
24	frequency load shedding that occur periodically on our system. Because of		24 25	brought in the customer requirements and reflects the customers requirements day in and
25	periodically on our system. Decause of		23	- · · · ·
	· . 1. (. 1 (Page 66		Page 68
	isolated nature, we have under frequency l		1	day out throughout the whole year. So this
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	shedding. The transmission SAIDI and SA		2	was put forward so that we were focused on
3	measures don't capture under frequency ev In order to ensure that we bring that into a		3	that area from our generation perspective. ():30 a.m.)
4	single metric, we brought the unsupplie			
6	energy in, so it reflects the energy that	u		INSON, Q.C.: Q. And then how did these evolve then into 2015,
7	wasn't supplied to customers as a result of	,f	7	because they changed in 2015, how did that
8	transmission related issues or generation		8	come about?
9	related issues, and as I said, it's tied back	•		. HENDERSON:
10	to we report to the Public Utilities Board th	he		A. In 2015, what we did is we looked at it and we
11	number of under frequency events and we		11	said we want to bring in the customer focus.
12	to the Board transmission SAIDI and SAIF	-	12	While these here, you can look at them and
13	This is connected to those through that		13	say, okay, they are directed at the customer,
14	measurement system. The generation		14	as I just explained and the customer demands,
15	contingency reserve is a measure of how		15	we said, well, maybe the real thing here is to
16	our generation is available during the wint		16	look at what is the real impact on customers.
17	period - well, actually it's throughout the		17	So in 2015, what we did is we looked at our
18	whole year, but the strongest component		18	historic impact on end customer use, so this
19	related to the winter. What it does, it's		19	was Newfoundland Power customers as well as
20	measuring the difference between how r	nuch	20	Hydro's rural area customers.
21	generation we have available and how much	ch the	21 JOH	INSON, Q.C.:
22	customers are demanding on the power sy	rstem,	22 (Q. I think it might be useful to bring up the
23	and it tells us how many hours during the	year	23	2015 on the screen. I think that's at
24	in which our generation availability is		24	Undertaking 10.
25	putting us into a situation where if we had	an	25 MR	. HENDERSON:

Sep	tem	ber 24, 2015 M	ulti-P	age	NL Hydro GRA
		Page	69		Page 7
1	A.	Thank you. That's great, Jennifer. So the	1		specific here on that in the 2015 document.
2		customer reliability, SAIDI, direct and NP,	2	2	Is customer satisfaction a part of your
3		that is Newfoundland Power's customers and	3	;	target?
4		Hydro's direct rural customers, measuring how	4	MR.	HENDERSON:
5		many hours during the year Hydro related	5	б А.	In my personal performance contract, these are
6		things happened that caused an interruption to	6	,	the areas that have been highlighted to focus
7		that customers load. So you take the hours	7	,	on. The customer satisfaction is certainly
8		that Hydro caused - I'm comfortable saying	8	5	one that we follow at the Hydro leadership
9		Hydro caused because it could be extreme	9)	team, it's an important measure, and we
10		weather, it could be any reason, but I'll say	10)	discuss every month how we're doing with
11		it's related to Hydro equipment caused an	11		respect to executing our customer satisfaction
12		outage to our customers, and I'll say ours and	12	2	improvement plan, but we have a strategic plan
13		Newfoundland Power's customers. We don'	t 13		that I believe has been filed with the Board
14		really look at them differently, they're all	14		on the actions that we are taking, and we
15		customers that we are required to supply.	15		discuss those each month on the initiatives,
16 J	JOHN	SON, Q.C.:	16	<u>,</u>	how well that's progressing, and the Vice
17	Q.	Right.	17	,	President of Corporate Relations has been
18 1	MR. H	IENDERSON:	18	5	charged with that as her responsibility for
19	A.	So we're looking there at 1.98, on the	19)	Hydro. So she reports on that to the Hydro
20		threshold there, 1.98 hours per customer and	20)	leadership team each month.
21		that would be all of the customers that Hydro	21	JOHN	NSON, Q.C.:
22		supplies, and then we set a target of 1. 88	22	Q.	. So is the long and short of it, that's not
23		hours to all of those customers. Similarly,	23		something that's in your own personal target?
24		on the frequency, we indicated 1. 13	24	MR.	HENDERSON:
25		interruptions per customer per year by Hydro	25	A.	. It's not in my own personal performance
		Page	70		Page 7
1		related facilities, and a target of 1.08	1		contract, but it's something that I address
2		interruptions per customer. So in order to	2	2	each and every month and there's a number of
3		bring that metric and follow it, we have	3	;	things that happen in Hydro that aren't in
4		worked with Newfoundland Power so that they	4	Ļ	this because if you were to put them all in
5		provide us regular updates of the impact our	5	i	here, you end up with watering down the value,
6		events, if you like, have on their customers.	6	5	if you like, if you put in all the areas that
7		The other metrics didn't rely on Newfoundland	7	,	we're focused on, so the choice was made that
8		Power, and so over the years we had progressed	8	;	the focus on the items that are in this
9		trying to do it with only our in-house things.	9)	performance contract.
10		That's why unsupplied energy was what we were	10	JOHN	NSON, Q.C.:
11		using because we didn't have that direct	11	Q.	But customer satisfaction, I mean, you'd think
12		access, but over the past period of time we	12	2	that would be pretty central?
13		worked with Newfoundland Power and we now hav	e 13	MR.	HENDERSON:
14		regular - every time there's an event on	14	. А.	. Customer satisfaction is very important for us
15		Hydro's system, we get the customer hours and	15		certainly.
16		the customer - how many customers were	16	JOHN	NSON, Q.C.:
17		impacted and for what duration, so that we can	17		Now I understand that Hydro is developing a
18		keep track of it and report it to our folks so	18		customer service strategy, a five year
19		that I can see it, and we get a direct view of	19)	customer service strategy. Are you aware of
20		customers.	20)	that initiative?
	JOHNS	50N, Q.C.:	21	MR.	HENDERSON:
22		Mr. Henderson, where is - I didn't see any	22	A.	Yes, that's what I was just speaking to.
23	-	specific reference to customer satisfaction			NSON, Q.C.:
24		target in either 2013 or 2014 documents. Now	24		. Okay, and did you have direct input and
		I'm not seeing anything, I don't think,	25	-	involvement in the development of that

Septemb	ber 24, 2015 Multi	i-P	Page [™] NL Hydro GRA
	Page 73		Page 75
1	strategy?	1	1 that?
2 MR. H	ENDERSON:	2	2 MR. HENDERSON:
3 A.	That strategy was led by our customer services	3	3 A. Yes.
4	group, and that was brought forward to me and	4	4 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
5	presented to me, and I reviewed it and was	5	5 Q. And a report has been requested by the Board
6	satisfied that it was a good plan. The	6	6 by September 30th for a number of
7	development of that involved people beyond the	7	7 deliverables. You're aware of that?
8	Customer Services Department. It involved	8	8 MR. HENDERSON:
9	field people who are talking to the customers	9	9 A. Yes.
10	on a daily basis, because in order to complete	10	0 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
11	that, people in Darren's shop also are key and	11	1 Q. And can you undertake to file that report on
12	critical because they are the ones who deal	12	2 the record in this proceeding once it's
13	with customers when they have a problem with	13	3 prepared?
14	their service or when they're getting new	14	4 MR. HENDERSON:
15	service, that type of thing. So while it was	15	5 A. Sure.
	lead by the Customer Service group, the Rural	16	6 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
17	Operations areas of the company were a	17	7 Q. Okay.
18	critical and key part of that as well.	18	8 MS. GLYNN:
19 JOHNS		19	9 Q. Noted on the record.
	What will you be doing personally to ensure	20	0 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
	the implementation of this strategy as it	21	-
	rolls out, will you have a role in that	22	2 Mr. Henderson -
23	regard?	23	3 MR. HENDERSON:
	ENDERSON:	24	5
25 A.	My role will be working with the Vice	25	5 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
	Page 74		Page 76
	President of Corporate Relations and ensuring	1	1 Q. Okay. Mr. Henderson, you're aware that the
	that we're in regular contact on how that plan	2	2 rural deficit is now approaching 64 million, I
3	is progressing, so each month I'm updated on	3	3 think, on an annual basis?
	how well that is going. I also talk to the	4	4 MR. HENDERSON:
	Operations Managers, ensuring that they are		5 A. That sounds right.
	doing their role as part of that as well.	6	6 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
	SON, Q.C.:	7	
	But in terms of the driving of this, this is	8	8 projection of the rural deficit beyond 2015.
	not on your desk as much as it's on the -		9 If we could bring up that RFI.
	ENDERSON:		0 MS. GRAY:
	I hesitate to say that it's not, but I - there	11	-
	are delegation of responsibilities here and		2 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
	that's one that's delegated to the Vice	13	
	President of Corporate Relations to deliver.		4 MS. GRAY:
	SON, Q.C.:	15	
	Turning to winter readiness just generally,	1	6 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
	and we've touched on - this has been touched	17	
	on by Mr. O'Brien in terms of your appraisal	18	· · · · ·
	of that yesterday, I won't revisit that. As	19	
	you're aware, Mr. Henderson, or I assume that	20	
	you're aware, the Board has recently sent	21	
	Hydro correspondence indicating that it plans	22	5 1 5
	to monitor Hydro's winter readiness planning	23	•
	and scheduling, as was done last year in	24	
25	advance of the winter season. You're aware of	25	5 need be, we can pull up the order and we might

Septem	nber 24, 2015 N	Iulti-P	age	NL Hydro GRA
ĺ	Pag	e 77		Page 79
1	do that in short order, that in the Board's	1	Q.	So there was other requirements as well in the
2	2004 GRA Order, they ordered Hydro to subm	it 2	2	Board's Order, including the cost per kilowatt
3	in conjunction with its annual financial	3	3	hour per system, showing a comparison with
4	report a five year forecast of the rural	4	Ļ	cost per kilowatt hour for the Island-
5	deficit by system. Are you aware of that	5	5	Interconnected System and Labrador, the
6	obligation?	6	5	deficit per customer, etc, and if I could turn
7 MR. I	HENDERSON:	7	1	you to the rural deficit annual report from
8 A.	I wasn't aware of that specific obligation,	8	3	March, 2015, that Mr. O'Brien brought up with
9	but, you know, agree with it if that's what it	9)	you yesterday.
10	says.			5 a.m.)
	NSON, Q.C.:	11	MS. G	
12 Q.	Just if we could bring up the 2004 GRA Order,	12	2 Q.	Information number 8.
13	Page 120.	13		SON, Q.C.:
14 MS. 0		14	Q.	Info 8. Mr. Henderson, have you had an
15 Q.	Sorry, Mr. Johnson, do you have the Order	15		opportunity to review this document?
16	number?			ENDERSON:
	NSON, Q.C.:	17		I have reviewed it, yes.
	I can see if I can get it.	18		SON, Q.C.:
	GLYNN:	19) Q.	And I take it that you will agree that this
	We think it may be PU-14, 2004.	20		document is not providing the information that
	NSON, Q.C.:	21		was ordered completely in the Board's 2004
	I think that would be right, thank you very	22		Order, right?
23	much. Page 120, I hope. You see after the			ENDERSON:
24	Board's discussion of the general deficit for	24		This does not include the items that we just
25	the rural deficit issue, it made a very	25)	covered.
	-	e 78		Page 80
1	particular Order. Do you see that, Mr.			SON, Q.C.:
2	Henderson?	2		Right. For instance, cost per kilowatt hour
	HENDERSON:	3		per system, or anything like that. So if we
	I do, yes.	4		could just turn to NP-NLH-034.
	NSON, Q.C.: And so there was a number of pieces of		MS. G	
	And so there was a number of pieces of information that was available to be provided	6		Revision 2, Mr. Johnson?
7	information that was expected to be provided, and, I guess, just going to the five year			SON, Q.C.: Nas thenk you. So if we some down a bit we
8	forecast, what's the reason that there's no	8		Yes, thank you. So if we come down a bit, we see the breakdown by system here, and quite a
9 10	five year forecast available?	10		large chunk is taken up with the Island-
	HENDERSON:	11		Interconnected System. These would be your
	I can't say specifically because I - one thing	12		own direct customers on the island, right, the
	I do know in terms of in order for the company			Northern Peninsula, those customers on the
	I GO KHOW IN ICITIIS OF IN OLICE TOF THE COMDAIN		,	i vormenn i eminsula, mose customers on me
13		11/	L	Interconnected grid?
13 14	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost	14		Interconnected grid?
13 14 15	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that	15	MR. H	ENDERSON:
13 14 15 16	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that have to be allocated in order to identify the	15 16	5 MR. H 5 A.	ENDERSON: That's correct, they're on the Island-
13 14 15 16 17	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that have to be allocated in order to identify the rural deficit. So I expect that - I'm	15 16 17	5 MR. H 5 A.	ENDERSON: That's correct, they're on the Island- Interconnected System, North Peninsula, the
13 14 15 16 17 18	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that have to be allocated in order to identify the rural deficit. So I expect that - I'm speculating, but I expect that the difficulty	15 16 17 18	5 MR. H 5 A.	ENDERSON: That's correct, they're on the Island- Interconnected System, North Peninsula, the South Coast, also Fogo, Baie Verte Peninsula.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that have to be allocated in order to identify the rural deficit. So I expect that - I'm speculating, but I expect that the difficulty in doing a forward looking is that you need to	15 16 17 18 19	5 MR. H 5 A. 9 9 JOHN	ENDERSON: That's correct, they're on the Island- Interconnected System, North Peninsula, the South Coast, also Fogo, Baie Verte Peninsula. SON, Q.C.:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that have to be allocated in order to identify the rural deficit. So I expect that - I'm speculating, but I expect that the difficulty in doing a forward looking is that you need to do a cost of service and a test year, and a	15 16 17 18 19 20	5 MR. H 5 A. 9 JOHN 0 Q.	ENDERSON: That's correct, they're on the Island- Interconnected System, North Peninsula, the South Coast, also Fogo, Baie Verte Peninsula. SON, Q.C.: Right, so about 25 million of the 64 million,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that have to be allocated in order to identify the rural deficit. So I expect that - I'm speculating, but I expect that the difficulty in doing a forward looking is that you need to do a cost of service and a test year, and a type of analysis in order to predict what the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	5 MR. H 5 A. 9 JOHN 9 Q.	ENDERSON: That's correct, they're on the Island- Interconnected System, North Peninsula, the South Coast, also Fogo, Baie Verte Peninsula. SON, Q.C.: Right, so about 25 million of the 64 million, and Labrador Isolated is 27.4 million for that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that have to be allocated in order to identify the rural deficit. So I expect that - I'm speculating, but I expect that the difficulty in doing a forward looking is that you need to do a cost of service and a test year, and a type of analysis in order to predict what the rural deficit is, because it incorporates	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5 MR. H 5 A. 9 JOHN 9 Q.	ENDERSON: That's correct, they're on the Island- Interconnected System, North Peninsula, the South Coast, also Fogo, Baie Verte Peninsula. SON, Q.C.: Right, so about 25 million of the 64 million, and Labrador Isolated is 27.4 million for that system. Now if we go then further to NP-NLH
 A. A	to determine the rural deficit requires a cost of service study because there's costs that have to be allocated in order to identify the rural deficit. So I expect that - I'm speculating, but I expect that the difficulty in doing a forward looking is that you need to do a cost of service and a test year, and a type of analysis in order to predict what the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	5 MR. H 5 A. 9 JOHN 9 Q.	ENDERSON: That's correct, they're on the Island- Interconnected System, North Peninsula, the South Coast, also Fogo, Baie Verte Peninsula. SON, Q.C.: Right, so about 25 million of the 64 million, and Labrador Isolated is 27.4 million for that

Sep	tember 24, 2015	Multi-Pa	age	M NL Hydro GRA
		Page 81		Page 83
1	recovery; Island-Interconnected, 67	percent; 1		demand in those communities and how we may be
2	Island Isolated, 16 percent; Lab	rador 2		able to meet that in a different manner, for
3	Isolated, 24 percent, and on a total	basis 3		instance, applying different general
4	about 51 cents on the dollar is recover	ered. So 4		technologies that are more efficient and may
5	you're familiar with these figures, I t	take it? 5		lower the cost, and they would look at the
61	MR. HENDERSON:	6	i	long run cost of the generation supply to see
7	A. Yes.	7		what opportunities there are to give least
8 J	OHNSON, Q.C.:	8		cost supply to these customers over the long
9	Q. Now Hydro's March 2015 report th	nat we just 9)	haul, but they would all result in capital
10	spoke of outlined a number of di	fferent 10)	investments and the cost, so all of those
11	initiatives that Hydro was taking			things fundamentally are what establishes the
12	operating initiatives, and we can go			rural deficit and then the rates that
13	that document, and I'm sorry if we'r			customers are charged is the other side of
14	around, but I want to put it in a bit			that equation. So to the extent that we have
15	context. So Page 3, the operating ini			the rates are relatively fixed by Government
16	are contained at Page 3, and there's a			policy and direction, that's one side of
17	of bullet points about the retrofittin			things that there's not anything directly that
18	fluorescent light fixtures in area off	-		Hydro does as it follows Government direction,
19	and I won't go through the whole li			but the cost is where we would focus on, the O
20	them, but I guess, Mr. Henderson, th	-		& M cost, and how we can influence the costs
21	that I would have is do you expect	-		that are going into the cost of supplying
22	sorts of initiatives that Hydro has			customers in the rural areas of the province.
23	pursuing in these rural deficit areas,			NSON, Q.C.:
24	expect these to have a significant im	-		Q. So how is Government influencing the cost?
25	the amount of the rural deficit going	-		HENDERSON:
		Page 82		Page 84
1	Will these initiatives, in your view	-	,	A. Well, the Government doesn't - well, in terms
2	moving the needle very much on the			of Government influencing the cost, Government
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	the rural deficit?			has facilities in the rural areas, there's
1	MR. HENDERSON:			Government buildings, schools, and that sort
	A. They will have generally marginal	impacts 4		of thing, so they influence the cost through
5	because of the elements of the rural			their facilities and the energy use that those
	are not primarily driven by the rates			facilities have and the rates that they pay,
7	charged to those customers, and the			and their rates are cost based rates for
8	-			certain government facilities, so they - at
9	the infrastructure, natural cost			
10	maintaining an infrastructure in isola			least in the - their rates are fully cost
11	rural areas is, you know, costly an			recovered rates, so they would - in their own
12	there's a lot of those fundamental l			facilities would not be contributed to the
13	there's the cost of the assets that an			rural deficit.
14	play, the cost to maintain and operate			INSON, Q.C.:
15	the capital cost to replace them, the			2. How about Government policy, does that have
16	cost related to the diesel systems, a			any impact on the level of the rural deficit?
17	those fundamental - what we are stri	-		. HENDERSON:
18	is to affect fuel usage and operation			A. Government policy does influence the -
19	maintenance costs, so that we can ke	-		Government policy establishes or has
20	two as low as we can to deliver the			established the rates that are charged in the
21	service these customers require and			rural areas, and so that's where the
22	expect. So we work through that			Government's influence is on the rural
23	primarily through the O & M and the	-		deficit.
24	fuel usage. Now in addition to tha			INSON, Q.C.:
25	system planning group would be loo	king at the 25	(Q. Right. Now if I could bring you up to PUB-339,

Sept	tember 24, 2015 Mult	i-Pa	age	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 85			Page 87
1	and if we scroll up a little bit, this	1		one way or another on what Government policy -
2	question references Hydro having filed as part	2		that's what the Government policy puts out
3	of its 2003 GRA, a discussion paper for the	3		there.
4	Minister of Mines and Energy on the rural	4	JOHN	SON, Q.C.:
5	deficit, which included information on the	5	Q.	But wouldn't Hydro have a say as to whether or
6	comparative practices in other jurisdictions,	6		not it felt that rates being charged to
7	etc, and Hydro was asked to provide a copy of	7		customers could be judged unreasonable or
8	this discussion paper and update the	8		discriminatory?
9	information provided relative to comparative	9		IENDERSON:
10	practices. So what we see here in Attachment	10	А.	Well, when we put forward our rates, that's a
11	1 is a copy of the discussion paper, and just	11		consideration of our rate proposals, and our
12	to go back again, I'm sorry - it said, "The	12		Rates Department would be - our rates witness
13	source of the original data no longer produces	13		would be able to specifically speak to how we
14	it. Hydro attempted to gather relevant data	14		address that in our rate applications, but
15	through its participation in CEA Finance	15		with respect to the rural subsidy, the
16	Committee on two occasions and only received a	16		Government has established the policy and we
17	response from one", etc, so it just basically	17		are implementing that policy as directed.
18	goes on to produce the discussion paper. I'd			SON, Q.C.:
19	just like to bring you to Page 10 of that. It	19	Q.	And, I guess, you will agree that the rural
20	says, "With regard to continued increasing	20		deficit that the Board was expressing concern
21	cross-subsidization among rate payers, the	21		over then, at around that time the rural
22	Board highlights a concern of implementing rates which are unreasonable or	22		deficit was only about - and I say "only", but it was about 28/20 million is that right?
23	rates which are unreasonable or discriminatory", and then the paper quotes the	23	MDT	it was about 38/39 million, is that right? IENDERSON:
24 25	Board as saying, "The Board refers to its	24		It was probably in that range at that time. I
2.5		-	А.	· · ·
1	Page 86 statutory obligation in implementing rates			Page 88 don't know what it would have been in terms of
1 2	that are in accordance with the Provincial	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$		the percentage, and I'll say add on to the
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Power Policy. Section 3.3(a), Clause 1 of the	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$		base cost for Newfoundland Power customers
4	EPCA states, "The rates to be charged should			then versus now, I don't know, but the dollar
5	be reasonable and not unjustly	4 5		amount of the deficit has grown, but costs
6	discriminatory". Depending on the level of	6		have grown and rates have grown also during
7	subsidy paid by one customer to support	7		that time. In terms of how much of a subsidy
8	equitable rates for another customer, rates	8		it is on the rate, I don't know.
9	may be judged unreasonable and discriminatory		JOHNS	SON, Q.C.:
10	to the subsidizing customer". Is this a	10		Mr. Henderson, my expert, Mr. Bowman, has
11	concern that you would share as well, Mr.	11		called the rural deficit a significant burden
12	Henderson, about depending on the level of	12		on the subsidizing customers. Would you agree
13	subsidy, there would be a concern as to	13		that it's now representing a significant
14	whether the rates would be unreasonable and	14		burden?
15	discriminatory?	15	MR. H	ENDERSON:
16 M	IR. HENDERSON:	16	A.	It is - I'll say 65 million dollars is a
17	A. Well, the Board makes that judgment as to	17		significant amount of money in general terms,
18	what's reasonable and what we do is we bring	18		so I would agree that the 65 million dollars
19	forward a rate application that's consistent	19		is a significant amount of money, but again we
20	with the Board's Order - consistent with the	20		are implementing Government policy in
21	Government's directive, and what has been	21		establishing the rates.
22	established in previous hearings. From where	22	JOHNS	SON, Q.C.:
23	that lies, that falls out where it is. I	23	Q.	And will Hydro have a witness who can speak to
24	don't - this is Government policy, basically,	24		whether the effect of that burden is - whether
25	establishing this, so I don't have an opinion	25		it's unreasonable or discriminatory?

September 24, 2015	Multi	-Pag	ge [™] NL Hydro GRA
	Page 89		Page 91
1 MR. HENDERSON:		1	the deficit is having in terms of the impact
2 A. Well, I don't know. That's a judgment t	that	2	on customers who are providing the cross-
3 the Board, I think, makes in terms of what	at's	3	subsidy, and to bring that to the attention of
4 reasonable. We put forward a rate application	ation	4	Government?
5 that's consistent with Government direct	tion	5 M	IR. HENDERSON:
6 and consistent with the way the Board 1	has	6	A. Hydro is aware of the amount of the deficit
7 directed us in the way we should alloca	ate	7	and, I guess, we look at that each year, but
8 costs, and that's the way our witness wo	ould	8	in terms of going to the Government and asking
9 respond.		9	them - are you saying that Hydro should be
10 (11:00 a.m.)		10	going to the Government to ask them to do
11 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		11	something?
12 Q. Mr. Chairman, we're on the hour, so if I	can	12 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
13 stop here.		13	Q. Well, I'm asking if you whether you regard
14 CHAIRMAN:		14	Hydro as having a responsibility to review the
15 Q. Okay.		15	implications of the rural deficit and the
16 (RECESS)		16	effect on those doing the cross-subsidization
17 (11:37 a.m.)		17	and bring the issue directly to Government's
18 MS. GLYNN:		18	attention?
19 Q. Mr. Chair, there is one small amendment	to an	19 M	IR. HENDERSON:
20 Undertaking that I forgot to mention to y	ou.	20	A. I say that we did bring it to Government's
21 Ms. Pennell will speak to that.		21	attention as directed by the Board following
22 MS. PENNELL:		22	the hearing that we referenced earlier, and
23 Q. This is in relation to Undertaking 4, the		23	the Government, I would suggest, is aware of
budget guidelines. When we went through		24	the impact and it has given policy direction
25 2013 budget guidelines, we realized i	-	25	and continues to provide policy direction in
	Page 90		Page 92
1 referenced a 2013 budget O & M guidance	ce d	1	that regard.
2 document. That wasn't included in the packag		2 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
3 that we filed, so we do have that piece of	-	3	Q. You say it was brought to the Government's
4 paper to add to Undertaking 4.		4	attention, when was that?
5 CHAIR:		5 M	IR. HENDERSON:
6 Q. Okay. Mr. Johnson, sir, the stage is yours.		6	A. The document we just referred to in terms of
7 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		7	the - you asked me if we had a response from
8 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Henderson, c	did	8	the Government.
9 Hydro ever receive any written comment fro		9 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
10 Government on the rural deficit issues		10	Q. Yes.
11 following the filing of the March 2003 report,		11 M	IR. HENDERSON:
12 do you know?		12	A. The only documented response that is in the
13 MR. HENDERSON:		13	record here is the Government's directive with
14 A. I don't know. I wasn't involved at that time,		14	regard to rural rates, so to the extent that
15 so I don't know for sure.		15	that's reflective of what the Government's
16 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		16	policy is, that continues to be in place.
17 Q. Could you undertake to check and advise in	1	17 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
18 that regard?		18	Q. But Hydro has not gone back to Government, I
19 MR. CASS:		19	take it, since the filing of this report with
20 Q. Yes, Mr. Chair.		20	the Minister?
21 MS. GLYNN:			IR. HENDERSON:
22 Q. Undertaking noted on the record.		22	A. I'm not aware whether Hydro has gone back to
23 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		23	the Government, but the Government would be
24 Q. Mr. Henderson, would you view it as Hydro		24	aware from that paper that there is a subsidy
responsibility to review the implications that		25	there.
responsionity to review the implications that			01

September 24, 2015	Multi-Page [™] NL Hydro G	RA
	Page 93 Pag	
1 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	1 discussions certainly that they understand	
2 Q. Mr. Henderson, we've been turning to		
3 of conflict and transparency in the H		
4 matrix organization scheme. We've	-	
5 advised through Hydro's testimony, I'l		
6 summarize it, that conflicts between H	and 6 advise us where the clarity is on the	
7 other interests don't arise, but if they d	7 documentation on the record as to it being	
8 they would be handled and resolved an	e've 8 clear that these Nalcor employees have the	
9 been told that the people on Hydr	9 responsibility and accountability that you	
0 leadership team, many of whom are n	Hydro10spoke of. Now on the conflicts part, I'm not	
1 employees, have the responsibility	11 aware -	
2 accountability to ensure that what's req	d 12 MS. GLYNN:	
3 for Hydro is undertaken, and you said	's Q. Mr. Johnson, I'm interrupting you, I note the	
4 very clear.	14 undertaking on the record.	
5 MR. HENDERSON:	15 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
6 A. Yes.	16 Q. I'm sorry about that.	
7 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	17 MS. GLYNN:	
8 Q. Do you remember saying that?	18 Q. Thank you.	
19 MR. HENDERSON:	19 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
20 A. Yes.	20 Q. On the conflict issue, we haven't referred to	
21 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	any rules pertaining to how a conflict would	
Q. Mr. Henderson, can you appreciate the		
transparency and clear rules around t	· · ·	
types of governance and decision maki		
in the context of a regulated utility in	25 A. There hasn't been any conflict of any	
	Page 94 Pag	e 96
1 matrix organization where other entitie		
2 non-regulated?	2 attention, so the - it is addressed if there	
3 MR. HENDERSON:	3 is anything - as long as people are completing	
4 A. Well, there are inter-company guideline		
5 we have in place that we follow.	5 addressed, and this is similar to the way	
6 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	6 Hydro has been for many years. Prior to	
7 Q. But nothing that addresses these con		
8 issues or addresses in a formal way, I t		
9 you'd agree with me, as regards	9 been practised, and that's the way we've been	
responsibility and accountability of N		
employees to do what's required for	· · · ·	
2 that which you said is very clear?	12 that you get through shared services.	
13 MR. HENDERSON:	13 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
A. Yes, it's clear for those people who an		
15 the Hydro leadership team that they ha		
responsibility and accountability.	16 right?	
17 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	17 MR. HENDERSON:	
Q. It's clear, but it's not documented, is the	A. There is no document that I'm aware of.	
9 right?	19 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
20 MR. HENDERSON:	20 Q. Right, and then this brings me back then to my	V
A. I'm thinking what we may have docum		
it may not be documented - I'd have to		
to see what we have in terms of the I	· ·	
leadership team members responsibilit	but 24 a regulated utility in amongst non-regulated	

September 24, 2015	Multi-P	ge TM	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 97		Page 99
1 MR. HENDERSON:	1	changed for	ollowing that review, but a lot of
2 A. I guess, I'm not quite sure exa	ctly what 2	the focus v	was on the quality, what's in the
3 you're asking or what you're sa	ying a rule 3	fuel and ho	ow do we assure ourselves that we
4 would be. I think we've articul	ated that 4	don't have	ongoing operational concerns with
5 people have responsibility and ac	countability 5	plugging o	due to the fuel, or wear on the
6 to do their work in accordance w	ith the annual 6	motors that	at are - the impellers that are
7 work plan, and if they're in a f	unctional 7	pumping tl	he fuel around. We were running into
8 area, they have responsibility and	l many people 8	a number	of issues like that which we had
9 in the functional area are Hydro	employees, 9	brought for	rward to the Board, and so we wanted
10 they are providing the work, th	e work is 10	to address	those. That was the initiative. The
11 getting done. I'm not sure where	the conflict 11	initiative h	ere in terms of BTU content, it
is because a functional area is p	roviding a 12	did change	e it, but I think the important thing
13 service.	13	to note is t	hat we were always buying BTUs and
14 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	14	we will co	ontinue to buy BTUs, so there's
15 Q. I guess, for you, are you saying	hat that's 15	always an	adjustment in our contracts for BTU
clear and transparent enough to a	nybody who's 16	content. It	t was - that's been there as long
17 looking at Hydro as a regulated e	ntity? 17	as I've bee	en involved with Hydro.
18 MR. HENDERSON:	18	OHNSON, Q.C.:	
19 A. Well, I guess, what I'm saying is	that's the 19	Q. But I thoug	ght - I took you to mean yesterday
20 way it is. In terms of clarity, I gu	less, I'm 20	or I took y	you to say yesterday that Stantec
not sure what additional clarity o	ther than to 21	actually d	lid advise Hydro on tender
22 write down what I just said on p	aper. I'm 22	specification	ons as regards BUI content, is that
just trying to understand what	that will 23	correct?	
24 achieve, but, you know, I'll tak	e it that 24	IR. HENDERSON	:
25 you're asking for it, and there	may be 25	A. They did.	
	Page 98		Page 100
1 something that will bring value, 1	don't know. 1	OHNSON, Q.C.:	
2 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	2	Q. And you in	mplemented those?
3 Q. Let me turn to fuel conversion, o		IR. HENDERSON	
4 basically, I guess. There was a			that change, but like I said, the net
5 yesterday regarding BTUs and th			at we were still - if their BTU,
6 that would have on the fuel conv		-	meet - supplier doesn't meet that
7 Now right now, Mr. Henderso	-	•	mber that's in the BUI - in the
8 managing that business risk by		-	on, they don't meet that BUI level
9 such as retaining Stantec to a	•	-	leliver a lower, they are able to
advising Hydro on fuel specifica	tions in the 10		ower BTU, but we will discount the
11 tendering process, correct?	11	price becau	use of it.
12 MR. HENDERSON:	12	OHNSON, Q.C.:	
13 A. Well, Hydro experienced some		Q. Yes.	
14 issues in 2013, which caused us		IR. HENDERSON	
15 what's happened in the market b		A. And that w	vas always the case.
been using the standard specific		OHNSON, Q.C.:	
number of years. So we went o		-	enough. Let's just move on then to
18 okay, we need to understand wh			ay that you're managing the risk
19 something is changing here and		-	do with the fuel conversion factor,
20 were telling us that this is the t			you would agree this time that you
21 product that's out there, so we			o is managing the business risk by
22 Stantec to say we would like som	-	-	hat the manner in which your thermal
looking at the specification. It			ators operate their boilers is
24 deal with the BTU content, it was	more to do 24	optimal, co	prrect?
25 with the quality. While the BTU	I	IR. HENDERSON	

Sep	tember 24, 2015 Mult	ti-P	age	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 101			Page 103
1	A. Hydro is doing it for - you suggest it's	1	. (Q. Well, let's put it this way. That risk, that
2	because of business risk, and I would say to	2	2	variability that affects your bottom line that
3	you that that may be an element of it, but the	3	;	may come about through the fuel conversion
4	big business risk is all about the	4	ł	rates or heat rates, that's something that you
5	uncontrollable aspects of variability on the	5	i	had in your laps for years and years and
6	load on the units, and the BTU content is	6		years, right?
7	another one that has arisen to prominence in	7		R. HENDERSON:
8	recent years, and so those are the big aspects	8		A. That's correct.
9	that affect the controllability and has the			HNSON, Q.C.:
10	big business risk. The amount that the	10		Q. Okay, and you had that as your responsibility
11	operators adjust the boiler is a marginal	11		with a much lower ROE, correct?
12	adjustment on that, but that's something that			R. HENDERSON:
13	we do as good prudent operators. We do that	13		A. Yes.
14	to try to maximize the efficiency regardless,			HNSON, Q.C.:
15	it's not - we're not driven to do it because	15		Q. Than what you are seeking in this application,
16	of the business risk. We've driven to do it	16		some 20 odd million dollars more than what
17	because of environmental commitments to reduce	17		would have been established from the last time
18	pollution, to be as efficient as possible. We	18		the Board examined your ROE, correct?
19	set objectives regularly to improve that			R. HENDERSON:
20	efficiency. We're audited in an EMS Program and ISO 14001 commitment that we have, that	20		A. Yes, the ROE is higher.
21	we're audited regularly to show that we're			HNSON, Q.C.: Q. And so along with you increasing your return
22 23	doing the things that we should be doing to	22 23		on equity dramatically, you're asking to be
23	improve continually in our environmental	23		relieved of this risk. I say it's a business
24	stewardship of our responsibility. So does	24		risk, but you call it what you will, of this
	Page 102			Page 104
1	improvements will be happening regardless of	1		risk, and for it to be placed on customers?
2	the risk associated with the conversion rate			R. HENDERSON:
3	of the plant. There's a number of things that	3		A. It's an uncontrollable cost that Hydro is
4	we do because it's the right thing to do, not	4		incurring because of the manner in which Hydro
5	necessarily because we're driven by the risk	5		operates is to provide the most efficient use
6	to the company. If that was our reason for -	6	5	of the electricity system, and in doing that,
7	motivated our operation, we would be running	7	,	we're driving costs onto Hydro that Hydro, in
8	the Holyrood plant at a higher load to get a	8	3	essence, can't control other than to do things
9	higher conversion rate, which makes no sense.	9)	that are not the most effective way to operate
10	We, as stewards of the electricity system, are	10)	the power system.
11	looking to do it in the least cost efficient	11	JOF	HNSON, Q.C.:
12	manner.	12	: C	Q. But you can have influence over - you can make
13 J	OHNSON, Q.C.:	13	\$	forecasts about what your fuel conversion rate
14	Q. But how you do on fuel conversion does impact	14	ŀ	is, right?
15	Hydro's bottom line, right?	15	MR	R. HENDERSON:
16 N	MR. HENDERSON:	16	j A	A. We do make forecasts on the fuel conversion
17	A. How we do on conversion factor absolutely	17	1	rate, but the variability on that fuel
18	affects Hydro's bottom line, and that's	18	;	conversion rate, for the reasons I just
19	precisely why the variability of those that	19)	explained, is having an impact, and that those
20	are uncontrollable is why we put forward the	20		variabilities are outside of Hydro's control.
21	requirement from Hydro's perspective of that			HNSON, Q.C.:
22	uncontrollable cost being taken from the	22		Q. Well, I guess, you would agree with me, this
23	company's bottom line because it's not	23	5	must be an obvious point, that Hydro would
24	something the company can control.	24		become completely financially indifferent as
25 J	OHNSON, Q.C.:	25	i	to how well it managed the heat rate to the

Sep	otember 24, 2015 Ma	ulti-P	Pag	ge TM NL Hydro GRA
	Page 1	05		Page 107
1	extent that it could, or how well it	1	1	customers as well. It isn't just a negative.
2	forecasted these costs, right, that would be	2	2	It's the fact that it's variable and
3	the end result if the Board accedes to your	3	3	uncontrollable.
4	recommendations, right?	4	4 JC	OHNSON, Q.C.:
5	MR. HENDERSON:	5	5	Q. But that's beside the point, though, because
6	A. The variability in the conversion factor would	6	6	the variability is the risk, right?
7	be - would no longer be an exposure to Hydro	7	7 M	MR. HENDERSON:
8	because it's an uncontrollable aspect of	8	8	A. The variability is the risk, but it goes both
9	Hydro's business.	9	9	ways, it goes up and down.
10	JOHNSON, Q.C.:	10	0 JC	OHNSON, Q.C.:
11	Q. And did you question how would - I guess, put	11	1	Q. We're talking about risk in the financial
12	it this way, the fairness of - because I don't	12	2	sense, up or down, but in any event, that's
13	think your discussion yesterday got into how	13	3	proposed to be transferred away from Hydro?
14	it tied into the return on equity, but did you	14	4 M	MR. HENDERSON:
15	inquire into the fairness of this relative to	15	5	A. That's correct.
16	the fact now that you're not only looking to			OHNSON, Q.C.:
17	put this burden on customers and relieve	17	7	Q. If I could turn to some questions that touch
18	yourselves of it, these and the other deferral	18	8	upon energy supply expenditures, and in
19	accounts, by the way, but you're doing it in	19		particular, Mr. Henderson, as you know,
20	the context where the return on equity request	20		there's been a pilot study, a Pilot Supply
21	pursuant to the direction is much, much	21		Agreement, that's going to be remaining in
22	higher? Did you consider that?	22		place on a piloted basis pertaining to supply
	MR. HENDERSON:	23		from Corner Brook Pulp and Paper. You're
24	A. The return on equity was a directive directed	24		aware of that, right?
25	by the Government that that's what Hydro's		5 M	MR. HENDERSON:
	Page 1			Page 108
1	return - what we are talking about is the		1	A. Yes.
2	uncontrollable cost of Hydro and the			12:00 p.m.)
3	appropriateness for those to be in a deferral			OHNSON, Q.C.:
4	account, and it's precisely because of the		4	Q. And if I could bring up CA-288. This question
5	uncontrollable nature of those and the impact		5	is asked in light of the data and information
6	they can have that the deferral accounts have		6	filed in the Amended 2013 GRA and the recent
7	been put forward.		7	reduction in oil price forecast, "Please
	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		8	update the following information for the
9	Q. You weren't directed to bring forward deferral		9	period 2014 through 2017 as it relates to the
10	accounts? MR. HENDERSON:	10		CBPP Demand Credit Agreement", and the answers
	A. We were not directed to bring forward, but in	11		are below which the table outlined a potential for annual savings of fuel costs based on 2014
12	order to achieve the rate of return, we			-
13 14	control the things that we can in order to	13 14		actual fuel consumption, and it indicates, "As indicated, there's been a modest reduction of
14 15	enable us to get to the rate of return. The	14		potential savings to about \$520,000.00
15 16	things that we don't control, we seek, as is a	16		annually". Now just explain these fuel
10	common practice within the utility business,	17		savings for us, how they come about under this
17	deferral accounts for those aspects which are	18		arrangement?
10	uncontrollable, and that's what we are putting			AR. HENDERSON:
20	forward. I also mentioned in terms of there's	20		A. Overall at a high level and - if you need to
20	risk and there's also benefits to customers in	21		get into details, the System Operations and
21	these as the cost change, the customers do	22		Planning Panel will be prepared to talk to the
22	benefit and they flow through. Rather than	23		detail, but at a high level the savings come
23 24	flowing through to Hydro's bottom line, the	24		about by allowing Corner Brook Pulp and Paper
25	variability on these things will flow back to	25	5	to run their hydroelectric facilities as

September 24, 2015 N	ulti-Page TM	NL Hydro GRA
Page	109	Page 111
1 efficiently as possible to reduce the amount	1 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
2 of - produce additional energy from their	2 Q. Okay. In terms of	f the capacity agreements
3 hydroelectric facilities, and, therefore, by	3 that have been ente	red into with Corner Brook
4 doing that, they displace the requirement for	4 Pulp and Paper, you	u're aware that there's been
5 Hydro to produce electricity from Holyrood.	5 two of those enter	red, is that right, Mr.
6 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	6 Henderson?	
7 Q. Okay, and I understand that these fuel savings	7 MR. HENDERSON:	
8 will be reduced in due course as Muskrat come	s 8 A. That's correct.	
9 on, is that correct?	9 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
10 MR. HENDERSON:	-	ement, I think you might
11 A. When we become interconnected, they would		Hydro to call on Corner
12 drop, yes.		per for up to 60 megawatts of
13 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	13 capacity assistance	during the winter peak, is
14 Q. Now Corner Brook Pulp and Paper saves a larg	÷	
amount of money through this mechanism, is	15 MR. HENDERSON:	
16 that correct?	16 A. Yes.	
17 MR. HENDERSON:	17 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
A. Yes, I think as was there in the question, it		y both reducing their firm
19 indicated that there were savings that Corner		watts, and by providing 51
20 Brook Pulp and Paper achieve here too.	Ç 1	city to Hydro from Corner
21 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	-	Paper facility, is that
22 Q. Yes, it's 288, Part C, is it, if we go down,	22 correct?	
23 "Hydro has identified approximately	23 MR. HENDERSON:	
24 \$595,000.00 savings annually in 2016 and		ctually do is they reduce
25 2017".	25 the consumption in	the paper mill. They shut
Page		Page 112
1 MR. HENDERSON:		the paper mill to enable
2 A. Yes.	_	to flow back from their
3 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		ion. They're not actually
4 Q. And how will the savings that Corner Brook		electric generation, it's
5 Pulp and Paper realize, how will that be made		they're reducing their
6 up? Will Hydro take a loss, or will it come		at results in power from
7 from other customers?		eneration coming back
8 MR. HENDERSON:	8 into the power system	n.
9 A. I would suggest that there's an element of	9 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
10 both, I would think. There is an overall		b a second agreement that
11 system savings in fuel. Then to the extent	-	et capacity assistance of
12 that there is additional savings to Corner	12 22 megawatts, is that	right?
13 Brook Pulp and Paper, then they come from	13 MR. HENDERSON:	al amount those that if
14 either Hydro or the other customers.	14 A. There is an additiona	
15 JOHNSON, Q.C.:Q. So will the greater proportion of it come from	15 need be, if we got to 16 call on it.	o that level, we could
16 Q. So will the greater proportion of it come from 17 other customers as opposed to Hydro?		
17 other customers as opposed to Hydro? 18 MR. HENDERSON:	17 JOHNSON, Q.C.: 18 Q. And that would be	a through a further
18 MR. HENDERSON: 19 A. I haven't gone through the mechanics. I		er Brook Pulp and Paper
20 wouldn't be able to -	20 load?	and raper
20 wordan t be able to - 21 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	20 IOad ? 21 MR. HENDERSON:	
22 Q. Mr. Humphries would know more about that?	21 MR. HENDERSON: 22 A. That's right.	
22 Q. MI. Humphries would know more about that? 23 MR. HENDERSON:	22 A. That's right. 23 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	
24 A. One of the members on Mr. Humphries pan		e you the one to talk about
25 will.		during a system emergency?
25 W 111.		a and a system emergency :

	Multi-Pa	age [™] NL Hydro GRA
Pag	ge 113	Page 115
1 MR. HENDERSON:	1	flawed, and that means that the undertaking
2 A. Mr. Humphries panel would be the best ones to	2	may not be something that we can give.
3 deal with how that's dispatched and taken care	3 .	JOHNSON, Q.C.:
4 of.	4	
5 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	5	
6~ Q. Okay. And he'd also speak to how Hydro would	6	this agreement with Corner Brook Pulp and
7 monitor Corner Brook Pulp and Paper	7	Paper's water rights, whether it's someone
8 performance during that emergency?	8	within Hydro or outside?
9 MR. HENDERSON:	9	MR. YOUNG:
10 A. Yes.	10	
11 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	11	it's going to be the answer he expects, but we
12 Q. And would he be the one to talk to about	12	can undertake to provide that.
explaining how the other customers benefit	13	MS. GLYNN:
relative to the costs that they are paying for	14	Q. The undertaking is noted on the record.
15 this?	15 .	JOHNSON, Q.C.:
16 MR. HENDERSON:	16	
17 A. Yes.	17	
18 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	18	,
Q. Okay. The total amount annually that Corner	19	1 1
20 Brook Pulp and Paper will be receiving for	20	
capacity assistance, is that about 1.4	21	annually from co-generation purchases, is that
22 million?	22	right?
23 MR. HENDERSON:	23	MR. HENDERSON:
A. That sounds about right, yes.	24	A. That sounds - it seems right to me.
25 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	25 .	JOHNSON, Q.C.:
Pag	ge 114	Page 11
1 Q. And is the arrangement as regards them	1	
2 providing capacity assistance, do you know	2	1 5
3 whether this is consistent with their water	3	8 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
4 rights in the province?	4	
5 MR. HENDERSON:	5	
6 A. I don't know the details of their water	6	MR. HENDERSON:
7 rights.	7	8
8 JOHNSON, Q.C.:		JOHNSON, Q.C.:
9 Q. Do you know who would be responsible for	9	
10 monitoring compliance within Hydro with Corner		1 1
Brook Pulp and Paper's water rights?	11	
12 MR. HENDERSON:		MR. HENDERSON:
A. I don't know if - that's not something that I	13	
discussed with anybody in terms of monitoring	14	1 1 1
15 the water rights of Corner Brook Pulp and	15	
16 Paper.		JOHNSON, Q.C.:
17 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	17	
Q. Could you undertake to provide us who would be		1 1 2
19 responsible for monitoring compliance with	19	5
20 their water rights?	20	parties are? MR. HENDERSON:
21 MR. YOUNG:		
Q. Mr. Chair, I just want to make sure I understand the question. Is it generally or	22	
23 understand the question. Is it generally or	23	1 5
is it within Hydro because I think there's a		JOHNSON, Q.C.:
25 premise in the question which I think is	25	Q. And who administers that contract, is it

September 24, 2015	Multi-P	e TM	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 117		Page 119
1 Hydro?	1	purchased as	well.
2 MR. HENDERSON:	2	HNSON, Q.C.:	
3 A. Does Hydro administer it?	3	Q. And similarl	y, you would have been purchasing
4 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	4	from them w	hen there was spilling going on in
5 Q. Yes.	5	2013 and 20	14?
6 MR. HENDERSON:	6	R. HENDERSON:	
7 A. Yes, well, Hydro is a party to the agr	reement, 7	A. That's correct	et.
8 so it administers its responsibilities	with 8	HNSON, Q.C.:	
9 respect to that agreement.	9	Q. Yeah. And w	would you know the capacity of the
10 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	10	Corner Broo	k Pulp and Paper co-generator?
Q. Okay. And what's Hydro's role in re	elation to 11	R. HENDERSON:	
12 the administration of it?	12	A. My understat	nding is it's about a 15 megawatt
13 MR. HENDERSON:	13	install capac	ity unit, but typically runs
A. At a high level, it's to ensure that w	ve are 14	around eight	megawatts.
compliant with the agreement. It's e	ensuring 15	HNSON, Q.C.:	
16 that the billing that's being put forw	-	-	would you be the person to ask how
Corner Brook Pulp and Paper, their			e energy produced by the co-
have been checked and approved if	•	generator is l	being used at the mill?
appropriate, and there's - it's a fai	-	R. HENDERSON:	
extensive agreement that would have		-	asing all of the energy produced by
of obligations by Hydro and Corner	-	the co-generation	ator.
and Paper, but in terms of the dail	•	HNSON, Q.C.:	
monthly activities, one of the prima	•	Q. Okay.	
be the payments and validation of the		R. HENDERSON:	
25 payments and those types of things.	25	A. I should say	all of the electrical energy.
	Page 118		Page 120
1 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	1		n energy that's being produced
2 Q. And what position within Hydro is c	-	-	consumed in the mill.
3 that responsibility?	3	HNSON, Q.C.:	
4 MR. HENDERSON:	4	-	he purchase obligation, that as I
5 A. That would be the - System Operat			, over the coming years from 2015
6 Planning, the folks on that panel, w			ro will be purchasing 51 gigawatt
7 the right ones.	7		ly and paying about 10.3 million
8 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	8	annually for	this energy?
9 Q. Now CA-NLH-372, if we could turn t	-	R. YOUNG:	Y 11 Y 1 / 1 /1 1
This asks to provide a list of occasion			I could, I understand the line
Hydro has had to purchase power		-	ng, I just wanted to ask Mr.
Corner Brook Pulp and Paper ge			far he's going to go. There is an
facility, co-generation facility, when		-	rder with respect to this contract
spilling water at its hydro facilities of the high sector has a local sector based on the high sector has a local based of	-		Corner Brook Pulp and Paper and
to high water levels, and Mr. Hende		•	the matter is exempt from the
take it you're aware that there were	-		don't want to use the word
17 months in 2011 when Hydro was sp while purchasing as generation from	-		" but involvement, I suppose, in
 while purchasing co-generation from Brook Pulp and Paper² 		it.	
Brook Pulp and Paper?		HAIRMAN:	
20 MR. HENDERSON: 21 A. I would agree. I would say that w	20 21	Q. Jurisdiction. R. YOUNG:	
e :			e Board and Mr. Johnson have a
			e Board and Mr. Johnson have a erstand how it fits into the
		-	le last question seems to be going
-			
25 purchase agreements that we have	that we 25	SOLUTION DOWL	the rat hole about specifics

Septer	nber 24, 2015	Multi-Pa	$\mathbf{Page}^{TM} \qquad \mathbf{NL} \ \mathbf{Hydro} \ \mathbf{GR}$
		Page 121	Page 12
1	about the contract and I don't know	if it's 1	1 MR. YOUNG:
2	before the Board. I don't know what	the next 2	2 Q. Mr. Chair, if I could just respond to that.
3	question is going to be, but it seems	to me 3	3 Hydro doesn't have any problem with providing
4	that the general information is alread	y before 4	4 the kind of testimony that Mr. Henderson
5	the Board from the last few question	is. The 5	5 already has about this and we've answered RFIs
6	next few may be into the Boa	ard's 6	6 about the matter. We do take issue with some
7	jurisdictional question.	7	7 of the as we say, the deeper exploration
8 JOH	NSON, Q.C.:	8	8 that Mr. Bowman may wish to take with the
9 Q	. Mr. Chairman, my expert, Mr. Bown	nan, has filed 9	9 matter, but that's a matter of legal argument,
10	a report whereby he's if I could br	ring up 10	10 to be honest, as to whether or not we would be
11	his report for a second? I'm referri	ing to 11	11 properly required to provide this information.
12	page 40 of his -	12	12 I'm just wondering how much of the Board's
13 MS.	GLYNN:	13	13 time we ought to consider and whether or not
14 Q	2. That's the wrong one, Jenny.	14	14 we are getting into an area which is exempt.
15 MS.	GRAY:	15	15 The general how it fits into Hydro's supply I
16 Q). Sorry.	16	16 think is useful for the parties to have a
17 MS.	GLYNN:	17	17 general understanding of that. But the Board
18 Q	. There's two Bowmans.	18	18 does not have the right to change the terms of
19 MS.	GRAY:	19	19 the contract, and that's quite clear from the
20 Q	. All right. Thank you. Apologize	e, Mr. 20	20 exemption order. So if the questions are
21	Johnson, page 40?	21	21 going to that effect or more than just
22 (12:	15 p.m.)	22	22 understanding how it fits into the broader
23 John	NSON, Q.C.:	23	23 picture, I'd suggest that we're probably not
24 Q	. Page 40. If you could move up? Lin	ne nine on 24	24 using our time effectively.
25	page 40. Yeah. Mr. Bowman has	made a 25	25 MS. GLYNN:
		Page 122	Page 12
1	recommendation in his expert rep	port that the 1	1 Q. So is there an objection to the last question
2	Board direct Hydro to file a stud	dy of the 2	2 that was raised by the Consumer Advocate?
3	Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Su	-	3 MR. YOUNG:
4	in its entirety taking into consider	ation the 4	4 Q. Not specifically to the last question. If Mr.
5	New Capacity Assistance Agre	ements, the 5	5 Henderson is like me, he probably can't
6	subsidy being received by the	e Island 6	6 remember exactly what that was at this point.
7	Industrial Customers owing to th	e rate phase 7	7 CHAIRMAN:
8	in, the reduced value of energy	following 8	8 Q. I'm not alone.
9	commissioning of Muskrat, the re-	equirement to 9	9 MR. YOUNG:
10	purchase energy from Corner Br	rook Pulp and 10	10 Q. I was just wondering, you know, how far this
11	Paper where it's available, and	the water 11	11 was going and I just want to make it clear
12	rights. And this is why I'm follo	owing this 12	
13	line of questioning now. It's som	-	
14	the expert has indicated that an	u	
15	the contract is beyond the review		-
16	least it provides some transparen		
17	of the overall arrangement that's	•	17 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
18	having regard to the capacity agree	•	
19	co-gen agreement and that's why		
20	for the line of questioning that		
21	proceeding with here.	21	
22 146	GLYNN:	22	22 CHAIRMAN:
ZZ IVIS.			
	Mr. Chairman, do you want to tak	te a moment? 23	23 Q. Okay. Not going to stop you on that one.
23 Q	 Mr. Chairman, do you want to tak AIRMAN: 		Q. Okay. Not going to stop you on that one.24 JOHNSON, Q.C.:

Sep	tember 24, 2015 Mul	ti-P	age	M NL Hydro GRA
	Page 12	5		Page 127
1	a basic level on the frequency converter.	1	MR. H	HENDERSON:
2	Just briefly, what does that frequency	2	A.	. The 18 megawatts that that generator produces,
3	converter do?	3		the frequency converter produces is an offset
4 1	MR. HENDERSON:	4		to the amount of power that Corner Brook Pulp
5	A. The frequency converter is a machine. It's	5		and Paper and they get credit in their
6	basically an electrical motor and a generator	6	i	contract for that capability to the system.
7	connected on a shaft. So, if you look at it,	7	JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
8	the way it normally operates on a 50 Hertz	8	Q	. Is Hydro able to estimate the value of the
9	generation system at Deer Lake, it operates	9)	frequency converter to Corner Brook Pulp and
10	like a motor and it's a load to the 50 Hertz	10)	Paper in terms of reduced bills? Would it be
11	system, so the generators Deer Lake Power	11		your panel or another panel to be able to do
12	have two units at their Deer Lake plant and I	12		this?
13	think they have two units at their Watson's	13	MR. H	HENDERSON:
14	Brook plant that are 50 Hertz. The Watson's	14	· A.	. Well, I wouldn't I'd say Hydro certainly
15	Brook ones I don't think get run so much, but	15		can indicate the amount of energy that it
16	the ones at Deer Lake do. And they would	16		produces and the amount of capacity it
17	provide this big motor. It's a load to that	17		provides to the system.
18	system which would be somewhere around 18	18	JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
19	megawatts. When it spins that motor, it spins	19	Q.	Yes.
20	a generator that's on the 60 cycle system and	20	MR. H	HENDERSON:
21	produces electrical energy into the 60 hertz	21	A.	. And could equate that to how much Corner Brook
22	system and puts out 18 megawatts of power	22		Pulp and Paper pays for demand and energy.
23	typically, and that 18 megawatts of power that	23		That calculation could be done by Hydro.
24	comes out of that system is then used in the	24	JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
25	paper mill to supply electricity in the paper	25	Q	Yes, okay. And they could compare obviously
	Page 12	5		Page 128
1	mill for producing paper. And so that's it's	1		those costs to the costs included in the
2	most typical usage is going that way.	2		specifically assigned charge obviously?
3	It can go the other way in which the 60	3	MR. I	HENDERSON:
4	hertz generator can be turned around and			Yes.
5	turned into a motor and run from the 60 hertz	5		ISON, Q.C.:
6	system and make it like a generator on the 50	6	Q.	. Yes, okay. Could you provide an undertaking,
7	hertz system and supply 50 hertz power to	7		Mr. Henderson, in that regard?
8	supply the paper mill. So, it can go either			HENDERSON:
9	way, but Deer Lake Power, over the years,	9		Yes.
10	converted their generators from 50 hertz to 60			ISON, Q.C.:
11	hertz such that they have more 50 hertz	11		. Okay.
12	generation than they have 50 hertz load. So			GLYNN:
13	they are always running the frequency	13		. Noted on the record.
14	converter as a motor on the 50 hertz side and			ISON, Q.C.:
15	as a generator on the I'll say always. It	15		Mr. Henderson, as you're aware, Mr. Marks, who
16	would be 99 percent of the time running it as	16		is an employee of I believe Corner Brook Pulp
17	a generator on the electrical system in order	17		and Paper, he's filed pre-filed evidence with
18	to produce energy that they use in the paper mill to offect their purchases from Hudeo	18		the Board. Are you aware of his evidence?
19	mill to offset their purchases from Hydro.			HENDERSON:
1	OHNSON, Q.C.: Q. And I take it that there's a benefit in	20		. I'm aware of it, yes.
21				ISON, Q.C.:
22 23	running the frequency converter from the point of view of Corner Brook Pulp and Paper getting	22 23	-	. And if we could bring up his evidence at page three, lines 27 to 28? Mr. Marks states that
23 24	a credit on its bills for this. Would that be	23		the company had been provided guarantees that
24	right?	24		the converter would be provided permanently at
-	σ			

Se	ptember 24, 2015 Multi	-Page ^{TN}	¹ NL Hydro GRA
	Page 129		Page 131
1	Hydro's own costor at owned cost. Do you	1 JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
2	see that?	2 Q.	So who has been paying the full cost of these
3	MR. HENDERSON:	3	facilities since the last GRA, for instance?
4	A. Yes.	4 MR. I	HENDERSON:
5	JOHNSON, Q.C.:	5 A.	The costs of Hydro's service is borne by all
6	Q. Okay. Is this true, Mr. Henderson?	6	of Hydro's customers, shared out in accordance
7	MR. HENDERSON:	7	with the cost of service, and then the rates
8	A. I'm not aware that there is a guarantee there.	8	are paid by the customers as established
9	JOHNSON, Q.C.:	9	through that process and they -
10	Q. And you were in you would know this from		ISON, Q.C.:
11	your existing role and your previous role in	11 Q.	But the costs of these particular of the
12	systems operations, I take it?	12	frequency converter?
13	MR. HENDERSON:		HENDERSON:
14	A. Yes. I was never it was never indicated to		Oh. The frequency -
15	me that there was a guarantee that Hydro would		ISON, Q.C.:
16	provide something permanently.	-	Yes.
	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		HENDERSON:
18	Q. And as far as you're aware, there was never		I'm sorry, I thought you were talking about
19	any such guarantee at all?	19	the 500 gigawatt hours.
	MR. HENDERSON:		ISON, Q.C.:
21	A. As far as I'm aware, but the frequency	-	No.
22	converter, I believe, went in service in 1967,		HENDERSON:
23	so I don't know what happened or what		The frequency converter, they are specifically
24	conversations happened in 1967 or prior to that.	24	assigned to Corner Brook Pulp and Paper.
25			ISON, Q.C.:
1	Page 130		Page 132
	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		Yes, okay. Mr. Marks goes on to say, at page
2	Q. Mr. Marks goes on to state in his evidence	2	four, line 16, that the Corner Brook frequency
3	that in 2002, Corner Brook Pulp and Paper was purchasing about 500 gigawatts annually from	3	converter is an old unit that is critical to CBPP operations. Now, Mr. Henderson, let me
4	Hydro, but now purchase only about ten percent	4	
5	of that level. Are you aware of him saying	5	ask you, what would have happened if Hydro had not carried out repairs to the frequency
6	that?	6 7	converter? Would you know what Hydro's legal
	MR. HENDERSON:	8	technical responsibilities are related to that
9 9	A. I have not read the detail of his evidence,	9	converter? Did you have a did Hydro have a
10	but I would be aware that that sounds	10	responsibility to carry out these repairs?
11	reasonable.		HENDERSON:
	JOHNSON, Q.C.:		I would say that the repairs required on that
13	Q. Yeah. And Mr. Henderson, did Hydro incur	12 13	unit are the same as any of our obligations
14	capital costs to supply Corner Brook Pulp and	13	for any of the service we provide to our
15	Paper's 500 gigawatt hour annual purchases	15	customers. We maintain and operate the
16	back in the 2002 timeframe?	16	facility in accordance with sound utility
	MR. HENDERSON:	17	practice and, you know, with due concern of
			safety and costs and so on.
17		18	
17 18	A. Well, we would have burned fuel. In terms of	18 19 JOHN	-
17 18 19	A. Well, we would have burned fuel. In terms of I can't say what capital we would have put	19 JOHN	ISON, Q.C.:
17 18 19 20	 A. Well, we would have burned fuel. In terms of I can't say what capital we would have put in specific to them, but there would have been 	19 JOHN	SON, Q.C.: Okay. And you've indicated previously that
17 18 19 20 21	 A. Well, we would have burned fuel. In terms of I can't say what capital we would have put in specific to them, but there would have been they are part of the total system load, so 	19 JOHN 20 Q. 21	SON, Q.C.: Okay. And you've indicated previously that this frequency converter was first put in
17 18 19 20	 A. Well, we would have burned fuel. In terms of I can't say what capital we would have put in specific to them, but there would have been they are part of the total system load, so there were system costs that were incurred 	19 JOHN 20 Q. 21 22	SON, Q.C.: Okay. And you've indicated previously that
17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, we would have burned fuel. In terms of I can't say what capital we would have put in specific to them, but there would have been they are part of the total system load, so 	 19 JOHN 20 Q. 21 22 23 MR. H 	ISON, Q.C.: Okay. And you've indicated previously that this frequency converter was first put in place back in 1966, right?

September 24, 2015	Multi-Pa	Page [™] NL Hydro GR
	Page 133	Page 13
1 '66.	1	1 Hydro was working on addressing some issues
2 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	2	2 with the unit, some of which required Corner
3 Q. Okay. So about 50 years or more. A	and have 3	Brook Pulp and Paper to be without power for
4 the expenditures over the past couple of	of years 4	long periods. We understand the work to date
5 been high, owing to the age of the free	quency 5	5 was to address significant deficiencies
6 converter, Mr. Henderson?	6	identified in a 2005 internal Hydro report
7 MR. HENDERSON:	7	7 which builds on the conclusions of the 1998
8 A. Yes. We've been making capital inv	vestment 8	Acres Report." Then he goes on to say
9 into the frequency converter because	of the 9	"However, a more recent report on the unit
10 age of the facility. We're making invest	stments 10	prepared for Hydro by Siemens (2015) indicates
11 and replacing equipment that requ	uired 11	that the current condition is still not
12 replacement based on condition asse	essments 12	2 conducive to operating the unit at full load
13 that we had had and our own inspectio	ons of the 13	and major capital investment is needed before
14 equipment.	14	4 that can occur."
15 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	15	5 Mr. Henderson, has Hydro made a
16 Q. Okay. And are there for a freque	ency 16	commitment to undertake these capital
17 converter of this vintage, are the off-	the- 17	7 expenditures of which he is referring?
shelf parts that would be available for	such a 18	8 MR. HENDERSON:
19 unit?	19	A. Hydro is reviewing all of this information
20 MR. HENDERSON:	20	which came as a result of the report this year
21 A. They would be getting lower and	fewer 21	which we shared with Corner Brook Pulp and
22 certainly. I wouldn't say that there's r	none, 22	2 Paper and we intend to move forward with a
but they would be more challenging	to get 23	capital program to address the issues found
because of the age.	24	and we will prioritize those as required,
25 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	25	5 based on the results of the report.
	Page 134	Page 13
1 Q. Would it be fair to say, Mr. Henderso	n, that 1	1 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
2 the expenditures that have been mad	de are 2	2 Q. And given as we've no doubt we all
3 perhaps overdue, given the age of	the 3	3 understand the position, Corner Brook Pulp and
4 frequency converter and Corner Brook	x may have 4	4 Paper's position to be that given their
5 been Corner Brook Pulp and Paper	may have 5	5 reluctance to pay the cost of the frequency
6 been fortunate that they simply didn't	arise 6	6 converter is Hydro inclined not to make such
7 earlier, given the age of the unit?	7	7 expenditures, given that Corner Brook Pulp and
8 (12:30 p.m.)	8	8 Paper believes it shouldn't be liable for
9 MR. HENDERSON:	9	9 them?
10 A. We endeavour to do our investments	at the 10) MR. HENDERSON:
11 right time when they're required.	So I 11	A. Hydro would not move forward with making
12 couldn't comment to say that they w	vere 12	2 investments that it's not going to receive,
13 there's a benefit here because they we	eren't 13	3 you know, compensation for. If the customer
14 done earlier. We would be doing co	ndition 14	
15 assessments and identify when is the		
16 time to do it and would thereby put for	-	
17 capital budget applications to the Pu	ıblic 17	7 JOHNSON, Q.C.:
18 Utilities Board to carry out those proje		
19 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	19	analysis to determine if these expenditures
20 Q. Now, Mr. Marks goes on to say that	at line 20	are justified for Corner Brook Pulp and Paper?
21 21 that or I should back up, lines 1		1 MR. HENDERSON:
22 start up at 16 actually. "The Corner I		2 A. Hydro would inform Corner Brook Pulp and Paper
23 frequency converter is an old unit criti		
24 Corner Brook Pulp and Paper oper		incurring these would be based on Corner Brook
25 Corner Brook Pulp and Paper was aw		-
	I	

Sej	ptember 24, 2015 Mul	ti-Pag	e TM NL Hydro GRA
	Page 13	7	Page 139
1	benefits are to them.	1	We also have a annually, we meet with
2	JOHNSON, Q.C.:	2	all of our customers and talk about our
3	Q. Because the frequency converter would not be	3	capital program. It's one of a number of
4	providing benefits to other customers on the	4	elements we talk we call it a Joint Utility
5	system. Is that right?	5	meeting at which point some of the capital
6	MR. HENDERSON:	6	program gets discussed and I would expect, at
7	A. The frequency converter is primarily there to	7	least in my experience when I attended those
8	get the 50 hertz generation out of the Corner	8	meetings, we would share the capital work that
9	Brook Pulp and Paper hydro electric system,	9	would be going on with Corner Brook Pulp and
10	convert it to 60 so they can use it in the	10	Paper and it's also important with them to
11	mill.	11	coordinate the outages so that when we have to
12	JOHNSON, Q.C.:	12	take the equipment out, it does not interfere
13	Q. Page five, Mr. Henderson, Mr. Marks in his	13	with their production or concerns that they're
14	evidence at lines 11 to 14, he makes the	14	doing on their system. So there's a that
15	statement, "a good example of Corner Brook	15	meeting is meant to coordinate the outages so
16	Pulp and Paper's concerns is that the	16	that the customer is aware of those things and
17	currently proposed increase to the	17	we execute the upgrades efficiently.
18	specifically assigned charge was largely a	18 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
19	surprise to Corner Brook Pulp and Paper,	19	Q. Did Corner Brook Pulp and Paper apprise Hydro
20	despite the capital work that drives this cost	20	in advance that it would be reducing its load
21	increase occurring years ago. We were not	21	to ten percent of previous levels at a time
22	sufficiently apprised as these projects were	22	early enough so Hydro could avoid expenditures
23	undertaken as to what the cost impact to us	23	for generation and transmission capital to
24	would be." Did Hydro apprise Corner Brook	24	supply its load?
25	Pulp and Paper that it would be incurring	25 M	R. HENDERSON:
	Page 13	8	Page 140
1	these costs of which he speaks?	1	A. Are you talking with regard to the 500
2	MR. HENDERSON:	2	gigawatt hours?
3	A. Corner Brook Pulp and Paper would have been	3 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
4	informed of the capital program that we were	4	Q. Yes.
5	embarking on. When we knew that the frequency	5 M	R. HENDERSON:
6	converter required additional work, we had met	6	A. We would have found out at the same time as
7	with Corner Brook Pulp and Paper to let them	7	the general public would have found out, when
8	know that this was the case. Because it is a	8	Corner Brook Pulp and Paper announced closure
9	specifically assigned asset, they knew it and	9	of their paper machines.
0	as Mr. Marks talked about, the 2005 review,	10 JC	DHNSON, Q.C.:
1	that would have been shown to them indicating	11	Q. Right. Just to be clear, does the Corner
12	that there was ongoing capital and that we	12	Brook Pulp and Paper frequency converter
13	would continue to do that and, you know,	13	provide value to Island Interconnected
14	undertake the required work on that in	14	customers in the short term, Mr. Henderson?
15	accordance with that plan and then as things -	15 M	R. HENDERSON:
16	- conditions like the 2015 condition work, we	16	A. The primary benefit of this is the supply to
17	share that with them so they're aware of	17	the paper mill. As you mentioned earlier, the
18	what's happening and we make forward our	18	capacity assistance agreement, when that
19	application goes forward every year publicly	19	capacity assistance agreement is called into
20	to the Public Utilities Board for our capital	20	effect, the power that's coming through the
21	program, which the Industrial Customers, which	21	frequency converter from the 50 hertz system
22	would represent Deer Lake Power, were aware of	22	would be part of the power that comes back
23	the capital investment that was going on. So	23	into the system to help us through that
~ 1	the full detail would have been there for them	24	capacity assistance. So at that point in
24			time, when those calls are made, that 18

September 24, 2015	Multi-P	Pa	ge TM	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 141			Page 143
1 megawatts would provid	de a benefit to the	1]	MR. COXW	ORTHY:
2 system.	2	2	Q. With	respect to the frequency converter, I
3 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	3	3	unde	rstand that you can address those issues
4 Q. For which they're paid?	4	4	on a	high level but you indicated that Mr.
5 MR. HENDERSON:	5	5	Gard	liner and Mr. Moore might be able to
6 A. For which they are paid,	yes.	6	prov	ide more specific information?
7 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	7	7 1	MR. HENDE	ERSON:
8 Q. Okay. Would Hydro hav	e any particular plans 8	8	A. That	would be correct because Mr. Gardiner
9 for the frequency conv	verter once the	9	woul	d be able to speak to the capital
10 interconnection is made v	with Labrador? 10	0	inve	stment and the projects that we've been
11 MR. HENDERSON:	11	1		rtaking, as can Mr. Moore, but Mr. Moore
12 A. The frequency converter	would continue on its 12	2		has operational responsibility for
13 current purpose once that		3		tenance of that facility.
14 - which would be the prin		4	MR. COXW	
15 supply that energy to the	•	5	Q. With	respect to key performance indicators,
16 mentioned, the Island Int				inly up to this point, Mr. Henderson,
17 get a benefit through the				ve been answering those questions. Are
agreement. To the exten		8	-	the sole person on the Panel that would
19 assistance agreement end			•	about those?
20 interconnection with La		0	MR. HENDE	
21 benefit goes with it.	21			n. I may call Mr. Moore in, depending on
22 JOHNSON, Q.C.:	22			detailed you want to go.
23 Q. Those are my questions			MR. COXW	
24 Chairman. Thank you.	24			with respect to the project execution,
25 CHAIRMAN:	25			s one that I didn't catch. I guess
	Page 142			Page 144
1 Q. Okay. So Mr. Coxworthy,	ũ	1	proje	ects other than the frequency converter or
2 Turn.	-	2		ects that relate to the frequency
3 MR. ROBERT HENDERSON, MR. DA		3		erter, other projects -
4 GARDINER, CROSS-EXAMINATION B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MR. HENDE	
5 MR. COXWORTHY:		5		Gardiner is here for that.
6 Q. It is. Thank you, Mr. Chair			MR. COXW	
7 Mr. Moore, Mr. Henderson	· ·	7		reliability, I wanted to ask you beyond
8 Coxworthy, Dean Porter,		8		ey performance indicators and, you know,
9 Industrial Customers. I'd li		9		bu've already testified, Mr. Henderson,
10 the GRA witness topic list			•	ther indicators or measures that Hydro
11 August 28th, 2015, just to 1				leveloped, I'll say more granular ones
12 that the Operations Panel is				aps than the KPI, and we'll get into that
13 to the Board to provide ev			-	eater detail, what else is encompassed in
14 acknowledge that Mr. Cass			-	s of the evidence that you can give with
15 through it to sort of identify				ect to reliability, other than speaking to
16 able to speak to what at a			those	• • •
17 otherwise. But I just want	-		MR. HENDE	
18 understanding and perhaps	•			, I can speak to the metrics. I can speak
about some items that at learning	_			ir practice and the manner in which we
20 the answer on.				ess reliability issues.
20 the answer on. 21 With respect to the Exp			MR. COXW	
Henderson, you have spokeevidence so far?	-		Q. And metr	at your level, is that other than by the
	23			
24 MR. HENDERSON:			MR. HENDE	
25 A. Yes.	25	S	A. well	, it is to a degree. It talks about those

Septe	ember 24, 2015 N	Iulti-Page TM	NL Hydro GRA
	Page	145	Page 147
1	actions that we undertake to address	1 oppose	d to a manager position as it was at
2	reliability issues.	2 your tir	ne or had it moved up to the VP level?
3 M	R. COXWORTHY:	3 MR. HENDERS	ON:
4	Q. So with that, thank you, Mr. Henderson. I'd	4 A. No, I w	as going to say it's within his group.
5	like to move on then to the evidence you gave	5 There i	s a manager of system operations.
6	in response to questions from Mr. Cass on	6 MR. COXWOR	THY:
7	direct in terms of the positions you hold and	7 Q. Mr. Go	ulding?
8	how long you've held them, other positions	8 MR. HENDERS	
9	that you've held within Hydro over time. So	9 A. No, Mr	. Goulding would be involved, but it's
10	Mr. Henderson, if I could start with you, I	10 another	gentleman named Mr. Butler.
11	understand that you were the manager of the	11 MR. COXWOR	THY:
12	systems operations group from about 1995 to	12 Q. Okay.	
13	2013?	13 MR. HENDERS	
14 M	R. HENDERSON:		Ir. Butler is not a witness, but Mr.
15	A. That's correct.	-	ries and Mr. Goulding would be familiar
16 M	R. COXWORTHY:		of those activities.
17	Q. Before you took up your current position. And		
18	can you summarize for me what your		equivalent or the exact same position
19	responsibilities would have been as the	-	u were holding during that time would
20	manager of that group with respect to services		en held by Mr. Butler?
21	provided to Industrial Customers?	21 MR. HENDERS	
	R. HENDERSON:	22 A. That's	0
23	A. Well, in that role, I would be very familiar	23 MR. COXWOR	
24	with the operations of our Industrial	-	Mr. Butler now who would be regularly
25	Customers and would be dealing with our		ng these joint utilities meetings and
	Page		Page 148
1	Industrial Customers on a fairly regular basis		the agenda the way that you were back
2	in regular certain customers would be more	-	eriod that you were in that position?
3	so than others that we would be dealing with,	3 MR. HENDERS	
4	whatever issues or concerns that those		r. Butler would be doing that, as well
5	customers may have. There's I mentioned		rs in his group.
6	when Mr. Johnson was asking me questions, w		
7	have a joint utility meeting which is one that		neetings were held annually, the joint
8	we schedule with our customers once a year ar	nd 8 utilities 9 MR. HENDERS	meetings?
9	I would be a regular attendee of those		JON:
10	meetings, would probably set most of the		
11	agenda for those meetings and that would be a formal gat together with the Industrials. But		
12	formal get together with the Industrials. But in addition to that, there'd be other meetings	12 Q. A set ti 13 MR. HENDERS	me of year?
13 14	of items of mutual interest that I'd be	14 A. Typical	
14	involved with over time and had been doing	15 MR. COXWOR	
15 16	that regularly throughout that role.		ere there minutes kept of these joint
	R. COXWORTHY:		meetings?
18	Q. And what's the equivalent position now in	18 MR. HENDERS	-
19	terms of that role that you were playing from	19 A. There i	
20	1995 to 2013? Who holds the equivalent	20 MR. COXWOR	
20	position?		re. Were there at the time that you
	R. HENDERSON:		onducting them, 1995 to 2013?
23	A. Mr. Humphries' group.	23 MR. HENDERS	-
	R. COXWORTHY:		yes. The way that we carried those
	Q. So it's now a vice-president position as		gs is that we moved the meeting from

Septemb	er 24, 2015 Mul	ti-P	age	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 149)		Page 151
1 1	ocation to location, so we might have it at	1	Q.	2005 to the last meeting, whenever that was.
2 t	he North Atlantic Refining one year. We may	2	MS. G	LYNN:
3 ł	nave it well, going back in time, Mr. Dean	3	Q.	Thank you.
4 u	used to attend them in the Stephenville paper	4	MR. C	COXWORTHY:
5 r	nill. We moved them all over the system. And	5	Q.	I do know that in the outages review, the 2014
6 V	whoever was the host was responsible for doing	6		no minutes were filed, for instance. Mr.
7 t	he minutes. So, I would say that I can't	7		Henderson, in your earlier evidence you spoke
8 s	ay for sure the minutes got done every year,	8		to being the chair of the Hydro leadership
9 t	out there's different people had	9		team?
	esponsibility.	10		IENDERSON:
	DXWORTHY:	11		That's right.
	Γo the extent they were done, Mr. Henderson,	12		COXWORTHY:
	and even if they weren't done by you, because	13		And if I could ask you to turn to PUB-NLHif
· ·	you might not have been the host or Hydro	14		Ms. Gray could, please, I'm sorry, turn us to
	nightn't have been the host, would you have	15		PUB-NLH-138, Revision 2. And if we could go
	received a copy of the minutes?	16		to page 1 of the attached. I apologize if
	NDERSON:	17		this is repetitive, but I want to be clear in
18 A.		18		my mind that when you speak of the Hydro
	XWORTHY:	19		leadership team, is that everyone that appears
1	would ask for an undertaking through you,	20		on attachment 1, page 1, or is it a subset of
1	Mr. Henderson, if we could have the minutes	21		those people?
	for the period 2005 to present, the last			IENDERSON:
	nnual joint utilities meeting, copies of hose produced?	23		It's some of the people and additional people.
24 C 25 MR. CA	-	24		So perhaps we can start with who would you
	Page 150	-	۷۰	Page 152
1 O. N	Mr. Chair, I'm not sure of the relevance of) 1		exclude as appears on this, from the Hydro
-	ust randomly producing all these minutes. If	2		leadership team that you chair?
-	here are some specific questions Mr.			IENDERSON:
	Coxworthy has that might be addressed by the	4		So excluded would be the vice-president of
	ninutes, I could understand the relevance, but	5		Finance, the vice-president of HROE, vice-
	ust to randomly produce all these minutes for	6		president of Project Execution, the general
	no reason I think is a little bit too much.	7		counsel and corporate secretary. If you could
8 MR. CO	DXWORTHY:	8		scroll down a little, Jenny, please.
9 Q. I	Mr. Chair, I can speak to that. There is a	9	MR. C	COXWORTHY:
10 r	request for information that's been directed	10	Q.	Perhaps, though, before we move on from there,
11 t	o Mr. Marks in relation to his pre-filed	11		so this is in Nalcor leadership team then, as
12 e	evidence that asked specifically a question as	12		opposed to the Hydro leadership team?
1	o what he should or ought to have been aware	13		IENDERSON:
	of in relation to his attendance at these	14		This is the Nalcor leadership team but it
	oint utility meetings. I think the minutes	15		doesn't include everybody in Nalcor, it's
	of the meetings are very relevant, given that	16		those who havewho are officers or have
	Hydro, themselves, have asked this question.	17		responsibility for people that do work for
	NDERSON:	18		Hydro.
	We can undertake to produce those.			COXWORTHY:
	XWORTHY:	20		So it sort of falls between two stools, and I
21 Q. 2 22 MS. GL	You will? Thank you.	21		realize you wanted to scroll down and
		22		absolutely. IENDERSON:
	And the undertaking is noted on the record. 2005 was it Mr. Coxworthy?	23		It's just the senior legal counsel there, was
	XWORTHY:	24		shown there and the senior legal counsel, I'm
25 MR. CO	21 II OKTITT.	25		Base 140 Base 152

	not sure if that refers to Mr. Young or no	Page 153			
2 3 4 MR.	÷				Page 155
3 4 MR.		ot,	1	Q. Thank you, it	f we could perhaps turn to 328?
4 MR.	but if it does, then Mr. Young is on the H	lydro	2	So there's a l	isting of -
	leadership team. If it's not Mr. Young -		3 MI	R. HENDERSON:	
5 C	. YOUNG:		4	A. So we have	a listing here of those who
× ۲	Q. I was kind of hoping it was me.		5	regularly atte	end the Nalcor leadership team
6 MR.	. COXWORTHY:		6	and then mee	etings and then below that is those
7 Q	Q. I thought it was Mr. Young, but anyway	, I'm	7	that regularly	attend the Hydro leadership
8	not going to give any evidence, but I do v	want	8	team meeting	gs. And so this is the listing of
9	to understand this slide or this particular		9		re on the Hydro leadership team
10	page, I should say, of attachment No.		10		be speaking about.
11	because it just says "Leadership Team",		11 MI	R. COXWORTHY:	
12	doesn't specify Hydro, Nalcor, maybe it's	-	12		e evidence that there is generally
13	my confusion but I've been seesawing an		13	a monthly m	eeting of the Hydro leadership
14	still seesawing based on your answer so		14	team.	
15	Mr. Henderson, as to leadership team of		15 MI	R. HENDERSON:	
16	It's not Hydro because you just indicated		16	A. That's correc	et.
17	number of people you would consider to	<u> </u>		R. COXWORTHY:	
18	of the Hydro leadership team. You've		18	-	at PUB-NLH-328 and certainly I
19	indicated there are other people who are		19	•	vidence that you spoke to these,
20	here. I think you're going to direct me t		20	•	ople that would attend that and
21	another slide, eventually, that will		21		some changes, I think, because
22	illuminate me. But what is it the leadersh	-	22	-	positions have changed. I don't
23	team of, then, if it's not the leadership tea		23		ed to review that evidence in
24	of Hydro?		24 25	-	ing back to then PUB-NLH-138 and
23 MR.	HENDERSON:		23	the people II	rom Nalcor who provides shared
		Page 154		• • •	Page 156
	A. This here is a subset of the Nalcor leaders	snip	1		lers, the Nalcor leaders, do they
2	team.		2		monthly meetings of the Hydro
	. COXWORTHY:	ftha	3	leadership tea	am :
	Q. And why are we only getting a subset of Nalcor leadership, can you answer th			R. HENDERSON:	°+
5	question on this attachment?	lat	5 6 M	A. No, they don R. COXWORTHY:	
-	. HENDERSON:		7 NI		you interfaceif they don't go to
	A. What I understand is that this is the subs	et	8		y meetings, how do they learn what
9	of Nalcor leadership team that have peop		9		these meetings?
10	their divisions who provide functional su		-	R. HENDERSON:	these meetings.
11	to Hydro.		10 MI 11		leadership team meetings I would
	. COXWORTHY:		12		t's happening in the Hydro
	Q. So let's move on then, please, if you cou		12	•	eam meetings, so I attend the
14	direct me and the Board to the slide that y		13	•	rship team meetings with, along
15	feel does a better job of representing the		15		-president of System Operations
16	Hydro leadership team?		16		who attends the Hydro leadership
	. HENDERSON:		17	-	gs, as well as the vice-president
	A. It's not in this chart, like this, there was		18		Relations who attends the Hydro
19	another RFI and I had it here for the openi		19	-	am meetings. All of us would be
20	day, but I don't think I haveI don't	-	20	-	Nalcor leadership team sharing
21	remember the RFI now.		21		ed and what occurred over the
22 MR	. O'BRIEN:		22	previous mor	nth.
23 Q	Q. I think it was 328 you had directed, I thin	nk 2	23 MI	R. COXWORTHY:	
24	was the number, but I'm not sure.		24	Q. And at the N	lalcor meetings, leadership team
25 MR.	. COXWORTHY:		25	meetings, ho	w often do they transpire if the

September 24, 2015	Multi-Pag	ge TM NL Hydro GRA
	Page 157	Page 159
1 Hydro ones are monthly?	1	in the performance contract that we would talk
2 MR. HENDERSON:	2	about and talk about how they're progressing,
3 A. They're monthly as well.	3	so I'll go to Mr. Johnson's comment about the
4 MR. COXWORTHY:	4	customer service plan, that would be one of
5 Q. They're monthly as well.	5	the items that would get talked about at the
6 MR. HENDERSON:	6	Nalcor leadership team on how we're doing with
7 A. And generally speaking they are one d	ay after 7	respect to completing that strategic framework
8 the other.	8	that we've set up on there. So those types of
9 MR. COXWORTHY:	9	things would get spoken about in terms of
10 Q. So which one comes first?	10	really flagging things that aren't where we
11 MR. HENDERSON:	11	want them to be. We talked about things on an
12 A. So Tuesday Hydro has it and Nalcor w	vould have 12	exception, rather than if everything is going
13 it on Wednesday.	13	according to plan, it would not get any
14 MR. COXWORTHY:	14	discussion, but anything that's not where we
15 Q. Okay, so generally the Hydro meeting	is first. 15	would it to be, that's where you'd focus your
16 MR. HENDERSON:	16	discussions on.
17 A. Yes.	17 N	MR. COXWORTHY:
18 MR. COXWORTHY:	18	Q. Thank you, Mr. Henderson. I'd like to move on
19 Q. And then a couple of days later you ca	-	to ask Mr. Moore some questions about his
20 the Nalcor meeting and report on what		position.
21 at the Hydro meeting?	21 N	AR. MOORE:
22 MR. HENDERSON:	22	A. Okay.
23 A. Right.		AR. COXWORTHY:
24 MR. COXWORTHY:	24	Q. And, Mr. Moore, I understand that you've been
25 Q. And apart from your verbal report a	t the 25	the general manager of Transmission and Rural
	Page 158	Page 160
1 Nalcor meeting, is there any other wa	•	Operations Group since 2011?
2 the Nalcor leadership team participant		AR. MOORE:
3 provide shared services to Hydro, lear		A. That's correct.
4 transpires at these monthly meeting	gs of 4 M	AR. COXWORTHY:
5 Hydro's leadership team?	5	Q. But you've been with that group since 2004?
6 MR. HENDERSON:		AR. MOORE:
7 A. Well there's a verbal report, there's a p		A. Yes.
8 report, I'll say an overview ofa high		MR. COXWORTHY:
9 overview of what's happening and ind		Q. And what positions did you hold between 2004
10 some of the indicators, the ones that		and your current position with that group?
11 Martin talked about or was provided	-	AR. MOORE:
12 undertakings with Mr. Martin, those t		A. In 2004 I moved into the, it was the manager's
13 items -	13	role for transmission and distribution for the
14 MR. COXWORTHY:	14	TRO Central region, which I explained earlier
15 Q. So undertakings, 2B, for instance, the information that's found in undertaking		in the evidence that the Central region basically is the island portion of the
16 information that's found in undertakin17 MR. HENDERSON:	-	province, excluded the Great Northern
17 MR. HENDERSON:18 A. I'm not sure of the number, but I'll say	y it's 18	Peninsula, so I held that position until, I
18 A. This not sure of the number, but Thisay 19 the items that we have in our annual pl		think it was around 2009 and I moved into a
20 items that we -	20	long-term asset planning role for our
21 MR. COXWORTHY:	20	transmission and distribution assets for all
22 Q. But that was the performance contract,		of TRO or all of Hydro and then moved into the
23 that the type of information that -	22	general manager role in 2011.
24 MR. HENDERSON:		AR. COXWORTHY:
25 A. Some of that, but there's others that an		Q. Who held the general manager role before you

Sep	tember 24, 2015 N	Aulti-l	Page	TM NL Hydro GRA
	Page	161		Page 163
1	did at the TRO group?		1	customers.
21	MR. MOORE:		2 MR	. COXWORTHY:
3	A. It was a new position when I moved into it.		3 (Q. You'll have to help me here, does that
41	MR. COXWORTHY:		4	includeyou've heard some evidence already
5	Q. It was a new position, and so if it was a new		5	about the Corner Brook Pulp & Paper Frequency
6	position, the responsibilities of the		6	Converter, does that fall under your
7	position, who would have been responsible fo	r	7	bailiwick?
8	them prior to the new position being created?		8 MR	. MOORE:
91	MR. MOORE:		9 A	A. Yes.
10	A. The way it happened before the new position	1 1	0 MR	. COXWORTHY:
11	was created, the responsibilities, I guess,	1	1 (Q. And before your new position was created,
12	would have been shared among the three	1	2	you've said there were three different
13	regional managers, as we talked about	1	3	regional directors, the responsibilities were
14	Labrador, Northern and Central prior to that,	1	4	shared or spread out over those three. Who of
15	and when we put the general manager positio	n 1	5	those regionalbefore your position was
16	in place in 2011, that I moved into, the idea	1	6	created, which of those regional managers
17	then that the general manager would be	1	7	would have been responsible for the Corner
18	accountable for all aspects of TRO's	1	8	Brook Pulp & Paper Frequency Converter?
19	operations, which would include the regions,	1	9 MR	MOORE:
20	the work execution and operation function of	2	0 A	A. That would have been the manager of the
21	asset management, long-term asset planning,	2		Central region.
22	short-term planning and scheduling and suppo		2 MR	. COXWORTHY:
23	services for TRO.	2	3 (Q. Which was yourself, if I remember correctly
24 1	MR. COXWORTHY:	2		is that correct, before 2103?
25	Q. And to be clear, I understandand I hope I	2	5 MR	. MOORE:
	Page	162		Page 164
1	have this right, you're a Hydro employee.		1 A	A. No.
21	MR. MOORE:		2 MR	. COXWORTHY:
3	A. Yes.		3 (Q. Who was it then?
41	MR. COXWORTHY:		4 MR	. MOORE:
5	Q. Mr. Gardiner is a Nalcor employee.		5 A	A. The manager of the Central region at that
61	MR. GARDINER:		6	timea name you mean? The name of the
7	A. That's correct.		7	person?
81	MR. COXWORTHY:		8 MR	. COXWORTHY:
9	Q. So in terms of that change, in terms of how		9 (Q. Yes.
10	that's structured in your new position, what	1	0 MR	. MOORE:
11	are your responsibilities in that new	1	1 A	A. That would have been Rob Cater because all the
12	position, general manager, in relation to	1	2	Industrial customers in TRO fall within the
13	interactions with providing service to the	1	3	Central region.
14	Industrial customers?	1	4 MR	. COXWORTHY:
15 I	MR. MOORE:	1	5 (Q. And how long did Mr. Cater hold that position?
16	A. My role in transmission rural operations with	1	6 MR	. MOORE:
17	respect to the Industrial customers is we're	1	7 A	A. Mr. Cater took that position, I'd have to
	fully accountable for asset management of the	1	8	check the exact date, but -
18		1	9 MR	. COXWORTHY:
18 19	transmission distribution assets, which would	1		
	transmission distribution assets, which would include the high voltage terminal stations, so	2	0 0	Q. Subject to check, but approximately how long
19				Q. Subject to check, but approximately how long has he been in that position?
19 20	include the high voltage terminal stations, so	22	1	
19 20 21	include the high voltage terminal stations, so the delivery point that provides electrical	2 2 2	1 2 MR	has he been in that position?
19 20 21 22	include the high voltage terminal stations, so the delivery point that provides electrical services to the Industrial customers, we're	2 2 2 2 1 2	1 2 MR 3 A	has he been in that position?

September 24, 2015	Multi	-Pa	age	NL Hydro GRA
	Page 165			Page 16
	at Mr. Henderson referred	1		and project services and you've been in that
2 to, would you hav	e ever been attending in any	2		position since about 2010?
3 of your positions i	in the TRO group?	3	MR. G	ARDINER:
4 MR. MOORE:		4	А.	Yes, correct.
5 A. No.		5	MR. C	OXWORTHY:
6 (1:00 p.m.)		6	Q.	So five years.
7 MR. COXWORTHY:		7	MR. G	ARDINER:
8 Q. In your current po	osition as general manager	8	A.	Yes, that's correct.
9 for the TRO gro	oup, is there anyone	9	MR. C	OXWORTHY:
0 specifically assign	ned to Corner Brook Pulp &	10	Q.	And what position did you hold before that,
1 Paper within the H	Hydro organization to liaise	11		before you were in that position?
2 with, communicat	e with, deal with Corner Brook	12	MR. G	ARDINER:
3 Pulp & Paper issu	es?	13	A.	I joined the company after graduation in 1987
4 MR. MOORE:		14		as a transmission design engineering with the
5 A. In the Hydro orga	nization, the communication	15		transmission group. At that time the
	l customers, which would	16		transmission group was separate, a separate
7 include Corner Br	ook Pulp & Paper, is taken	17		group. Then, I believe subject, around 2003
	rough our system planning	18		we joined engineering services and became
-	perations group and they sit	19		transmission distribution design. I continue
in on the joint -		20		to serve in my role as a transmission design
1 MR. COXWORTHY:		21		engineering at that time and then in 2010, we
	nphries and his panel may be a	22		became Project Execution and Technical
-	ask about who might be	23		Services and I was the manager. The former
24 specifically -	8	24		manager retired and I was promoted to the
25 MR. MOORE:		25		position of manager of Transmission and
	Page 166			Page 16
1 A. That's right, the dir	ũ	1		Distribution and then in 2013 there was a bit
-	Industrial customers is			of a reorg. in Project Execution and Technical
		2		Services and I took the responsibility as
3 with our systems op	erations group.	3		manager of Engineering and Project Services,
4 MR. COXWORTHY:		4		
•	oore, I believe Mr. Henderson	5		so at that time I took the, I guess, lead,
	an electrical engineer in	6		manager for both the Transmission and Civil
	ve identified you're a	7		Engineering Services for PETS, as well as
	er, what area of specialty,	8		drafting properties and the safety lead and
9 if I can call it that, e	electrical -	9		also project support services.
0 MR. MOORE:				OXWORTHY:
A. I'm an electrical eng	gineer.	11		And at what point during that did youbecause
2 MR. COXWORTHY:		12		I would understand you would have started off
	Mr. Gardiner now as well.	13		as a Hydro employee? At what point did you
4 MR. GARDINER:		14		transition from that to Nalcor?
A. I'm a civil engineer				ARDINER:
6 MR. COXWORTHY:		16		In 2011, a decision was made, I guess, that
	I'm going to go on and ask a	17		all the leadership of Project Execution would
_	yourself just so I can	18		move into Nalcor. So in 2011, because Project
-	meters of your position and	19		Execution was created in late 2010 and then in
a little bit about ye		20		2011, the leadership, myself and other
position and before	that.	21		managers were moved from Hydro into Nalcor.
2 MR. GARDINER:		22		OXWORTHY:
A. Sure, absolutely.		23		And in your present position, Mr. Gardiner, as
24 MR. COXWORTHY:		24		manager of engineering and project services,
Q. So you're currently	the manager of engineering	25		what responsibilities, if any, do you have for

September 24, 2015	Multi-Page TM	NL Hydro GRA
Pa	ge 169	Page 171
1 provision of services for the Industrial	1 MR. COXWORTHY:	
2 customers?	2 Q. You refer to "long-term	planners"?
3 MR. GARDINER:	3 MR. GARDINER:	
4 A. Other than any projects that would be vette		
5 by the long-term asset planning, coming ou		
6 the 20-year plan, that we would take those		s that -
7 once they're prioritized, and the scopes are		
8 applied and justifications are there, we wou	-	he operations group,
9 take them and we would put them through		
10 planning process where we would produ		
11 capital budget proposals that would be	11 Q. So back to Mr. Moore.	
12 submitted as part of the capital budget	12 MR. GARDINER:	
13 program.	13 A. Yes, but in the meantim	1 0
14 MR. COXWORTHY:	14 theafter this proceedin	0
15 Q. With respect to capital expenditure project	-	y Converter, absolutely.
16 that related to the Corner Brook Pulp & Pap		
17 Frequency Converter, would you have had	•	
18 responsibilities that you just outlined for	18 in joint utility meetings	annually?
19 those type of capital projects?	19 MR. GARDINER:	
20 MR. GARDINER:	20 A. I would not. We're a s	
A. We would have the responsibility, yes, to		inction to the various
22 prepare those budgets and schedules for -	22 lines of business.	
23 MR. COXWORTHY:	23 MR. COXWORTHY:	litures he that it's
Q. And in preparing that budget, would you hany communication with Corner Brook Pu		-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	ge 170	Page 172
1 Paper or anyone at Corner Brook Pulp & P		-
2 or is that done by someone else?	2 information as well. Key a	
3 MR. GARDINER:	3 guess if we could turn to th	
4 A. That would be done by someone else, prob		
5 the field people, the long-term asset	5 of Mr. McDonald, page 26,	
6 planners. One of the things that we do, of	6 So I was asking a question	
7 course, once we receive the scope, we sit do		
8 with the long-term asset planners to get a	8 a renewed focus on custo	
9 good understanding of exactly what the sco		_
10 is, look at what work has been done and wh	-	-
11 work is to be done and then we would prep		
12 the budget, so in that particular case we	12 Corporate Communications	
would rely on our long-term asset plannersother people. We would not, unless we dee		
~ ~	_	
it necessary, other than going on a site visitwith the operations' personnel and projec	15 I meant to say,"and I wan to specifically the Industrial c	
execution personnel, we would not have adirect contact.	ny 17 efforts you may be aware o 18 that vein and I then at the	-
19 MR. COXWORTHY:	18 that vent and t then at the 19 page say, "I've heard n	
20 Q. Have you ever done that at the Corner Bro		
20 Q. Have you ever done that at the Corner Bro 21 Pulp & Paper Frequency Converter? Have		
21 Pulp & Paper Frequency Converter? Have 22 ever made a site visit?		
22 ever made a site visit? 23 MR. GARDINER:	22 particular Industrial custor23 starting with Mr. Henderso	
24 A. I have not, no, not myself personally. I know	_	-
	_	-
25 that staff have and hopefully I'll get -	25 there's any work being do	Dece 160 Dece 172

Sept	tember 24, 2015 N	/Iulti-Pa	ge TM	NL Hydro GI
	Page	173		Page 1
1	account teams, dedicated to particular	1		issues related to the power contractor
2	Industrial customers?	2		relationship, you know, in terms of the
3 N	IR. HENDERSON:	3		billings, those types of things would also be
4	A. I've discussed this with the vice-president of	4		discussed at that level, so that's done. I
5	corporate relations who has the accountability	5		also have contact and have met with, I'll say
6	for developing this and so we've talked about	6		the management of Corner Brook Pulp & Paper as
7	the need to look at how we will execute this.	7		well as with North Atlantic Refining. For
8	Right now that role is a part of the	8		Vale, I have met them, but the relationship
9	responsibility of the manager of system	9		has not really evolved that way yet with Vale.
0	operations. The manager of system operations	5 10 N	MR. C	OXWORTHY:
1	is expected to be in regular contact with	11	Q.	If we could turn back to Mr. McDonald's
2	those customers, dealing with day-to-day	12		evidence and it's at page 27 now from
3	activities, as well as the joint utility	13		September 15th. So I put that same question
4	meeting and power supply arrangements and	d 14		to Mr. McDonald as I just put to you, you
5	those types of things. So that is done there	15		know, what did he know about the initiative
6	and so myself and the vice-president of	16		and what he knew certainly is in line with
7	corporate relations have talked about how we	17		what you just told us. But he does speak to
8	might transition that perhaps to bring in	18		it having been and it's line 6, "identified as
9	another position within the customer relations	19		a key focus area for us last year" and what
)	or customer services' group that would have a	20		you've described to us so far, Mr. Henderson,
1	focus on larger customers and the Industrial	21		is that you've had some conversations with the
2	customers, you know, the day-to-day activities			VP of corporate communications about this.
3	would continue to have to be co-ordinated		MR. H	ENDERSON:
4	through system operations, but it's a matter	24	A.	Yes.
5	of having a person who is very clear to the	25 N	MR. C	OXWORTHY:
	Page	174		Page 1
1	customers this is who you go to if you have	1	Q.	Has it progressed beyond discussions with the
2	issues and they would maintain a regular	2		VP of corporate communications in terms of -
3	contact with the customers, perhaps an	3 1	MR. H	IENDERSON:
4	enhancement, I would say, from where we are	4		Well I'll say that it's been part of our
5	today with the way that has been happening.	5		budget discussions for 2016 and the
	IR. COXWORTHY:	6		requirement for an additional position to be
7	Q. Where are you today? Where is Hydro today if	7		able to have somebody dedicated to this, so
3	the customers have an issue that they're not	8		it's been part of that discussion is where it
)	clear on or if Hydro wants to communicate an	9		is right now in terms of having athis
)	issue to the customer, is it depending on the	10		approach is also applied to our rural
	issue? Are there several different people now	11		customers, so there's been work as well
2	within the organization?	12		happening there where we are establishing a
	IR. HENDERSON:	13		stronger relationship with some of our larger
1	A. I would suggest to you that it would depend on	14		commercial customers in the rural areas and so
5	the issue, that we would communicate with the	15		there's been aMr. Moore recently attended a
5	customer. Anything to do with the asset,	16		meeting with the mine down in, I'm going to
7	they're in the field doing work, there would	17		say Rambler Mines down in Baie Verte Penins
3	be somebody in Mr. Moore's shop would be there	18		and establishing a stronger tie there, so it's
)	meeting with the customer, talking about the	19		progressing along. With respect to the
)	technical details of work that's going to	20		Industrials, there hasn't been any specific
1	happen and then on the coordination of outages	20		item that I am aware of that has happened to
	and system related issues, that would be the	22		date, other than, you know, what has been
2		1		
	manager of system operations would be	23		happening in the past, which would be the
2 3 4	manager of system operations would be responsible for having all of those	23 24		happening in the past, which would be the manager of system operations, as well as the

September 24, 2015	Multi-l	Page TM	NL Hydro GRA
Pag	ge 177		Page 179
1 MR. COXWORTHY:		1	customer services to take a lead role in our
2 Q. Is the thinking that there would be one		2	customer services' council and one of the
3 particular person dedicated to, for instance,		3	mandates of that council is to oversee
4 Corner Brook Pulp & Paper, one for Vale or	one	4	execution of our customer services' strategy
5 position, I should say, one to North Atlantic		5	going forward, such that I present operations
6 Refining?		6	and our manager of customer services
7 MR. HENDERSON:		7	represents that team, and so we're looking at
8 A. The discussions we've had is having a perso	on	8	the, you know, making sure that strategy gets
9 who would be a contact for all of them, not		9	implemented going forward, and one of the
10 one person per customer.	1	0	significant parts of that strategy is
11 MR. COXWORTHY:	1	1	implementation of a key account strategy, I
12 Q. Not one person per customer, so you're	1	2	guess, or managing key accounts from our
thinking there would be one person for all	1	3	larger commercial fish plants, those type of
14 well, whatever Industrials customers happened		4	larger customers on the distribution systems.
15 to be -		5 MR. C	COXWORTHY:
16 MR. HENDERSON:	1	6 Q.	So is the initiative you're involved in
17 A. That's right and that's the conversation that		7	limited to larger rural customers or does it
18 we've had because the expectation is, is that	1	8	include the Industrial customers?
19 you'd be able to manage the, you know, three		9 MR. N	
20 or four accounts, well it's a little more			The scope right now includes, is basically
21 because we also have IOC in Labrador that -	2		limited to our distribution customers or
22 MR. COXWORTHY:		2	customers on the distribution system that are
23 Q. So that one person would be expected to be		3	customer group or customer services' team have
familiar with the issues with respect to -		4	accountability for, but as Rob just indicated,
25 MR. HENDERSON:	2		we're looking at, you know, is there an
Pag	ge 178		Page 180
1 A. Yes, and making sure that -		1	opportunity to expand that mandate so that we
2 MR. COXWORTHY:		2	can follow the same model for Industrial
3 Q. All those Industrial customers.		3	customers going forward, which Rob explained
4 MR. HENDERSON:		4	is -
5 A. Making sure that all the right people in Hydr	·0	5 MR. C	COXWORTHY:
6 who might be required to meet with them t			It sounds like work might be more progressed
7 deal with issues are there when required and		7	with this sort of work for the rural customers
8 making sure that we're responsive to custom		8	than it is for the Industrial customers, is
9 needs.		9	that fair?
10 MR. COXWORTHY:		0 MR. N	
11 Q. Mr. Moore, you mentioned in the context of			I would say from my involvement with our
12 having done similar work or playing a simila		2	customer services' team at this point, but as
13 role with large commercial rural customers.		3	Rob indicated, work is progressing, I guess,
14 MR. MOORE:		4	through system operations who regularly do a
15 A. Yes.		5	fair amount of discussion and communication
16 MR. COXWORTHY:		.6	with our Industrial customers.
17 Q. Is there anything else that you know, in term			COXWORTHY:
18 of your work, about the development of ke			And I understand I'll be able to ask him some
19 account people for the Industrial customers?	-	9	more questions about that. Thank you. I'd
20 MR. MOORE:		20	like to go on and perhaps if PUB-NLH-228, the
21 A. Yes, one thing that has happened as well, we			infamous PUB-NLH-228 can be brought up please?
22 talked about earlier, the customer service's		2	Revision 5.
23 strategy and execution of that plan going		.2 3 MS. G	
forward is, well Mr. Henderson and Ms. Dall			I was just going to ask.
25 have assigned myself and the manager of	•	5 CHAI	
	12		

Sep	otember 24, 2015	Multi-Pa	age	NL Hydro GRA
		Page 181		Page 183
1	Q. Getting like the Bible.	1		leading Hydro's negotiating team with our IBEW
2 1	MR. COXWORTHY:	2		employees and so he was also using time there
3	Q. There have been a number of questions as	ked 3		for that, so thosebut Mr. McDonald was a
4	by, I think all parties or most of the parties	4		fair bit on the reliability review and helping
5	about this particular RFI response, Mr.	5		to coordinate all of our responses to the
6	Henderson, but I want to focus on some	e 6		many, many questions and reports we were
7	questions I asked Mr. McDonald and Mr. R	Roberts 7		filing and then Mr. Roberts with respect to
8	as well, in terms of time forecast spent, I	8		the Collective Agreement.
9	guess in the case of 2014, time charged in,	I 9	MR. C	OXWORTHY:
10	should say, by the VP of human resources a	and 10	Q.	And you may entirely be familiar with this,
11	organizational effectiveness position, charg			but if you look at footnote No. 2 here, in
12	in in 2014 to Hydro and forecast to be char	ged 12		fact it specifically notes that the VP of HROE
13	in for 2015 and 2016 and Mr. Roberts and	Mr. 13		and that means Mr. McDonald, was seconded to
14	McDonald's evidence was that, as I recall	it 14		leave the coordination regarding the outage
15	and understood it, that in all three of yours	15		and electricity system reviews for Hydro. So
16	years, those numbers that we see there, so) 16		when you say you spent a lot of time
17	1462 in 2014, 1121 in the other years, is a			interacting with Mr. McDonald in 2014, was it
18	mixture of both their times in terms of som			in relation to that?
19	of that is time charged in by Mr. McDonal	ld, 19	MR. H	ENDERSON:
20	some of it is time charged inor forecast to		A.	It would have been with respect to that, yes.
21	be charged in by Mr. Roberts and I guess			OXWORTHY:
22	would ask Mr. Henderson, is that your			Anything else of any large significance to
23	understanding as well in terms of who is			Hydro?
24	charging in what from that position?			ENDERSON:
	MR. HENDERSON:	25		Well Mr. McDonald was also, well I guess the
		Page 182		Page 184
1	A. Yes.	1 age 102		electricity system review that the provincial
	MR. COXWORTHY:			government was undertaking as well, Mr.
3	Q. And are you able to say, say in 2014, w			McDonald was involved with that as well, so
4	mix is? Is it 50/50? I realize I'm not de			there was aspects of that. I would have also
4 5	to the last decimal point, but can you gi			been interacting with him with respect to the
	some sense of the 2014 in terms of char			transition to operations' activities that
6 7		-		-
7	time for Mr. Roberts, as opposed to fo			we're doing with respect to Muskat Falls and Labrador Island Link and some of the
8	McDonald, what the split might be?	8		
	MR. HENDERSON:	9		discussions about organizational requirements.
10	A. I'd be guessing. I'm not certain but I k			He was providing a role there as well.
11	from my interactions -			OXWORTHY:
	MR. COXWORTHY:	12		Within Hydro, I mean, you've given specific
13	Q. If you don't know, you don't know.	13		examples and I recognize they may not be all
	MR. HENDERSON:	14		inclusive of the dealings you would have had
15	A that I had more directions with Mr. M			with Mr. McDonald and with Mr. Roberts in
16	than I did with Mr Roberts, but that ma	-		relation to human resource issues. We haven't
17	beMr. Roberts -	17		talked about organizational effectiveness, but
	MR. COXWORTHY:	18		perhaps including that, but within Hydro who
19	Q. That may not be reflective of what t	-		do you deal with, interact with, with respect
20	charged in.	20		to human resources and organizational
	MR. HENDERSON:	21		effectiveness issues? So if it's not Mr.
22	A. Mr. Roberts is also dealingwell I'll sa	-		McDonald, it's not Mr. Roberts, who is it
23	was mostly Mr. McDonald but there			within the Hydro organization that you
	considerable amount of time with Mr.	Roberts 24		interact with the most on those issues?
24	because of the contract negotiations. H			

Multi-PageTM

Seh	otember 24, 2015	Mulu-P	aĘ	ge NL Hyuro GRA
		Page 185		Page 187
1	A. There's a leadwith respect to hu	ıman 1	1	issues are vetted with him.
2	resources, there is a lead who is respo	nsible 2	2 N	MR. COXWORTHY:
3	on the Hydro leadership team which	is the 3	3	Q. Other than the union issue, and I'm assuming
4	manager of human resources, who I		4	that doesn't have a Nalcor dimension, perhaps
5	dealing with for the most part, but an		5	I'm wrong, did the union negotiations in 2014
6	that, I'll say significant issues, we we	-	6	have a Nalcor dimension?
7	bring in Mr. Roberts into that discussi		7 N	MR. HENDERSON:
8	if there was something of quite a, you		8	A. Earlier in the year there was, the union
9	like the negotiations of IBEW or if ther		9	there was an agreement signed with the CF(L)Co
10	significant, those types of issues that y		0	unionized workers that Mr. Roberts would have
11	end up, it wouldn't be just myself ar	•		been involved with earlier.
12	manager, we would also bring Mr. M			MR. COXWORTHY:
13	since Mr. McDonald's role has chan			Q. But in terms of the other issues that Mr.
14	would have gone to Mr. Roberts and	-		Roberts would involved, that you would get
15	about those types of things. So of all o			involved in that might have a Hydro dimension,
16	executives that would probably be th			did they usually also have a Nalcor dimension
17	president of HR that probably would			as well or implications across Nalcor?
18	most, get involved with most of those	-		MR. HENDERSON:
10	issues.	19 19		A. No, not necessarily, most of what I'm talking
	MR. COXWORTHY:	20		about with him is a Hydro issue, but I'll say
				that there could be a dimension to it, for
21	Q. And that may be reflected in the rela	-		
22	high number we're seeing being char	-		instance, we have the compensation structure
23	compared to the other positions. But	-		is, you know, is one compensation structure,
24	from, just speak to Mr. Roberts becau	•		for instance, within Nalcor and Hydro, so
25	there are two effectively VP HROEs w	tho are 25	5	there may be, I'll say, side type of issues
		Page 186		Page 188
1	charging in time to Hydro, but in term		1	there, but you know, I'm totally focussed on
2	role that Mr. Roberts has taken on, ap		2	the implications to Hydro in those
3	the union negotiations in 2014, correc		3	conversations.
4	I'm wrong, you don't have those ever	y year? 4	4 N	MR. COXWORTHY:
5	MR. HENDERSON:	5	5	Q. And has there been any change in the role of
6	A. No.	6	6	the VP HRO in that role from the transition
7	MR. COXWORTHY:	7	7	from Mr. McDonald to Mr. Roberts? And Mr.
8	Q. I'm sure you're glad that you don't,	but in 8	8	McDonald sounds like he has a fairly focussed,
9	other years, what role does he play?	What 9	9	he called it a consulting role, I think, at
10	otheryou said there might be o	ther 10	0	some point, where he is now.
11	significant issues that you wouldn't ju	ıst go 11	1 N	MR. HENDERSON:
12	to your internal manager or when	I say 12	2	A. Right.
13	"internal", your Hydro manager for H	IRO, you 13	3 N	MR. COXWORTHY:
14	would go to him. What other exam	ples of 14	4	Q. Has there been a change now that Mr. Roberts
15	significant issues can you give?	15	5	is acting VP, in terms of the types of
	MR. HENDERSON:	16	6	services starting in 2014 that Mr. Roberts
1.0				
17	A. Well there can, from time to time, be	a labour 17	7	delivers to Hydro?
	A. Well there can, from time to time, be relations issue that we might want to			MR. HENDERSON:
17		talk 18	8 N	-
17 18	relations issue that we might want to	talk 18 t maybe 19	8 N 9	MR. HENDERSON: A. No, Mr. Roberts is doing the role that Mr.
17 18 19	relations issue that we might want to about. There's also, we will talk about compensation type of issues that may	talk18t maybe19come up20	8 N 9 0	MR. HENDERSON:
17 18 19 20 21	relations issue that we might want to about. There's also, we will talk about compensation type of issues that may with regard to certain positions or o	talk18t maybe19come up20ther21	8 N 9 0 1 N	MR. HENDERSON: A. No, Mr. Roberts is doing the role that Mr. McDonald was doing. MR. COXWORTHY:
17 18 19 20	relations issue that we might want to about. There's also, we will talk about compensation type of issues that may with regard to certain positions or of personnel type of issues that are sort	talk18t maybe19come up20ther21c of22	8 N 9 0 1 N 2	 MR. HENDERSON: A. No, Mr. Roberts is doing the role that Mr. McDonald was doing. MR. COXWORTHY: Q. So moving on then to 2015 and that's forecast,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	relations issue that we might want to about. There's also, we will talk about compensation type of issues that may with regard to certain positions or of personnel type of issues that are sort generally broad based that may impac	talk18t maybe19come up20ther21c of22ct across23	8 N 9 0 1 N 2 3	 MR. HENDERSON: A. No, Mr. Roberts is doing the role that Mr. McDonald was doing. MR. COXWORTHY: Q. So moving on then to 2015 and that's forecast, although we're three-quarters into 2015, and
17 18 19 20 21 22	relations issue that we might want to about. There's also, we will talk about compensation type of issues that may with regard to certain positions or of personnel type of issues that are sort	talk18t maybe19come up20ther21c of22ct across23t to talk24	8 N 9 0 1 N 2 3 4	 MR. HENDERSON: A. No, Mr. Roberts is doing the role that Mr. McDonald was doing. MR. COXWORTHY: Q. So moving on then to 2015 and that's forecast,

September 24, 2	015 M	ulti-P	age	^A NL Hydro GRA
	Page 1	89		Page 191
	ons, which may or may not reflect the	1	Q.	How and what form do those interactions occur
2 actual ch	arged-in time, but the mix in terms	2		between yourself and Mr. McDonald?
3 of your i	nteractions with Mr. McDonald and Mr	. 3	MR. H	HENDERSON:
4 Roberts	so far in 2015.	4	A.	Mr. McDonald's office is right next to mine,
5 MR. HENDERSC	N:	5		so we will, you know, those types of things
6 A. They wo	uld be precisely the same.	6		happen very ad hoc, if you like, through that
7 MR. COXWORT	HY:	7		arrangement, but we also have regular meetings
8 Q. More so	with Mr. McDonald than with Mr.	8		to talk about the work plan for that whole
9 Roberts.		9		transition to operations' function or activity
10 MR. HENDERSC	N:	10		and they have beenthey're not monthly, they
11 A. No, well	no, I would say that this year it's	11		may be happening a little bit more frequently
12 probably	when I talked about the union	12		than that, but they're not self prescribed as
· ·	ons, they weren't completed until	13		being exactly at the same time every month,
14 this year		14		like the leadership team meetings were,
15 MR. COXWORT		15		they're much more issue driven and dealt with
	tell me when they were completed?	16		in terms of as certain pieces of work gets
17 MR. HENDERSC		17		done, we'd be meeting to review it.
18 A. Would h	ave been early summer.	18	MR. 0	COXWORTHY:
19 MR. COXWORT	-	19		And in looking at 2016 which is forecast, what
20 Q. Of 2015		20		is your understanding in terms of the time
21 MR. HENDERSC		21		they are going to be charging in, because
22 A. Yes.		22		again, their evidence was that this is a
23 MR. COXWORT	4V·	23		combination of both Mr. McDonald's and Mr.
	s a carry over obviously for Mr.	23		Roberts' time in 2016, what's your
	role in that?	25		understanding of the services, the shared
	Page 1			Page 192
1 MR. HENDERSC	_	1		services they're going to be providing to
2 A. Yes.	IN.	2		Hydro in that year to justify those charges?
3 MR. COXWORT	IV.			HENDERSON:
	your interactions with Mr. McDonald			Well I would suggest that they're a
•	015 so far, what have they been in	4		continuation of items that have been
	•	5		
		6		occurring, certainly the outage related
7 MR. HENDERSC		7		aspects of it would be declining, but the
	has been still some element of the	8		other aspects of it, with respect to this
	tion activities of thethat reporting	9		transition to operations, that's going to be a
	thing that has been happening from	10		much moreit's going to become more and more
	e inquiry, as well as the electricity	11		over the course of the next two to three years
•	eview and more happening with regard			as we move into the full operations. So
	nsition and operations' aspect for	13		there's an element here of much more in one
	hurchill and the organizational impacts			area and less in another.
	e more, much more so in 2015 than			COXWORTHY:
-	ld have been in 2014.	16		Chair, I see it's -
17 MR. COXWORT				IRMAN:
-	teractions with Mr. McDonald on that	18		You're a good man.
-	e integration point, you've already			COXWORTHY:
	understand correctly, he doesn't	20	Q.	I've not completed my questioning before you
	ese monthly meetings of the Hydro	21		say that, Mr. Chair, on this area or
22 leadersh	p group, is that correct?	22		otherwise, but I certainly don't think I would
23 MR. HENDERSC	N:	23		finish in any time that would be reasonable
24 A. Yes.		24		for today.
25 MR. COXWORT	TV.	25	СПУ	IRMAN:

September 24, 2015	Multi-Page TM	NL Hydro GRA
 Q. So we'll adjourn and I think we'r you two weeks from - MR. COXWORTHY: Q. You'll be seeing me before that, M CHAIRMAN: Q. Oh I know that. MS. GLYNN: Q. We arecost of service witnesse Monday, so we do have two weel that and then a week break, so u finish cost of service earlier, this p finished for three weeks. Mr. Cha like to ask the parties if they cou around just for a two-minute chat that we're all ready for next week CHAIRMAN: Q. All right then, we are adjourned. Upon conclusion at 1:30 p.m. 	Ar. Chair. s start on cs allotted for unless we panel is hir, I would ld stick so make sure	
 CERTIFICATE I, Judy Moss, hereby certify that the foregoi and correct transcript of a hearing in the n Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's C Application heard on the 24th of Septembe before the Commissioners of the Public Util St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador and by me to the best of my ability by means of apparatus. Dated at St. John's, Newfoundland and Lab this 24th day of September, A.D., 2015 Judy Moss 13 	hatter of General Rate r, A.D., 2015 ities Board, was transcribed of a sound	Due 102 Due 104

Multi-PageTM

\$100,000 - address NL Hydro GRA

				NL Hydro GRA
	16 [4] 1:8 81:2 132:2	182:6 183:17 186:3 187:5		182:3
-\$-	134:22	188:16 190:16	-5-	above [2] 32:21 33:10
\$100,000 [1] 40:17	18 [6] 125:18,22,23 127:2	2015 [60] 1:12 4:18 17:9	5 [2] 52:6 180:22	absolutely [4] 102:17
	134:21 140:25	20:3,5,10 22:6,10,18		152:22 166:23 171:15
\$520,000.00 [1] 108:15	1966 [1] 132:22	28:5,10,11 29:7,16 30:21	5.276 [1] 42:5	accedes [1] 105:3
\$595,000.00 [1] 109:24	1967 [2] 129:22,24	31:21 32:20 33:22,24	5.6 [1] 28:6	accept [1] 19:22
	1987 [1] 167:13	34:20,25 35:8,19 36:7 37:11 40:5,14 41:15,25	50 [12] 125:8,10,14 126:6	acceptable [1] 21:12
-&-	1995 [3] 145:12 146:20	42:6 51:5 58:5,6,7,11,13	126:7,10,11,12,14 133:3 137:8 140:21	accepted [1] 33:11
& [14] 82:23 83:20 90:1	148:22	59:7 68:6,7,10,17,23		
163:5,18 165:10,13,17	1998 [1] 135:7	71:1 76:8 79:8 81:9	50,000 [1] 40:22	accepting [1] 2:11
169:16,25 170:1,21 175:6	1:00 [1] 165:6	120:5 135:10 138:16	50/50 [1] 182:4	access [2] 40:8 70:12
177:4	1:30 [1] 193:18	142:11 172:4 181:13 188:22,23 189:4,20 190:5	500 [4] 130:4,15 131:19 140:1	accomplishing [1] 2:7
l		188:22,25 189:4,20 190:5		accordance [5] 86:2
	-2-	2015/2016 [1] 172:12	51 [3] 81:4 111:19 120:6	97:6 131:6 132:16 138:15
'04 [1] 19:14		2016 [10] 35:4,11,14,19		according [2] 56:17 159:13
'07 [2] 7:20 29:10	2 [5] 23:14 65:3 80:6	35:24 109:24 176:5	-6-	
'13 [2] 4:19 43:17	151:15 183:11	181:13 191:19,24	6 [3] 19:6 22:5 175:18	account [8] 65:23 106:4 172:2,20,20 173:1 178:19
'14 _[1] 19:16	2.3.4 [1] 43:16	2017 [4] 36:8 108:9	60 [8] 29:9 111:12 125:20	179:11
'15 _[1] 4:19	2.39 [2] 23:14,18	109:25 120:6	125:21 126:3,5,10 137:10	accountability [9]
'66 [2] 132:24 133:1	2.4 [2] 32:6,16	21 [2] 36:11 134:21	61 [1] 29:1	93:12 94:10,16 95:2,9
'67 [2] 132:24,25	2.46 [2] 33:18 36:11	2103 [1] 163:24	64 [2] 76:2 80:20	97:5 166:1 173:5 179:24
	2.7 [1] 23:13	21st [1] 172:3	65 [2] 88:16,18	accountable [3] 161:18
-0-	2.8 [3] 38:12 39:2 42:16	22 [2] 1:15 112:12	67 [1] 81:1	162:18,23
	20 [1] 103:16	24 [1] 81:3		accounts [6] 105:19
035 [1] 80:23	20-year [1] 169:6	24th [2] 194:5,11	-7-	106:6,10,18 177:20 179:12
	200 [1] 32:19	25 [2] 1:13 80:20	7.3 [1] 33:23	
-1-	2000 [1] 19:12	26 [1] 172:5	7.5 [1] 33:24	accustomed [2] 46:5,10
1 [10] 23:14 67:5 76:11	2000s [1] 164:23	27 [2] 128:23 175:12	7.5 [1] 55.24	achieve [4] 18:13 97:24 106:13 109:20
76:15 85:11 86:3 151:16	2002 [2] 130:3,16	27.4 [1] 80:21	-8-	achieved [3] 18:2,22
151:20,20 153:10	2003 [3] 85:3 90:11	27.4 [1] 80.21 28 [1] 128:23		60:6
1.08 [1] 70:1	167:17		8 [2] 79:12,14	achieving [1] 17:6
1.13 [1] 69:24	2004 [7] 77:2,12,20 79:21	280 [1] 54:11	80 [1] 56:12	acknowledge [1] 142:14
1.3 [2] 42:18 115:20	160:5,9,12	288 [1] 109:22	808 [1] 4:16	Acres [1] 135:8
1.4 [1] 113:21	2005 [5] 135:6 138:10	28th [1] 142:11	82 [2] 18:3,7	acting [1] 188:15
1.6 [3] 34:4,19 35:11	149:22 150:24 151:1	290 [1] 54:11	84 [1] 56:18	action [1] 44:8
1.88 [1] 69:22	2006 [1] 1:9	2B [2] 158:15,16	85 [2] 18:22 19:22	
1.98 [2] 69:19,20	2007 [4] 1:10 4:11 30:16		860 [1] 4:17	actions [3] 50:22 71:14 145:1
10 [3] 52:7 68:24 85:19	33:23	-3-	888 [1] 4:17	activities [8] 33:19 51:4
10.3 [1] 120:7	2009 [1] 160:19	3 [2] 81:15,16		117:23 147:16 173:13,22
100 [1] 67:2	2010 [3] 167:2,21 168:19	3.2 [2] 29:6 30:19	-9-	184:6 190:9
10:00 [1] 44:4	2011 [7] 118:17 160:1,23	3.3 [2] 29:3 86:3	9 [1] 111:19	activity [1] 191:9
10:15 [1] 55:25	161:16 168:16,18,20	3.5 [1] 24:9	90 [9] 17:22 18:2,13 19:25	actual [5] 41:7,11 61:14
10:30 [1] 68:4	2012 [3] 29:11 30:16 57:10	3.7 [2] 29:4,7	20:22 22:9,18 56:4,11	108:13 189:2
10:45 [1] 79:10	2013 [39] 1:11 4:12,16	30th [1] 75:6	976,000 [1] 42:5	actuals [8] 29:5,11,15
11 [4] 22:5,7 137:14 172:5	7:21 11:14,21 17:16,21	328 [2] 154:23 155:1	99 [1] 126:16	30:19 32:5,16,21 33:24
11.7 [1] 116:3	18:5 20:4 26:11,18,19	36 [1] 32:22	99.5 [1] 67:11	ad [1] 191:6
11: 7 [1] 110.5 1121 [1] 181:17	29:2 42:1,5 50:9,11	367 [1] 37:14	9:08 [1] 1:1	add [3] 6:12 88:2 90:4
11:00 [1] 89:10	51:11 56:5,23 57:5,10	37 [2] 28:24 32:12	9:15 [1] 7:12	added [2] 5:15 21:5
11:00 [1] 89:10 11:37 [1] 89:17	57:23 58:2,12 59:8 60:7 64:14 70:24 89:25 90:1	37 [2] 28:24 32:12 38,000 [1] 54:5	9:10 [1] 7:12 9:30 [1] 22:2	addition [5] 2:8 5:19
11. <i>7</i> [1] 89.17 120 [2] 77:13,23	98:14 108:6 119:5 145:13	38/39 [1] 87:23	9:45 [1] 32:7	48:7 82:24 146:13
	146:20 148:22 168:1	36/37 [1] 87:23	7.43 [1] 52:7	additional [24] 2:8 3:11
12:00 [1] 108:2	2013-2014 [1] 7:9			5:5,9,13,14 6:18 8:11
12:15 [1] 121:22	2014 [56] 1:12 4:17 11:11		-A-	9:16 15:11,16 21:16 34:2 34:4 35:14 55:6 97:21
12:30 [1] 134:8	12:2 13:1,4 17:17 18:12	4 [2] 89:23 90:4	A.D [2] 194:5,11	109:2 110:12 112:11,14
14 [1] 137:14	18:17 19:8,14 20:4 23:20	4,000 [1] 41:3	a.m [10] 1:1 7:12 22:2	138:6 151:23 176:6
14.8 [1] 33:23	24:3,9,14 25:1 29:3,14	4.1 [4] 33:25 42:8,19	32:7 44:4 55:25 68:4	additions [1] 5:22
14001 [1] 101:21	30:19 31:10 32:5,17,18 32:21 33:25 43:17,20	116:10	79:10 89:10,17	address [27] 1:5 4:6 5:20
1462 [1] 181:17	48:6 50:11 51:11 56:11	40 [4] 121:12,21,24,25	ability [2] 54:10 194:8	7:11 8:13 12:20 25:21
15 [3] 16:14,17 119:12	56:12 57:6,10,23 58:2	400,000 [2] 29:4 32:21	able [16] 10:9 33:7 49:13	36:17 44:9,12,17,21
15B [1] 1:10	58:11 59:8 60:8 64:15	45 [1] 80:25	83:2 87:13 100:9 110:20 127:8,11 142:16 143:5,9	45:10 47:24 49:2,14,21
15th [1] 175:13	70:24 108:9,12 119:5		176:7 177:19 180:18	50:3 63:19 72:1 87:14 99:10 135:5,23 143:3
	151:5 181:9,12,17 182:3			77.10 155.5,25 145.5
	•	•		

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

Multi-PageTM

addressed - bottom NL Hydro GRA

				NL Hydro GRA
144:20 145:1	allocations [1] 78:23	74:18	assistance [11] 111:13	186:23 188:25
addressed [4] 44:11 96:2	allotted [1] 193:9	appreciate [2] 93:22	112:11 113:21 114:2	basic [1] 125:1
96:5 150:4	allowing [1] 108:24	96:21	116:2 122:5 140:18,19 140:24 141:17,19	basics [1] 82:12
addresses [2] 94:7,8	allows [1] 111:11	apprise [2] 137:24 139:19	associated [4] 24:18,21	basis [12] 30:18 34:23
addressing [5] 44:10 47:16,21 48:2 135:1	almost [1] 65:15	apprised [1] 137:22	34:1 102:2	42:14 50:14,16 57:15 62:24 73:10 76:3 81:3
adds [1] 62:11	alone [1] 124:8	approach [8] 9:24 14:4	assume [1] 74:20	107:22 146:1
adequately [1] 52:1	along [7] 20:19 29:24	53:3 59:18,19,21 64:3	assuming [1] 187:3	bear [1] 37:18
adjourn [1] 193:1	36:25 53:18 103:22 156:14 176:19	176:10	assure [1] 99:3	bearing [1] 54:1
adjourned [1] 193:17	always [10] 30:14 49:21	approaching [1] 76:2	Atlantic [3] 149:2 175:7	became [2] 167:18,22
adjust [1] 101:11	49:23 55:1 67:3 99:13	appropriate [7] 6:19	177:5	become [4] 54:13 104:24
adjusted [2] 56:9 57:3	99:15 100:15 126:13,15	27:1 46:15 48:2 58:10	attached [1] 151:16	109:11 192:10
adjusting [1] 59:18	amended [7] 24:11,19	58:11 117:19	attachment [4] 85:10	began [1] 35:7
adjustment [2] 99:15	25:15 26:1,8 27:25 108:6	appropriately [1] 52:10 appropriateness [1]	151:20 153:10 154:6	beginning [3] 9:13 21:1
101:12	amendment [1] 89:19	106:3	attempted [1] 85:14 attend [7] 149:4 155:5,7	23:8
adjustments [2] 3:8	among [2] 85:21 161:12	approved [2] 5:23	155:20 156:2,13 190:21	believes [1] 136:8
13:12	amongst [1] 96:24	117:18	attendance [1] 150:14	below [2] 108:11 155:6
administer [1] 117:3	amount [30] 4:24 5:2	approximate [1] 16:9	attended [2] 139:7	benchmark [2] 64:8 67:15
administers [2] 116:25	8:14 28:16 30:2 35:15 37:10,24 38:12 39:25	area [24] 3:8,9,13,16 4:9	176:15	benefit [11] 6:8 10:5
117:8	47:6,7 65:9 81:25 82:2	9:1 29:22 43:4 46:3	attendee [1] 146:9	106:23 113:13 126:21
administration [1]	88:5,17,19 91:6 101:10	60:21,21 61:20,22 68:3 68:20 81:18 97:8,9,12	attending [2] 147:25	134:13 136:18 140:16
advance [2] 74:25	109:1,15 112:2,14 113:19 127:4,15,16 180:15	123:14 166:8 175:19	165:2	141:1,17,21
139:20	182:24	192:14,21	attends [2] 156:16,18	benefits [4] 106:21
advise [3] 90:17 95:6	amounts [2] 35:14 65:18	areas [23] 2:21 4:5 6:17	attention [7] 5:9 7:25	136:23 137:1,4
99:21	analysis [3] 6:9 78:21	7:10 8:5 10:12 11:19	91:3,18,21 92:4 96:2	beside [1] 107:5
advised [1] 93:5	136:19	12:19 16:25 31:17 49:4 55:8 60:18 64:23 71:6	Au [1] 80:25 audited [2] 101:20,22	best [3] 9:22 113:2 194:8 better [6] 9:2 11:19,23
advising [1] 98:10	announced [1] 140:8	72:6 73:17 81:23 82:11	August [1] 142:11	49:6 154:15 165:23
Advocate [1] 124:2	annual [15] 2:3 11:16	83:22 84:3,21 176:14	automatic [3] 3:17,19	between [7] 29:22 66:20
affect [2] 82:18 101:9	13:17 14:2 52:6 62:24 76:3 77:3 79:7 97:6	argument [1] 123:9	3:21	93:6 116:1 152:20 160:9
affecting [1] 53:10	108:12 130:15 149:23	arise [4] 46:13 93:7 96:15	availability [4] 66:24	191:2
affects [3] 102:18 103:2	158:19 164:25	134:6	67:11,12,20	beyond [7] 73:7 76:8,22
120:14	annually [12] 108:16	arisen [2] 101:7 157:20	available [6] 66:16,21	122:15 124:14 144:7 176:1
afternoon [1] 142:6	109:24 113:19 115:21	arrangement [7] 30:15 40:21 41:9 108:18 114:1	67:8 78:10 122:11 133:18	Bible [1] 181:1
again [15] 4:9 20:22 23:16 26:4 28:19 29:4,6	116:4,11 120:7,8 130:4 139:1 148:7 171:18	122:17 191:7	avoid [1] 139:22	big [6] 32:24 54:15 101:4
29:15 55:4,12 63:12	answer [8] 24:17 42:3	arrangements [2] 38:23	await [1] 124:19	101:8,10 125:17
85:12 88:19 188:24	115:10,11 142:20 153:14	173:14	aware [41] 11:9,25 12:1 12:4 14:8 34:10 44:15	billing [1] 117:16
191:22	154:5 171:25	arrived [1] 39:6	63:9 67:14 72:19 74:20	billings [1] 175:3
against [2] 13:8 67:16	answered [1] 123:5	arriving [1] 64:22	74:21,25 75:7 76:1 77:5	bills [2] 126:24 127:10
age [6] 8:10 133:5,10,24 134:3,7	answering [1] 143:17	articulated [1] 97:4	77:8 91:6,23 92:22,24	bit [12] 15:21 37:2 40:18
agenda [2] 146:11 148:1	answers [1] 108:10	asks [1] 118:10	95:11 96:18 107:24 111:4 118:16 128:15,18,20	63:21 80:8 81:14 85:1
aging [6] 5:8 7:7 45:21	anticipate [1] 58:6	aspect [4] 37:6 38:17 105:8 190:13	129:8,18,21 130:6,10	150:7 166:20 168:1 183:4 191:11
46:20,21 47:15	anticipated [1] 45:6	aspects [12] 8:6 15:3	134:25 138:17,22 139:16	Board [42] 21:3 24:8
ago [3] 51:20 53:1 137:21	anticipation [1] 28:12	52:21 61:8 63:5 101:5,8	150:13 172:17 176:21	43:21,22 51:10 59:25
agree [15] 17:22 36:15	anyway [1] 153:7	106:18 161:18 184:4	away [2] 27:7 107:13	60:3 61:6 62:2,6,17,20
45:6 49:8 55:21 60:8	apart [3] 157:25 185:23 186:2	192:7,8	D	64:6,11 66:10,12 71:13 74:21 75:5 85:22,25,25
77:9 79:19 87:19 88:12 88:18 94:9 100:20 104:22	apologize [2] 121:20	assessment [4] 10:8,16	-B-	86:17 87:20 89:3,6 91:21
118:21	151:16	21:16 136:25	backlog [4] 8:14 10:20	99:9 103:18 105:3 120:22
agreement [19] 35:21	apparatus [1] 194:9	assessments [3] 46:15 133:12 134:15	10:21 11:3 backward [1] 52:3	121:2,5 122:2 123:17
37:23 107:21 108:10	apparent [1] 12:19	asset [16] 7:7 15:13 40:5	backwards [1] 52:3	124:16 128:18 134:18 138:20 142:13 154:14
111:10 112:10 115:6	application [12] 25:1,8	46:14 63:24 138:9 160:20	Baie [2] 80:18 176:17	194:6
117:7,9,15,20 122:3,19 140:18,19 141:18,19	25:19 26:9,10,11 43:14	161:21,21 162:18,23	bailiwick [1] 163:7	Board's [10] 28:8 77:1
183:8 187:9	86:19 89:4 103:15 138:19 194:5	169:5 170:5,8,13 174:16	balance [4] 27:1,18 49:3	77:24 79:2,21 86:20
agreements [4] 111:2	applications [3] 20:25	assets [17] 7:7 8:7 37:8	82:22	120:16 121:6 123:12 124:14
118:25 122:5,18	87:14 134:17	37:13,17,22 40:3,11,14 40:15 42:11 43:2 53:11	balancing [2] 9:23 46:24	boiler [1] 101:11
ahead [2] 36:22,24	applied [3] 57:25 169:8	82:13 160:21 162:19,24	base [4] 38:7 54:5,13	boilers [1] 100:23
airfares [3] 30:9 31:4,17	176:10	assigned [6] 128:2	88:3	borne [1] 131:5
allocate [1] 89:7	applying [1] 83:3	131:24 137:18 138:9	based [12] 39:3 40:1,12	bottom [7] 55:17 102:15
allocated [1] 78:16	appraisal [2] 43:25	165:10 178:25	51:22 84:8 108:12 133:12	102:18,23 103:2 106:24
		assist [2] 8:6 98:9	135:25 136:24 153:14	

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

$\mathbf{Multi-Page}^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}$

Bowman - construction NL Hydro GRA

				NL Hydro GRA
172:18	Butler [5] 147:10,14,20	194:1	60:25 93:14,23 94:12,14	compares [1] 116:9
Bowman [4] 88:10 121:9	147:24 148:4	certify [1] 194:2	94:18,25,25 95:8 96:22	comparison [1] 79:3
121:25 123:8	buy [1] 99:14	cetera [1] 14:16	97:16 123:19 124:11 140:11 151:17 161:25	compensation [4]
Bowmans [1] 121:18	buying [1] 99:13	CF [4] 39:8 42:10 96:8	173:25 174:9	136:13 186:20 187:22,23
brakes [1] 25:8		187:9	clearer [1] 20:21	complete [5] 5:7 13:15
break [1] 193:10	-C-	chair [16] 1:8 89:19 90:5	close [3] 18:19 19:25	15:5 21:25 73:10
breakdown [4] 7:24	C [1] 109:22	90:20 114:22 120:10	60:22	completed [4] 28:13
8:12 27:24 80:9	CA-207 [1] 76:7	123:2 142:6 150:1,9 151:8 152:2 192:16,21	closely [1] 39:24	189:13,16 192:20
briefly [2] 48:11 125:2	CA-288 [1] 108:4	193:4,12	closure [1] 140:8	completely [2] 79:21 104:24
bring [30] 2:1 23:13	CA-NLH-372 [1] 118:9	Chairman [18] 1:2,16	CO [6] 39:8 42:10 96:8	completing [4] 11:16
28:24 33:18 41:21 46:18 50:5 64:25 66:4 68:11	calculated [1] 65:22	89:12,14 90:8 120:19	116:2 119:17 187:9	46:16 96:3 159:7
68:22 70:3 76:9 77:12	calculation [1] 127:23	121:9 122:23,24 124:7	co-gen [1] 122:19	completion [2] 21:24
84:25 85:19 86:18 91:3	calls [1] 140:25	124:22 141:24,25 180:25 192:17,25 193:5,16	co-generation [4]	63:25
91:17,20 98:1 106:9,12	cancelled [1] 21:15	challenge [1] 2:6	115:21 116:18 118:13,18	compliance [3] 114:10
108:4 121:10 124:15 128:22 173:18 185:7,12	capability [1] 127:6	challenges [2] 11:15	co-generator [2] 119:10	114:19 115:5
bringing [1] 53:14	capacity [18] 111:2,13	12:4	119:21	compliant [1] 117:15
brings [2] 65:23 96:20	111:20 112:11 113:21	challenging [2] 57:19	co-ordinated [1] 173:23	component [1] 66:18
broad [2] 29:21 186:23	114:2 116:2 119:9,13	133:23	coaching [1] 34:6	comprehensive [3] 6:9
broader [2] 38:7 123:22	122:5,18 127:16 130:23	change [14] 15:18,22	coast [2] 29:24 80:18	6:9,21
broken [2] 40:10 62:7	140:18,19,24 141:17,18 capital [44] 8:4,7,10,24	21:21 23:9 26:16 54:19	Collective [1] 183:8	concern [9] 12:12 44:13 44:22 61:22 85:22 86:11
Brook [74] 107:23 108:24	9:3,11 11:16,20 12:9	57:10 99:12 100:4 106:22 123:18 162:9 188:5,14	combination [2] 8:8 191:23	86:13 87:20 132:17
109:14,20 110:4,13 111:3	13:23 17:2,4,7 20:25	changed [4] 68:7 99:1	combustion [1] 63:1	concerned [4] 44:15
111:12,21 112:19 113:7	21:4 46:1 48:24 52:10	155:22 185:13	comfortable [1] 69:8	45:9,19 61:9
113:20 114:11,15 115:6	53:2,24 55:5 82:15 83:9 130:14,19 133:8 134:17	changes [7] 5:17 21:11	coming [7] 3:25 14:22	concerns [4] 99:4 137:16
115:19 116:10,14,17,22 117:17,21 118:12,19	135:13,16,23 137:20	21:12 26:12,21 48:6	26:9 112:7 120:5 140:20	139:13 146:4
117.17,21 118.12,19	138:4,12,20,23 139:3,5	155:21	169:5	conclusion [1] 193:18
125:14,15 126:23 127:4	139:8,23 143:9 169:11	changing [4] 15:13 23:7	comment [6] 25:9,11	conclusions [1] 135:7
127:9,21 128:16 130:3	169:12,15,19	98:19 112:4	27:12 90:9 134:12 159:3	concrete [1] 2:9
130:14,25 131:24 132:2 134:4,5,22,24,25 135:3	capture [1] 66:3	characterization [1] 49:9	commercial [3] 176:14	condition [9] 5:18 21:15
135:21 136:3,7,20,22,24	captured [2] 31:13 51:9	characterize [2] 4:23	178:13 179:13	46:15 48:1 53:11 133:12 134:14 135:11 138:16
137:9,15,19,24 138:3,7	care [2] 113:3 165:18	48:14	Commissioners [1] 194:6	conditions [2] 47:25
139:9,19 140:8,12 163:5	carried [4] 27:15 53:4 132:6 148:24	charge [3] 44:1 128:2	commissioning [1]	138:16
163:18 165:10,12,17 169:16,25 170:1,20	carry [4] 26:21 132:10	137:18	122:9	conducive [1] 135:12
171:15 175:6 177:4	134:18 189:24	charged [15] 71:18 82:8	commitment [4] 15:7	conducting [1] 148:22
brought [9] 6:22 66:5	carrying [1] 53:5	83:13 84:20 86:4 87:6	47:1 101:21 135:16	conference [1] 30:23
67:24 73:4 79:8 92:3	case [7] 53:17 67:9	118:2 181:9,11,12,19,20 181:21 182:20 185:22	commitments [1]	confirm [2] 28:15 111:11
96:1 99:9 180:21	100:15 124:15 138:8	charged-in [2] 182:6	101:17	conflict [6] 93:3 94:7
BTU [7] 98:24,25 99:11	170:12 181:9	189:2	Committee [1] 85:16	95:20,21,25 97:11
99:15 100:5,10 101:6 BTUs [3] 98:5 99:13,14	cases [2] 2:19 44:11	charges [1] 192:2	common [1] 106:17	conflicts [2] 93:6 95:10
budget [17] 4:1 10:22	Cass [4] 90:19 142:14 145:6 149:25	charging [3] 181:24	communicate [3] 165:12 174:9,15	confusion [1] 153:13
17:3,4,7 31:25 39:25	catch [2] 142:19 143:25	186:1 191:21	communicating [1]	conjunction [1] 77:3
40:13 89:24,25 90:1	category [2] 33:13 171:6	chart [1] 154:18	communicating [1] 15:20	connected [2] 66:13
134:17 169:11,12,24	Category [2] 53:15 171:6 Cater [3] 164:11,15,17	chat [1] 193:14	communication [3]	125:7
170:12 176:5	caused [5] 69:6,8,9,11	check [8] 18:7 19:1,3	165:15 169:25 180:15	consider [7] 21:8,19 52:1 55:10 105:22 123:13
budgeting [2] 2:5 40:7	98:14	90:17 94:22 116:15 164:18,20	communications [3]	153:17
budgets [1] 169:22	CBPP [2] 108:10 132:4	checked [1] 117:18	172:12 175:22 176:2	considerable [4] 29:16
BUI [3] 99:22 100:7,8	CEA [1] 85:15	Chief [2] 48:7,12	communities [1] 83:1	30:2 55:5 182:24
buildings [1] 84:4	central [8] 29:22 72:12	choice [1] 72:7	community [1] 54:8	consideration [5] 26:6
builds [1] 135:7	160:14,15 161:14 163:21	chunk [1] 80:10	company [16] 3:21 6:12	52:23 54:21 87:11 122:4
built [1] 61:23 bulk [1] 38:22	164:5,13	Churchill [3] 14:13	14:23 36:19 44:19 45:8 45:10 61:4,9 62:6 73:17	considered [2] 27:13
bullet [1] 38:22	cents [1] 81:4	39:25 190:14	78:13 102:6,24 128:24	57:17 considering [1] 49:2
bullets [1] 115:18	certain [8] 33:13 61:11 84:9 124:18 146:2 182:10	cite [1] 2:9	167:13	consistent [8] 32:23 62:1
burden [4] 88:11,14,24	186:21 191:16	City [3] 40:19 52:16	company's [5] 17:16	64:2 86:19,20 89:5,6
105:17	certainly [16] 5:3 26:6	54:15	23:14 60:1,6 102:23	114:3
burned [1] 130:18	38:8 49:2 55:1 65:3 71:7	civil [2] 166:15 168:6	comparative [2] 85:6,9	consistently [1] 29:10
business [12] 96:10 98:8	72:15 95:1 127:14 133:22	clarity [4] 22:4 95:6	compare [2] 58:17 127:25	constant [2] 7:20 12:12
100:21 101:2,4,10,16	143:16 155:18 175:16 192:6,22	97:20,21	compared [2] 42:1	constantly [1] 12:10
103:24 105:9 106:17	CERTIFICATE [1]	Clause [1] 86:3	185:23	construction [2] 14:18
171:22 172:10		clear [20] 13:6 23:19	105.25	

Multi-PageTM

consultant - different GRA

September 24, 2013		Wutt-1 age		NL Hydro GRA
34:8	170:21 171:15	28:25 29:17,20 30:7,8	139:16 159:4 172:8,9,22	deferral [7] 24:4,4
consultant [1] 28:6	convey [1] 156:12	30:12,24 31:3 33:3,4	173:19,20 174:10,16,19	105:18 106:3,6,9,18
consultants [2] 23:11	COO [1] 51:3	34:1 37:3,4,7,12,16,17	177:10,12 178:8,22 179:1	deferred [1] 21:18
23:20	COO's[1] 51:1	37:20 38:3,6,14 39:1,5	179:2,4,6,23,23 180:12	deficiencies [1] 135:5
consulting [1] 188:9	coordinate [3] 139:11	41:20 42:15,16 78:15 82:19 83:20 88:5 89:8	customers [106] 8:2 24:25 26:4 29:24 38:9	deficit [30] 75:21 76:2,8
consumed [1] 120:2	139:15 183:5	104:7 105:2 108:12	38:24 44:14 45:3 46:5,9	76:19,22 77:5,24,25
Consumer [1] 124:2	coordination [3] 174:21	113:14 115:17 128:1,1	46:25 52:19 54:5,12	78:14,17,22 79:6,7 81:23
consumption [2] 108:13	183:14 190:9	130:14,22 131:5,11	65:13,16,17 66:7,22 67:9	81:25 82:3,6 83:12 84:13 84:16,23 85:5 87:20,22
111:25	copies [1] 149:23	132:18 136:23 138:1	67:25 68:16,19,20 69:3	88:5,11 90:10 91:1,6,15
CONT'D [1] 1:21	сору [3] 85:7,11 149:16	council [2] 179:2,3	69:4,7,12,13,15,21,23 70:6,16,20 73:9,13 80:12	degree [3] 50:18,21
contact [8] 36:20 74:2	Corner [72] 107:23	counsel [3] 152:7,24,25	80:13 82:8,21 83:8,13	144:25
170:18 173:11 174:3	108:24 109:14,19 110:4	couple [6] 6:25 9:9 44:2 44:6 133:4 157:19	83:22 87:7 88:3,12 91:2	delegated [1] 74:13
175:5 176:25 177:9	110:12 111:3,11,20 112:19 113:7,19 114:10	course [6] 46:24 56:22	104:1 105:17 106:21,22	delegation [1] 74:12
contained [1] 81:16	114:15 115:6,19 116:10	109:8 115:16 170:7	107:1 110:7,14,17 113:13 122:7 131:6,8 132:15	deliberate [3] 6:3,7 55:9
content [6] 98:24,25 99:11,16,22 101:6	116:14,17,22 117:17,21	192:11	137:4 138:21 139:2	deliver [5] 17:22 74:14
context [7] 32:22 45:21	118:12,18 119:10 120:14	cover [2] 34:5 35:1	140:14 141:16 142:9	82:20 100:9,10
47:18 81:15 93:25 105:20	122:3,10 126:23 127:4,9 127:21 128:16 130:3,14	covered [1] 79:25	145:21,25 146:1,2,5,8	deliverables [1] 75:7
178:11	130:25 131:24 132:2	Coxworthy [120] 142:1	162:14,17,22 163:1 164:12 165:16 166:2	delivering [1] 21:7
contingency [2] 64:16	134:4,5,22,24,25 135:2	142:4,5,8 143:1,14,23	169:2 172:14,14,16 173:2	delivers [1] 188:17
66:15	135:21 136:3,7,20,22,24	144:6,21 145:3,16 146:17	173:12,21,22 174:1,3,8	delivery [3] 18:13 20:6
continually [2] 46:12	137:8,15,19,24 138:3,7 139:9,19 140:8,11 163:5	146:24 147:6,11,17,23 148:6,11,15,20 149:11	176:11,14 177:14 178:3	162:21
101:24	163:17 165:10,12,17	149:19 150:4,8,20,24,25	178:13,19 179:14,17,18	demand [11] 5:12 10:19
continuation [1] 192:5	169:16,25 170:1,20	151:4,12,24 152:9,19	179:21,22 180:3,7,8,16 cycle [1] 125:20	11:2 41:23 42:4 56:10 67:22 83:1 108:10 111:19
continue [11] 35:9 39:10	171:15 175:6 177:4	153:6 154:3,12,25 155:11	cycle [1] 125:20	127:22
39:12 44:23 47:1 48:18 99:14 138:13 141:12	corporate [10] 71:17	155:17 156:6,23 157:4,9 157:14,18,24 158:14,21	-D-	demanding [1] 66:22
167:19 173:23	74:1,14 152:7 156:18	159:17,23 160:4,8,24		demands [1] 68:14
continued [3] 9:20 47:9	172:12 173:5,17 175:22 176:2	161:4,24 162:4,8 163:2	DAFOR [1] 62:23	demonstrate [1] 10:25
85:20	Corporation [2] 38:24	163:10,22 164:2,8,14,19	daily [2] 73:10 117:22	demonstration [1] 11:2
continues [3] 44:20	39:9	164:24 165:7,21 166:4 166:12,16,24 167:5,9	Dalley [1] 178:24	department [3] 2:11
91:25 92:16	correct [36] 11:11 13:15	168:10,22 169:14,23	dams [1] 37:1	73:8 87:12
continuing [1] 44:11	17:17 18:15 20:7 22:20	170:19 171:1,5,10,16,23	Darren [4] 1:19 39:19	departments [3] 2:1,10
continuous [2] 51:23	23:24 35:21 37:20 54:24	174:6 175:10,25 177:1	41:5 142:3	3:5
52:24	80:16 98:11 99:23 100:24 103:8,11,18 107:15 109:9	177:11,22 178:2,10,16 179:15 180:5,17 181:2	Darren's [1] 73:11	depend [1] 174:14
contract [16] 37:3 61:24 71:5 72:1,9 116:19,25	109:16 111:8,22 117:24	182:2,12,18 183:9,21	data [4] 57:22 85:13,14 108:5	depending [4] 86:6,12 143:21 174:10
120:13 121:1 122:15	119:7 143:8 145:15	184:11 185:20 186:7	date [3] 135:4 164:18	described [3] 7:8 53:19
123:19 124:13 127:6	155:16 160:3 162:7	187:2,12 188:4,13,21	176:22	175:20
158:22 159:1 182:25	163:24 167:4,8 171:4 186:3 190:22 194:3	189:7,15,19,23 190:3,17 190:25 191:18 192:15,19	Dated [1] 194:10	design [5] 15:25 16:15
contractor [2] 34:8 175:1	corrected [1] 16:16	193:3	day-to-day [2] 173:12	167:14,19,20
contracts [5] 60:5 61:3	corrective [1] 8:12	created [5] 161:8,11	173:22	desk [1] 74:9
61:19 64:14 99:15	correctly [2] 163:23	163:11,16 168:19	days [1] 157:19	despite [1] 137:20
contributed [1] 84:12	190:20	credit [3] 108:10 126:24	deal [6] 73:12 98:24	detail [9] 33:2,5 39:20
control [6] 3:12 102:24	correspondence [1]	127:5	113:3 165:12 178:7 184:19	41:22 108:23 130:9
104:8,20 106:14,16	74:22	crew [1] 10:10	dealing [6] 96:23 145:25	138:24 144:13 155:24
controllability [1]	cost [65] 9:21 25:18 26:12	critical [5] 51:4 73:12 73:18 132:3 134:23	146:3 173:12 182:22	detailed [1] 143:22
101:9	27:19 30:4,6 31:24 32:2 33:12 34:15 35:6 36:14	Cross [1] 91:2	185:5	details [7] 36:1 37:10 41:11 108:21 114:6
conversation [1] 177:17	38:18 40:1 41:2,7,11,15	cross-examination [3]	dealings [1] 184:14	116:13 174:20
conversations [3]	41:25 42:1,10 46:24 49:3	1:20 17:5 142:4	dealt [2] 11:4 191:15	determine [3] 10:18
129:24 175:21 188:3	78:14,20,23 79:2,4 80:2	cross-subsidization [2]	Dean [2] 142:8 149:3	78:14 136:19
conversion [12] 98:3,6 100:19 102:2,9,14,17	80:23,25 82:8,9,13,14	85:21 91:16	decimal [1] 182:5	develop [3] 39:25 40:13
103:3 104:13,16,18 105:6	82:15,16 83:5,6,8,10,19 83:20,21,24 84:2,5,8,10	CT [2] 34:2 48:10	decision [5] 15:9 25:7	172:25
convert [1] 137:10	88:3 102:11,22 104:3	current [5] 135:11	25:24 93:24 168:16	developed [6] 13:1 22:23
converted [1] 126:10	106:2,22 129:1,1 131:2	141:13 145:17 160:10	decline [1] 43:23	51:16,20 61:2 144:11
converter [37] 124:20	131:7 136:5,18 137:20	165:8	declining [1] 192:7	developing [2] 72:17 173:6
125:1,3,5 126:14,22	137:23 193:8,11	customer [61] 3:16 36:20	dedicated [4] 172:21	development [3] 72:25
127:3,9 128:25 129:22	costly [1] 82:11	38:7 45:14 54:5,12 56:3 56:4,22 65:16 67:1,19	173:1 176:7 177:3	73:7 178:18
131:12,23 132:3,7,9,21	costs [72] 12:15 20:14 22:8 23:12,20,21 24:5,9	67:22,24 68:11,13,14,18	deemed [1] 170:14	diesel [1] 82:16
133:6,9,17 134:4,23 136:6 137:3,7 138:6	24:10,14,15,18,21 25:5	69:2,20,25 70:2,15,16	deeper [1] 123:7	differ [1] 62:16
140:12,21 141:9,12 143:2	25:7,14,14,14 26:4,6,19	70:23 71:2,7,11 72:11	Deer [6] 125:9,11,12,16	difference [2] 28:3 66:20
144:1,3 163:6,18 169:17	26:22 27:8,22,25 28:6,7	72:14,18,19 73:3,8,16 79:6 86:7,8,10 136:13	126:9 138:22	different [18] 2:1 14:23
				2.1 17.23

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

Multi-PageTM

differently - explaining NL Hydro GRA

186:14

15:2,24 26:2 60:17 61:8	documents [1] 70:24
62:3 63:5 65:17,18 81:10	doesn't [9] 27:7 84:1
83:2,3 118:22 149:9	100:6 123:3 152:15
163:12 174:11	153:12 171:17 187:4
differently [2] 57:14	190:20
69:14	dollar [3] 38:12 81:4
difficult [1] 55:20	88:4
difficulty [1] 78:18	dollars [13] 23:22 29:7
dig [1] 41:10	29:12 30:20 34:19 36:12
dikes [1] 37:1	38:1 88:16,18 103:16
	115:20 116:4,11
dimension [5] 187:4,6 187:15,16,21	done [36] 7:17,18 8:18
direct [13] 69:2,4 70:11	8:21 10:14 11:8,10 12:1
70:19 72:24 80:12 122:2	12:13,17 20:9 21:15
145:7 153:20 154:14	29:23 47:6 57:13,13 58:8
162:25 166:1 170:18	63:15,16 74:24 97:11 127:23 134:14 149:8,12
directed [13] 48:14 49:9	149:13 170:2,4,10,11,20
49:12 59:21 68:13 87:17	172:25 173:15 175:4
89:7 91:21 105:24 106:9	178:12 191:17
106:12 150:10 154:23	doubling [1] 25:5
direction [6] 83:16,18	doubt [1] 136:2
89:5 91:24,25 105:21	down [20] 3:7 14:18 32:9
directions [1] 182:15	40:18 62:7 67:7 72:5
directive [3] 86:21 92:13	80:8 97:22 107:9,12
105:24	109:22 112:1 120:25
directly [3] 33:14 83:17	152:8,21 170:7 176:16
91:17	176:17 182:4
directors [1] 163:13	drafting [1] 168:8
discount [1] 100:10	dramatically [2] 4:24
discriminatory [6]	103:23
85:24 86:6,9,15 87:8	drawing [1] 8:5
88:25	driven [6] 12:16 82:7 101:15,16 102:5 191:15
discuss [3] 71:10,15	
75:21	drivers [1] 30:12
discussed [9] 5:23 43:19	drives [1] 137:20
48:10 61:10 64:13 114:14 139:6 173:4 175:4	driving [3] 33:4 74:8
discussing [1] 132:24	104:7
_	drop [2] 56:12 109:12
discussion [22] 6:14,17 11:5 21:10 23:5 26:7	due [4] 36:20 99:5 109:8
40:12 42:17 43:14 63:10	132:17
63:17 77:24 85:3,8,11	duration [1] 70:17
85:18 98:4 105:13 159:14	during [18] 2:4,4 13:11
176:8 180:15 185:7	13:14 21:5,13 23:7,9 60:18 66:16,23 69:5 88:6
discussions [9] 33:10	111:13 112:25 113:8
63:4 95:1 159:16 174:25	147:19 168:11
176:1,5 177:8 184:9	duties [1] 51:1
dispatched [1] 113:3	
displace [1] 109:4	-E-
distance [1] 29:22	early [4] 43:17 139:22
distribution [23] 51:8	164:23 189:18
52:14,14 53:9,18 54:4 57:3,24 60:15,21 61:21	economies [1] 96:11
61:23,25 62:4,22 160:13	
160:21 162:19 167:19	effect [6] 88:24 91:16 98:5 100:5 123:21 140:2
168:1 179:14,21,22	effective [4] 10:13 12:8
divisional [1] 1:11	26:16 104:9
divisions [1] 154:10	effectively [2] 123:24
document [9] 50:7 51:5	185:25
71:1 79:15,20 81:13 90:2	effectiveness [3] 181:1
92:6 96:18	184:17,21
documentation [1] 95:7	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	efficiency [2] 101:14.20
documented [7] 49:25	efficiency [2] 101:14,20 efficient [4] 83:4 101:18
documented [7] 49:25 50:22 92:12 94:18,21,22	efficiency [2] 101:14,20 efficient [4] 83:4 101:18 102:11 104:5
documented [7] 49:25	efficient [4] 83:4 101:18

139:17 effort [8] 9:10 25:5 27:16 36:22 48:14 49:10,12 57:14 efforts [3] 9:16 61:5 172:17 eight [3] 19:7 118:16 119:14 36:12 either [5] 57:5 60:7 70:24 110:14 126:8 electric [1] 137:9 electrical [9] 119:25 125:6,21 126:17 162:21 162:25 166:6,9,11 3 58:8 **electricity** [7] 102:10 104:6 109:5 125:25 183:15 184:1 190:11 11,20 element [5] 55:11 101:3 110:9 190:8 192:13 elements [2] 82:6 139:4 eliminating [1] 3:18 8 32:9 **embarking** [1] 138:5 emergency [2] 112:25 113:8 employee [4] 128:16 162:1,5 168:13 employees [11] 1:9 14:12,22 15:7 41:8,12 93:11 94:11 95:8 97:9 183:2 **EMS** [1] 101:20 enable [2] 106:15 112:1 1:15 encompassed [1] 144:13 end [13] 16:1 26:18 35:8 35:22,23 58:15,21 63:14 64:4 68:18 72:5 105:3 185:11 endeavour [1] 134:10 ends [1] 141:19 09:8 energy [26] 65:9,13,14 65:17.19 66:6.6 70:10 84:6 85:4 107:18 109:2 115:17 119:17,20,25 120:1.8 122:8.10 125:21 5 88:6 126:18 127:15,22 130:23 141:15 engineer [4] 166:6,8,11 166:15 **engineering** [10] 15:25 16:15 34:7 166:25 167:14 167:18,21 168:4,7,24 enhancement [1] 174:4 ensure [18] 6:15,18 8:1 9:20 14:8 20:20 45:24 140:20 46:2,14,24 47:7 48:1 49:24 66:4 67:9 73:20 12:8 93:12 117:14 ensuring [5] 64:2 74:1,5 100:22 117:15 entered [2] 111:3,5 81:11 entirely [1] 183:10 14,20 entirety [1] 122:4 01:18 entities [2] 94:1 96:25 entity [1] 97:17 envelope [1] 17:4

	environment [1] 47:14
	environmental [2]
	101:17,24
	EPCA [1] 86:4
	equate [2] 65:15 127:21
	equation [1] 83:14
	equipment [14] 5:18,19 7:24 8:3 9:19 10:2 33:17
	33:21 67:23 69:11 112:1
	133:11,14 139:12
	equitable [1] 86:8
	equity [4] 103:23 105:14
	105:20,24
	equivalent [3] 146:18 146:20 147:18
	essence [2] 55:19 104:8
	establish [3] 20:15 52:5
	52:9
	established [13] 21:1
	39:3 49:18 51:22 52:6
	52:25 53:6 64:9 84:20 86:22 87:16 103:17 131:8
	establishes [2] 83:11
	84:19
	establishing [6] 57:9
	86:25 88:21 172:20
	176:12,18
	estimate [2] 24:13 127:8 estimated [1] 39:12
	estimates [1] 40:1
	estimates [1] 40.1 estimation [1] 27:19
	et [1] 14:15
3	etc [3] 79:6 85:7,17
	eve [2] 25:22,23
	event [8] 54:8 67:1,4,6
	67:10 70:14 107:12
	124:19
	events [11] 12:25 43:16 43:17,20 44:7,18 45:7
	54:8 66:3,11 70:6
	eventually [1] 153:21
	everybody [2] 25:22
	152:15
	evidence [30] 14:14 23:14 26:7 28:14 33:19
	59:25 115:18 128:17,18
	128:22 130:2,9 137:14
	142:13,23 144:14 145:5 150:12 151:7 153:8
	155:12,19,23 160:15
	163:4 166:7 172:4 175:12
	181:14 191:22
	evident [1] 54:13
	evolve [1] 68:6 evolved [1] 175:9
	exact [5] 39:22 41:10 64:5 147:18 164:18
	exactly [4] 97:2 124:6
	170:9 191:13
	examination [1] 1:24
	examined [2] 26:22
	103:18 example [1] 137:15
	examples [3] 2:9 184:13
	Champics [3] 2.9 104:15

exceeded [1] 29:12 exception [2] 45:1 159:12 exchange [1] 15:21 exclude [1] 152:1 excluded [2] 152:4 160:17 excludes [1] 65:6 Excuse [1] 32:9 execute [3] 2:3 139:17 173:7 **executing** [4] 7:5,6 52:12 71:11 execution [19] 9:5 13:5 14:1 16:1,24 19:10 20:7 20:19 22:7 143:24 152:6 161:20 167:22 168:2,17 168:19 170:17 178:23 179:4executives [1] 185:16 exempt [2] 120:15 123:14 **exemption** [2] 120:13 123:20 existing [1] 129:11 expand [1] 180:1 expect [12] 2:2 10:9 15:25 45:7 46:8 50:21 78:17,18 81:21,24 82:22 139:6 expectation [3] 21:6 55:23 177:18 expectations [2] 45:4 45:14 expected [4] 20:21 78:7 173:11 177:23 expects [1] 115:11 expended [2] 34:25 35:12 **expending** [2] 39:6,16 expenditure [1] 169:15 **expenditures** [7] 107:18 133:4 134:2 135:17 136:7 136:19 139:22 expense [1] 42:7 expenses [4] 13:24 33:22 41:23 42:3 **experience** [2] 2:15 139:7 experienced [5] 20:24 36:19 45:20 48:17 98:13 experiencing [2] 36:23 57:17 expert [4] 88:10 121:9 122:1,14 expertise [1] 98:22 experts [1] 51:17 explain [3] 41:22 64:21 108:16 explained [5] 23:6 68:14 104:19 160:14 180:3 explaining [2] 2:1 113:13

Multi-PageTM

explanation - held NL Hydro GRA

September 24, 2013		Mulu-1 age		NL Hydro GRA
explanation [4] 20:23	25:25 26:1,2,23 27:9,13	formality [1] 50:18	171:12,19	grade [2] 59:9,13
25:16 42:18 43:1	90:11 92:19 183:7	former [1] 167:23	gas [1] 31:12	graduation [1] 167:13
Exploits [1] 142:21	finance [7] 20:20 28:1	fortunate [1] 134:6	gasoline [1] 31:5	Grant [1] 28:24
exploration [1] 123:7	28:20 33:6 36:2 85:15 152:5	forward [51] 2:2,16,20	gather [1] 85:14	granular [1] 144:11
exposure [1] 105:7	financial [2] 77:3 107:11	5:4 11:22 13:6 17:9 21:3	geared [1] 25:22	GRAs [1] 27:20
expressing [1] 87:20	financially [1] 104:24	26:10,17 27:4,15,16,17 28:11 31:8 48:18,19,23	general [16] 77:24 83:3	Gray [8] 23:15 76:10,14
extended [1] 34:5	fine [1] 164:25	50:14,16 52:2,11 53:2,4	88:17 121:4 123:15,17 140:7 152:6 159:25	77:14 80:5 121:15,19 151:14
extensive [5] 6:14,17 53:8 54:14 117:20	finish [2] 192:23 193:11	53:5 57:15 68:2 73:4	140.7 132.0 139.25	great [2] 69:1 160:17
extent [6] 83:14 92:14	finished [1] 193:12	78:19 81:25 86:19 87:10 89:4 99:9 102:20 106:7	162:12 165:8 194:4	greater [6] 12:21 56:4
105:1 110:11 141:18	firm [1] 111:18	106:9,12,20 117:16	generally [8] 63:6 74:16	56:11,12 110:16 144:13
149:12	first [5] 25:8 26:14	134:16 135:22 136:11,16	82:5 114:23 155:12 157:7 157:15 186:23	grid [1] 80:14
external [1] 6:10	132:21 157:10,15	138:18,19 178:24 179:5 179:9 180:3	generation [28] 2:22,22	group [28] 9:18 15:17
extra [1] 36:22	fish [1] 179:13	found [5] 44:21 135:23	3:13 9:22 60:17 64:16	16:2 48:9 73:4,16 82:25
extract [1] 22:6	fits [4] 120:23 123:15,22 124:13	140:6,7 158:16	65:12 66:8,14,16,21,24	145:12,20 146:23 147:4 148:5 160:1,5,10 161:1
extreme [1] 69:9	five [10] 39:17,23 41:25	four [3] 42:7 132:2	67:8,12,18,20 68:3 83:6 112:3,4,7 116:3 118:12	165:3,9,19,19 166:3
	72:18 76:20 77:4 78:8	177:20	112:3,4,7 110:3 118:12	167:15,16,17 171:8
-F-	78:10 137:13 167:6	frame [1] 48:3	137:8 139:23	173:20 179:23 190:22
face [1] 36:6	fixed [2] 52:6 83:15	framework [1] 159:7	generator [8] 119:18	growing [6] 4:5,8 5:12 8:4 10:19 11:2
facilities [24] 5:6,8 8:1 8:11 38:5,6 39:13 45:21	fixtures [1] 81:18	frequency [41] 65:24 66:1,3,11 69:24 124:20	125:6,20 126:4,6,15,17 127:2	grown [3] 88:5,6,6
45:25 46:21,22 48:1 70:1	flagging [1] 159:10	125:1,2,5 126:13,22	generators [2] 125:11	growth [9] 1:23 2:11
84:3,6,7,9,12 108:25	flawed [1] 115:1	127:3,9 129:21 131:12	126:10	3:25 8:10 10:20 11:3
109:3 118:14,23,24 131:3	flow [3] 106:23,25 112:2	131:14,23 132:2,6,21	gentleman [1] 147:10	15:12 36:24 52:18
facility [7] 41:8 111:21	flowing [1] 106:24	133:5,9,16 134:4,23 136:5 137:3,7 138:5	geographic [1] 29:21	guarantee [3] 129:8,15
118:13,13 132:16 133:10 143:13	flung [1] 30:15	140:12,21 141:9,12 143:2	gigawatt [4] 120:6	129:19 guarantees [2] 46:20
fact [4] 12:16 105:16	fluorescent [1] 81:18	144:1,2 163:5,18 169:17	130:15 131:19 140:2	128:24
107:2 183:12	flying [1] 31:20	170:21 171:15	gigawatts [1] 130:4	guess [27] 25:21 27:25
factor [4] 98:6 100:19	focus [21] 34:3 44:19 45:9 46:3,13 47:9 48:10	frequently [1] 191:11 front [1] 40:9	given [9] 27:21 91:24 134:3,7 136:2,4,7 150:16	36:2 40:8 55:17 78:8
102:17 105:6	49:21 61:4,12 63:23	fronts [1] 46:6	184:12	81:20 87:19 91:7 97:2 97:15,19,20 98:4 104:22
fair [7] 3:24 15:21 100:17 134:1 180:9,15 183:4	68:11 71:6 72:8 83:19	FTE [8] 1:23 2:11 3:25	giving [1] 8:17	105:11 124:25 143:25
fairly [7] 4:23 36:14	99:2 159:15 172:8 173:21 175:19 181:6	4:1,11,18 10:15,16	glad [1] 186:8	161:11 168:5,16 172:3
53:21 54:4 117:19 146:1	focused [5] 58:12 63:16	FTEs [3] 2:16 4:19 6:11	GLYNN [18] 43:7 75:18	179:12 180:13 181:9,21 183:25
188:8	64:13 68:2 72:7	fuel [23] 30:7 82:15,18	77:19 79:11 89:18 90:21	guessing [1] 182:10
fairness [2] 105:12,15	focusing [1] 65:7	82:24 98:3,6,10,13 99:3	95:12,17 115:13 121:13 121:17 122:22 123:25	guidance [3] 59:17 90:1
fall [3] 11:21 163:6 164:12	focussed [2] 188:1,8	99:5,7 100:19 102:14 103:3 104:13,16,17	128:12 150:22 151:2	124:16
falls [6] 38:23 39:9,25	Fogo [1] 80:18	108:12,13,16 109:7	180:23 193:7	guidelines [3] 89:24,25
86:23 152:20 184:7	folks [11] 9:5,6 14:21 15:1,23,25 52:21 56:9	110:11 130:18	goal [2] 18:12,17	94:4
familiar [6] 81:5 116:13	64:22 70:18 118:6	full [11] 24:13 35:2,15 38:5 39:20 55:13 131:2	goals [1] 172:10	
145:23 147:15 177:24	follow [5] 49:13 70:3	135:12 138:24 186:25	goes [11] 31:24 85:18 107:8,9 130:2 132:1	-H-
183:10 for the 20:15 (1.8 120:12	71:8 94:5 180:2	192:12	134:20 135:8 138:19	half [2] 30:20 36:12
far [11] 30:15 61:8 120:12 124:10 129:18,21 142:23	following [7] 48:19	fully [5] 9:8 14:8 30:20	141:21 172:17	handled [2] 93:8 95:22
153:14 175:20 189:4	90:11 91:21 99:1 108:8 122:8,12	84:10 162:18	gone [7] 3:7 14:12 37:4	happening [15] 31:23 50:4 52:16 63:12,22
190:5	follows [1] 83:18	function [3] 161:20 171:21 191:9	92:18,22 110:19 185:14	102:1 138:18 156:12
fares [2] 30:6 31:24	footnote [2] 40:19	functional [4] 97:7,9,12	good [8] 21:13,20 73:6 101:13 137:15 142:6	158:9 174:5 176:12,23
fault [1] 24:25	183:11	154:10	170:9 192:18	190:10,12 191:11
fee [1] 34:23	forced [4] 62:23,25 64:15	fundamental [2] 82:12	Goulding [3] 147:7,9,15	Happy [1] 43:4
felt [1] 87:6 few [5] 1:7,24 31:13	65:6	82:17	governance [1] 93:24	hard [1] 5:3 haul [1] 83:9
121:5,6	forecast [18] 29:3,5,6,14 29:16 32:18,20 76:19,23	fundamentally [1] 83:11	government [28] 83:15	had [1] 85:9 he'd [1] 113:6
fewer [1] 133:21	77:4 78:9,10 108:7 181:8	future [3] 44:24 47:20	83:18,24 84:1,2,2,4,9,15 84:18,19 86:24 87:1,2	heard [4] 59:25 163:4
field [6] 7:19 9:6 10:24	181:12,20 188:22 191:19	57:18	87:16 88:20 89:5 90:10	172:19 194:5
73:9 170:5 174:17	forecasted [1] 105:2		91:4,8,10,23 92:8,18,23	hearing [4] 25:23 43:19
figures [1] 81:5	forecasting [1] 32:17	-G-	92:23 105:25 184:2	91:22 194:3
file [3] 26:8 75:11 122:2	forecasts [2] 104:13,16	Gardiner [28] 1:20	Government's [7] 84:22 86:21 91:17,20	hearings [1] 86:22
filed [14] 43:21 51:9 60:3	foregoing [1] 194:2	16:13 22:13,19 142:4,7	92:3,13,15	heat [3] 98:3 103:4
61:5 62:17,19 71:13 85:2 90:3 108:6 121:9 128:17	forgot [1] 89:20	143:5,8 144:5 162:5,6	GRA [12] 24:8,11 25:15	104:25 heels [1] 26:11
142:10 151:6	form [1] 191:1	166:13,14,17,22 167:3,7 167:12 168:15,23 169:3	26:14,14 27:2 77:2,12	heels [1] 26:11 held [6] 145:8,9 147:20
filing [12] 24:19,19,22	formal [2] 94:8 146:12	169:20 170:3,23 171:3,7	85:3 108:6 131:3 142:10	147.20
	1		1	1

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

$\boldsymbol{Multi-Page}^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}$

helicopter - intensively NL Hydro GRA

				NL Hydro GRA
148:7 160:18,25	173:3 174:13 175:20,23	HVDC [1] 15:15	106:5 137:23 186:23	153:16,19 172:7 179:24
helicopter [1] 30:9	176:3 177:7,16,25 178:4	hydro [192] 1:9 2:22 3:13	impacted [1] 70:17	180:13
help [3] 10:15 140:23	178:24 181:6,22,25 182:9 182:14,21 183:19,24	7:5 15:16 17:8 24:25	impacting [2] 8:9 55:7	indicates [4] 42:3 108:13
163:3	184:25 186:5,16 187:7	25:24 26:3,12,13 29:21 30:14 33:20 37:18,20	impacts [2] 82:5 190:14	115:19 135:10 indicating [3] 13:10
helping [1] 183:4	187:18 188:11,18 189:5	38:4,17 42:10 43:20 44:1	impellers [1] 99:6	74:22 138:11
Henderson [371] 1:19 1:25 2:13 3:3 4:3,13,20	189:10,17,21 190:1,7,23 191:3 192:3	49:21 50:5 53:17,25	implementation [4]	indication [1] 158:9
5:1,25 6:4,13 7:1,13,22	hereby [1] 194:2	55:20 57:5,24 59:17,19 62:16,19 65:10 69:5,8,9	3:19 8:7 73:21 179:11	indicators [5] 43:21
8:19 10:11 11:12 12:3	hertz [15] 125:8,10,14	69:11,21,25 71:8,19,19	implemented [3] 48:6 100:2 179:9	143:15 144:8,10 158:10
13:3,21 14:6,20 16:6,10	125:21 126:4,5,7,7,10	72:3,17 74:22 76:7,20	implementing [5] 3:17	indifferent [1] 104:24
17:11,14,18,24 18:4,9 18:14,18,23 19:2,10,11	126:11,11,12,14 137:8	76:23 77:2 80:24 81:11	85:22 86:1 87:17 88:20	Industrial [25] 38:9
19:15,19,23 20:8 22:11	140:21	81:22 83:18 85:2,7,14 87:5 88:23 90:9 91:6,9	implications [4] 90:25	122:7 138:21 142:9 145:21,24 146:1 162:14
22:15,24 23:3,23 24:2	hesitate [1] 74:11	91:14 92:18,22 93:3,6	91:15 187:17 188:2	162:17,22,25 164:12
24:12,20,24 25:10,17 26:5 27:11 28:2,9,21	high [16] 12:7 20:11 46:3 54:6 61:9 62:6 108:20	93:13 94:11,15,23 95:2	important [7] 21:24	165:16 166:2 169:1
29:1,8,19 30:14 31:1,15	108:23 117:14 118:15	96:6 97:9,17 98:7,10,13 99:17,21 100:21 101:1	63:21 71:9 72:14 99:12 139:10 172:9	172:16,22 173:2,21 178:3
31:22 32:8,13 33:1 34:10	133:5 142:16 143:4 158:8	104:3,4,7,7,23 105:7	improve [9] 48:22 49:4	178:19 179:18 180:2,8 180:16
34:12,16,22 35:5,13,20 36:4,16 37:8,15,19 38:15	162:20 185:22	106:2 107:13 109:5,23	57:20 58:9 61:13 63:19	Industrials [3] 146:12
38:21 39:7,18 40:24 41:4	higher [11] 11:18 19:4 29:3,14,15 33:23 50:6	110:6,14,17 111:11,20 113:6 114:10,24 115:8	64:3 101:19,24	176:20 177:14
42:20,24 43:11 44:5 45:5	102:8,9 103:20 105:22	116:9,23 117:1,3,7,21	improved [4] 55:18 57:6	infamous [1] 180:21
45:15 46:11 47:13 48:5	highest [1] 5:24	118:2,11,14,17 120:6,15	58:3,22	inflation [1] 33:10
48:16 49:11,19 50:20 51:2,7,13,19 53:20 54:3	highlighted [1] 71:6	122:2 123:3 126:19 127:8	improvement [11] 11:22 48:11,15,24 49:18 51:23	influence [5] 83:20 84:5
54:23 55:3,22 56:6,14	highlights [1] 85:22	127:14,23 129:15 130:5 130:13 132:5,9 135:1,6	52:5,6,24 58:19 71:12	84:18,22 104:12
56:19,25 57:7 58:4,16	historic [4] 29:17 45:18	135:10,15,19 136:6,11	improvements [6]	influencing [3] 33:14 83:24 84:2
58:24 59:3,10,20 60:9 60:13 61:7 62:18 64:18	51:23 68:18	136:15,18,22 137:9,24	10:18 47:10 51:24 58:10	Info [1] 79:14
64:24 65:4 68:9,25 69:18	historical [1] 51:25	139:19,22 141:8 144:10 145:9 149:14 150:17	63:23 102:1 in-house [1] 70:9	inform [1] 136:22
70:22 71:4,24 72:13,21	historically [1] 45:20	151:8,18 152:1,12,18	incident [1] 63:13	information [18] 14:9
73:2,24 74:10,20 75:2,8 75:14,22,23 76:1,4,18	history [2] 5:2 166:20	153:2,12,16,18,24 154:11	incidents [5] 44:12 63:18	14:10 40:9 78:7 79:12
77:7 78:2,3,11 79:14,16	hits [1] 67:17	154:16 155:7,9,13 156:2 156:12,16,18 157:1,12	63:19 64:1,2	79:20 85:5,9 108:5,8
79:23 80:15 81:6,20 82:4	hoc [1] 191:6 hold [4] 145:7 160:9	157:15,21 158:3 160:22	inclined [1] 136:6	121:4 123:11 135:19 143:6 150:10 158:16,23
83:25 84:17 86:12,16 87:9,24 88:10,15 89:1	164:15 167:10	162:1 165:11,15 168:13	include [12] 24:10,13	172:2
90:8,13,24 91:5,19 92:5	holding [2] 21:23 147:19	168:21 174:7,9 178:5 181:12 183:15,23 184:12	25:14 28:7 60:14 79:24	informed [1] 138:4
92:11,21 93:2,15,19,22	holds [1] 146:20	184:18,23 185:3 186:1	152:15 161:19 162:20 163:4 165:17 179:18	infrastructure [4] 15:13
94:3,13,20 95:24 96:17 97:1,18 98:7,12 99:24	hole [1] 120:25	186:13,24 187:15,20,24	included [3] 85:5 90:2	47:15 82:9,10
100:3,14,25 102:16 103:7	holistic [1] 65:18	188:2,17 190:21 192:2	128:1	initial [1] 111:10
103:12,19 104:2,15 105:5	Holyrood [6] 15:3,6,8	Hydro's [30] 5:24 40:4 40:15 43:14 51:16 68:20	includes [4] 23:21 24:5	initiated [1] 11:21
105:23 106:11 107:7,14	45:22 102:8 109:5	69:4 70:15 74:23 76:18	42:6 179:20	initiative [5] 72:20 99:10 99:11 175:15 179:16
107:19,25 108:19 109:10 109:17 110:1,8,18,23	homes [2] 40:22,25	81:9 90:24 93:5,9 102:15	including [3] 52:12 79:2 184:18	initiatives [8] 50:23
111:6,7,15,23 112:13,21	honest [1] 123:10	102:18,21 104:20 105:9 105:25 106:24 117:11	inclusive [1] 184:14	61:12 71:15 81:11,12,15
113:1,9,16,23 114:5,12	hope [2] 77:23 161:25	123:15 129:1 131:5,6	incorporates [1] 78:22	81:22 82:1
115:16,23 116:6,12,21 117:2,6,13 118:4,15,20	hopefully [1] 170:25 hoping [2] 153:5 171:13	132:7 158:5 183:1 194:4	increase [15] 4:18,24	input [1] 72:24
119:6,11,19,24 123:4	hoping [2] 153.5 171.15 host [3] 149:6,14,15	hydroelectric [5]	8:13 29:6 30:12 33:25	inquire [1] 105:15
124:5,25 125:4 127:1,13	hour [5] 79:3,4 80:2	108:25 109:3 112:3,4,7	36:12,14 37:2 42:6,9,19	inquiry [3] 23:21 28:8 190:11
127:20 128:3,7,8,15,19 129:3,6,7,13,20 130:8	89:12 130:15	-I-	55:5 137:17,21 increased [2] 41:24 42:4	inspections [1] 133:13
130:13,17 131:4,13,17	hours [9] 66:23 69:5,7		increases [1] 33:15	install [1] 119:13
131:22 132:4,11,23 133:6	69:20,23 70:15 120:7	IBEW [2] 183:1 185:9 idea [1] 161:16	increasing [6] 30:10	instance [13] 3:8,10
133:7,20 134:1,9 135:15 135:18 136:10,21 137:6	131:19 140:2 housing [4] 40:17,19	identified [16] 7:10 8:16	31:3 32:1,2 85:20 103:22	10:10 30:8 56:2 80:2
137:13 138:2 139:25	41:8,12	9:1,15 10:4 21:17 44:8	incur [1] 130:13	83:3 131:3 151:6 158:15 177:3 187:22,24
140:5,14,15 141:5,11	HR [2] 16:19 185:17	48:20 49:15 50:8,10	incurred [1] 130:22	insufficient [1] 14:5
142:3,7,22,24 143:7,16 143:20 144:4,9,17,24	HRO [2] 186:13 188:6	109:23 135:6 166:6,7 175:18	incurring [4] 37:21	integrate [4] 9:2 11:23
145:4,10,14,22 146:22	HROE [3] 1:11 152:5	identify [5] 9:19 47:23	104:4 136:24 137:25	16:19,23
147:3,8,13,21 148:3,9	183:12	78:16 134:15 142:15	indeed [1] 33:4	integrated [5] 9:8,11,24
148:13,18,23 149:12,17 149:21 150:18 151:7,10	HROEs [1] 185:25	illuminate [1] 153:22	independent [1] 59:17 indicate [3] 12:24 18:21	15:23 16:17
151:22 152:3,13,23	human [5] 181:10 184:16 184:20 185:1,4	immediately [2] 26:10	127:15	integrating [4] 8:23 10:5 15:1,10
153:15,25 154:7,17 155:3	Humphries [5] 110:22	27:21	indicated [17] 7:4 14:13	integration [3] 11:20
155:15 156:4,10 157:2,6 157:11,16,22 158:6,17	110:24 113:2 147:15	impact [18] 21:6 44:14	17:5 43:22 69:24 76:20	12:22 190:19
158:24 159:18 165:1	165:22	46:18 54:9,16 55:2 68:16 68:18 70:5 81:24 84:16	108:14 109:19 122:14	intend [1] 135:22
166:5 171:25 172:23	Humphries' [1] 146:23	91:1,24 102:14 104:19	129:14 132:20 143:4	intensively [1] 45:23
L	ł	I	1	-

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

$\boldsymbol{Multi-Page}^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}$

intent - management NL Hydro GRA listing [4] 81:19 155:2,4

				NL Hydro GRA
intent [1] 26:3	145:2 146:4 165:13 174:2	137:2,12 139:18 140:3	largely [1] 137:18	listing [4] 81:19 155:2,4
inter-company [1] 94:4	174:22 175:1 177:24	140:10 141:3,7,22 146:6	larger [6] 54:12 173:21	155:8
interact [2] 184:19,24	178:7 184:16,21,24 185:6	Johnson's [1] 159:3	176:13 179:13,14,17	lived [1] 57:12
interacting [2] 183:17	185:10,19 186:11,15,20 186:22 187:1,13,25	joined [2] 167:13,18	last [19] 4:4 9:9,25 39:23	load [15] 65:24 66:1 67:9
184:5	it'll [1] 47:12	joint [11] 139:4 146:7	40:13 44:2,6 48:21 74:24	69:7 101:6 102:8 112:6
interactions [7] 162:13	item [2] 40:11 176:21	147:25 148:7,16 149:23	103:17 120:24 121:5 124:1,4 131:3 149:22	112:20 125:10,17 126:12 130:21 135:12 139:20,24
182:11 189:1,3 190:4,18	items [23] 2:15 33:3,8,12	150:15 164:25 165:20 171:18 173:13	151:1 175:19 182:5	location [2] 149:1,1
191:1	44:8,9,10,21 48:20 49:1	judged [2] 86:9 87:7	late [1] 168:19	long-term [7] 160:20
interconnected [9] 41:24 42:13 79:5 80:11	49:25 50:2,2,10 72:8	judgment [2] 86:17 89:2	lead [6] 73:16 168:5,8	161:21 169:5 170:5,8,13
80:14,17 109:11 140:13	79:24 142:19 146:14	Judy [2] 194:2,12	179:1 185:1,2	171:2
141:16	158:13,19,20 159:5 192:5	July [3] 35:8,23,24	leaders [2] 156:1,1	longer [4] 20:5 26:20
interconnection [3]	-J-	jump [1] 32:25	leadership [45] 5:24 6:8	85:13 105:7
141:10,13,20		jumping [1] 81:13	22:6 61:10 71:8,20 93:10	look [31] 5:4 6:22 9:21
interest [1] 146:14	January [4] 12:25 13:4 43:17 48:6	Jurisdiction [1] 120:20	94:15,24 151:8,19 152:2 152:11,12,14 153:3,11	24:8 26:17 30:3 32:5 36:21 40:7 47:24 48:17
interesting [1] 115:4	Jennifer [1] 69:1	jurisdictional [1] 121:7	153:15,18,22,23 154:1,5	48:18,22 49:1,3 50:13
interests [1] 93:7		jurisdictions [1] 85:6	154:9,16 155:5,7,9,13	52:8 57:16 60:24 63:10
interface [1] 156:7	Jenny [2] 121:14 152:8 job [1] 154:15	justification [4] 6:11	156:3,11,13,14,16,19,20	67:21 68:12,16 69:14
interfere [1] 139:12	John's [2] 194:7,10	6:24 25:4 29:18	156:24 158:2,5 159:6 168:17,20 185:3 190:22	83:5 91:7 98:14 125:7 170:10 173:7 183:11
interference [1] 120:17	/	justifications [1] 169:8	191:14	looked [13] 8:21 10:12
internal [11] 6:10 17:16	Johnson [272] 1:3,18,20 1:22 3:1,23 4:7,15,22	justified [1] 136:20	leading [2] 8:13 183:1	10:17 11:13 14:9 26:15
51:17 60:24 61:1 62:15 64:23 112:6 135:6 186:12	5:21 6:2,6,20 7:3,15 8:15	justify [1] 192:2	learn [4] 63:12,22 156:8	30:11 44:7 52:4,23 53:7
186:13	10:7 11:6,24 12:23 13:18		158:3	68:10,17
internally [1] 60:2	14:3,11 16:3,8,18 17:1	-K-	lease [2] 41:9,15	looking [24] 6:12 9:6,7
interrupting [1] 95:13	17:13,20 18:1,6,11,16 18:20,25 19:5,13,17,21	keep [3] 53:5 70:18 82:19	leasing [1] 38:5	11:15 25:3 26:17 28:14 30:23 40:3 52:3 64:7
interruption [3] 67:2	20:2 22:3,17,21 23:1,10	kept [1] 148:16	least [7] 83:7 84:10	67:7,10 69:19 78:19
69:6 112:19	23:16,17,25 24:7,16,23	key [12] 73:11,18 143:15	102:11 122:16 139:7	82:25 97:17 98:23 102:11
interruptions [4] 36:20	25:12,20 27:6,23 28:4	144:8 172:2,20,20,25	142:19 188:25	105:16 155:18 179:7,25
65:10 69:25 70:2	28:18,23 30:13 31:11,19 32:3,11,15 33:6,16 34:14	175:19 178:18 179:11,12	leave [1] 183:14 led [2] 48:12 73:3	191:19
investigate [1] 47:23	34:18,24 35:10,17,25	kilowatt [3] 79:2,4 80:2	left [1] 3:21	looks [3] 36:6 61:15 65:18
investigated [1] 44:8	36:9 37:5 38:11,19,25	kind [3] 45:7 123:4 153:5	legal [4] 123:9 132:7	loss [1] 110:6
investigating [1] 64:1	39:14 40:16 41:1,14,18 43:5,9 44:25 45:11 46:7	knew [4] 12:6 138:5,9	152:24,25	Loup [1] 80:25
investment [5] 55:6 133:8 135:13 138:23	47:11 48:4 49:7,16 50:17	175:16	less [6] 7:21 18:2 45:17	low [1] 82:20
143:10	50:24 51:6,15 53:15,22	knowing [1] 115:4	54:13 55:20 192:14	lower [9] 14:12 27:19
investments [4] 83:10	54:17,25 55:16 56:1,8	knowledge [2] 53:16 172:24	level [30] 7:17 11:18 12:6	29:5 83:5 100:9,10
133:10 134:10 136:12	56:16,21 57:2,21 58:14 58:20 59:1,5,12,16,24	knowledgeable [1]	12:7,8 17:7 20:11 46:4,9	103:11 133:21 190:14
invoices [1] 117:17	60:11,23 62:14 64:12,20	51:21	50:6 61:9 62:6,7 82:20 84:16 86:6,12 100:8	
involved [14] 2:24 27:3	65:2 68:5,21 69:16 70:21	KPI [5] 43:20 56:4 60:3	108:20,23 112:15 117:14	-M-
73:7,8 90:14 99:17 146:15 147:9 179:16	71:21 72:10,16,23 73:19	62:12 144:12	125:1 130:6 142:16 143:4	M [3] 82:23 83:20 90:1
184:3 185:18 187:11,14	74:7,15 75:4,10,16,20 75:25 76:6,11,12,16	KPIs [1] 51:9	144:22 147:2 158:8 175:4	machine [1] 125:5
187:15	77:11,15,17,21 78:5,25		levels [6] 4:11 5:24 45:20 64:9 118:15 139:21	machines [1] 140:9
involvement [3] 72:25	79:13,18 80:1,6,7,19	-L-	liable [1] 136:8	Madam [1] 1:5
120:17 180:11	81:8 83:23 84:14,24 87:4 87:18 88:9,22 89:11 90:6	L [4] 39:8 42:10 96:8	liaise [1] 165:11	main [1] 65:11
IOC [1] 177:21	90:7,16,23 91:12 92:2,9	187:9	liaison [1] 166:2	maintain [8] 30:6 39:13
island [13] 30:2 42:12	92:17 93:1,17,21 94:6	L'Anse [1] 80:25	lies [1] 86:23	40:2,14 64:16 82:14 132:15 174:2
79:4 80:10,12,16 81:2 122:6 140:13 141:16	94:17 95:4,13,15,19	Lab [1] 40:19	light [3] 7:7 81:18 108:5	maintaining [1] 82:10
142:8 160:16 184:8	96:13,19 97:14 98:2 99:18 100:1,12,16 102:13	labour [1] 186:17	limited [2] 179:17,21	maintenance [21] 5:8
Island-Interconnected	102:25 103:9,14,21	Labrador [23] 3:11,14	line [23] 33:20,21 36:11	8:12 9:7 10:10 11:18
[1] 81:1	104:11,21 105:10 106:8	29:25 41:23 42:12,12 43:2 52:16,19 54:15 62:7	38:10 40:17 43:3 52:14	12:9 13:16 14:1 33:17
ISO [1] 101:21	107:4,10,16 108:3 109:6	79:5 80:21 81:2 116:23	53:19 55:17 102:15,18	33:22 34:9 37:16 40:4
isolated [5] 66:1 80:21	109:13,21 110:3,15,21 111:1,9,17 112:9,17,23	141:10,20 161:14 177:21	102:23 103:2 106:24	42:7,11 45:25 46:16 48:9 82:19 143:13 162:24
81:2,3 82:10	113:5,11,18,25 114:8,17	184:8 194:4,7,10	120:10 121:24 122:13,20 132:2 134:20 172:5	major [1] 135:13
issue [15] 67:20 77:25	115:3,15,25 116:8,16,24	lagging [2] 61:15 63:14	175:16,18	makes [4] 86:17 89:3
91:17 93:2 95:20 96:15 123:6 174:8,10,11,15	117:4,10 118:1,8 119:2	laid [2] 9:12 11:4	line-by-line [1] 40:10	102:9 137:14
186:18 187:3,20 191:15	119:8,15,22 120:3,12,22 121:8,21,23 124:17,24	Lake [6] 125:9,11,12,16	lines [7] 15:16 31:14	man [1] 192:18
issues [40] 7:24 8:16	126:20 127:7,18,24 128:5	126:9 138:22	36:25 128:23 134:21	manage [2] 5:6 177:19
46:12 47:22,24 49:15	128:10,14,21 129:5,9,17	laps [1] 103:5	137:14 171:22	managed [1] 104:25
63:7 66:8,9 90:10 93:24	130:1,12 131:1,10,15,20	large [10] 4:24 5:2 15:12 24:5 54:10 80:10 109:14	Link [1] 184:8	management [12] 7:7
94:8 96:23 98:14 99:8 135:1,23 143:3 144:20	131:25 132:19 133:2,15 133:25 134:19 136:1,17	172:13 178:13 183:22	list [4] 50:22 115:17	36:10,13,15,18 40:5
155.1,25 175.5 177.20	100.20 104.17 100.1,17		118:10 142:10	

46:14 63:24 161:21

manager [32] 61:21,22

160:23.25 161:15.17

162:12 163:20 164:5

165:8 166:25 167:23,24

167:25 168:4,6,24 173:9

173:10 174:23 176:24

178:25 179:6 185:4,12

manager's [1] 160:12

13:5 61:17 62:9 74:5

managers' [1] 12:6

100:18,21 179:12

mandate [1] 180:1

mandates [1] 179:3

102:12 104:4 144:19

March [3] 79:8 81:9

margin [1] 29:16

marginal [2] 82:5

market [1] 98:15

158:12 172:7

51:17

material [1] 10:3

matrix [2] 93:4 94:1

matter [7] 59:19 120:15

123:6,9,9 173:24 194:3

matters [3] 1:4 17:14

maximize [1] 101:14

may [44] 3:9 28:3,15

33:14 41:9 48:23 50:8

94:21,22 97:25 101:3

146:5 148:14 149:2

185:10.21 186:20.23

187:25 189:1,1 191:11

McDonald [24] 172:5,6

175:14 181:7,19 182:8

182:15,23 183:3,13,17

189:8 190:4,18 191:2

185:12 188:7,8,20 189:3

McDonald's [5] 175:11

181:14 185:13 191:4,23

25:13 30:21 54:1 72:11

mean [9] 21:21 24:24

99:19 164:6 184:12

meaningful [1] 55:15

means [4] 30:24 115:1

meant [2] 139:15 172:15

183:13 194:8

183:25 184:3.15.22

58:11 77:20 83:1,4 86:9

103:3 115:2 121:6 123:8

165:22 171:24 172:1,17

182:16,19 183:10 184:13

124:16,18 134:4,5 143:21

Marks [8] 128:15,23

130:2 132:1 134:20

137:13 138:10 150:11

Martin [4] 43:11 158:11

90:11

101:11

manner [5] 83:2 100:22

161:13 163:16 168:21

managing [5] 10:24 98:8

managers [10] 2:5,6,10

186:12.13

145:11,20 147:1,5 159:25

162:18,23 175:6

Multi-PageTM

manager - numeric NL Hydro GRA

never [4] 29:12 129:14

meantime [1] 171:13 149:5 million [40] 23:22 24:10 measurable [1] 20:12 28:6 29:3,4,7,12 30:20 measure [9] 56:22 64:4 32:6,17 33:23,23,24 34:1 65:8,9,14,16 66:15 67:7 34:4.19 35:11 36:12 38:1 71:9 38:12 39:2 42:5,8,8,16 **measured** [2] 17:15 42:18,19 76:2 80:20,20 56:24 80:21 87:23 88:16,18 measurement [2] 20:16 103:16 113:22 115:20 66:14 116:4,11 120:7 measures [6] 22:7 61:24 mind [3] 58:1 59:7 62:20 63:14 66:3 144:10 151:18 measuring [4] 23:9 64:7 mine [2] 176:16 191:4 66:20 69:4 Mines [2] 85:4 176:17 **mechanics** [1] 110:19 minimize [1] 30:4 mechanism [2] 109:15 Minister [2] 85:4 92:20 138:25 **minus** [1] 67:5 meet [12] 43:20 53:3 minutes [11] 1:24 148:16 55:20 60:22 62:10 83:2 149:7,8,16,21 150:2,5,6 100:6,6,8 130:23 139:1 150:15 151:6 178:6 **mistaken** [1] 19:7 meeting [19] 13:11 17:3 45:3 60:22 139:5.15 **mix** [3] 182:4 188:25 146:7 148:25 149:23 189:2 151:1 155:13 157:15,20 **mixture**^[1] 181:18 157:21 158:1 173:14 model [3] 96:7 171:20 174:19 176:16 191:17 180:2 meetings [29] 139:8 models [1] 172:10 146:10,11,13 147:25 modest [1] 108:14 148:7,8,17,25 150:15,16 155:6,8 156:2,8,9,11,13 modification [1] 27:4 156:14,17,19,24,25 158:4 moment [8] 17:2 23:12 165:1 171:18 190:21 29:1,9 34:3 60:25 122:23 191:7,14 122:25 megawatt [1] 119:12 Monday [1] 193:9 megawatts [10] 111:12 money [3] 88:17,19 111-119,20 112:12 119:14 109:15 125:19,22,23 127:2 141:1 monies [1] 34:25 members [2] 94:24 monitor [2] 74:23 113:7 110:24 **monitored** [1] 63:4 mention [1] 89:20 **monitoring** [4] 114:10 **mentioned** [5] 106:20 114:14,19 115:5 140:17 141:16 146:5 month [11] 25:2 41:3 178:11 62:21 63:15 71:10,15,20 merely [1] 43:14 72:2 74:3 156:22 191:13 merging [1] 9:25 monthly [14] 13:20,24 met [7] 18:17 45:13 58:2 34:23 63:2 117:23 155:13 67:9 138:6 175:5,8 156:2,8 157:1,3,5 158:4 meter [4] 3:17,20,20,21 190:21 191:10 metric [4] 65:20 66:5 **months** [1] 118:17 67:13 70:3 Moore [41] 1:19 39:21 metrics [15] 12:5,24 13:1 41:6,16 42:22 142:3,7 51:22 52:8 55:8 61:15 143:5.11.11.21 159:19 61:15,18 64:5 65:5 67:13 159:21,24 160:2,6,11 70:7 144:18,23 161:2,9 162:2,15 163:8 163:19,25 164:4,10,16 middle [2] 26:24 35:16 164:22 165:4,14,25 166:5 might [19] 23:12 33:6 166:10 171:11 172:1 53:25 68:22 76:25 111:10 176:15 178:11,14,20 143:5 149:1.14 150:4 179:19 180:10 165:23 166:13 173:18 **Moore's** [1] 174:18 178:6 180:6 182:8 186:10 186:18 187:15 **morning** [1] 1:7 mightn't [1] 149:15 Moss [2] 194:2,12 mill [14] 111:25 112:1 most [14] 2:24 26:15 119:18 120:2 125:25 28:13 61:12 104:5.9 126:1.8.19 130:24.25 126:2 146:10 181:4 137:11 140:17 141:15 184:24 185:5,18,18

187:19 mostly [1] 182:23 motivated [1] 102:7 motor [6] 125:6,10,17 125:19 126:5,14 motors [1] 99:6 move [13] 3:9 100:17 121:24 124:20 135:22 136:11 145:5 152:10 154:13 159:18 168:18 171:24 192:12 moved [9] 147:2 148:25 149:5 160:12,19,22 161:3 161:16 168:21 **moving** [4] 5:4 46:6 82:2 188:22 **MPs** [1] 63:25 **Ms** [30] 1:6 23:15 43:7 75:18 76:10,14 77:14,19 79:11 80:5 89:18,21,22 90:21 95:12,17 115:13 121:13,15,17,19 122:22 123:25 128:12 150:22 151:2,14 178:24 180:23 193:7 Muskat [1] 184:7 Muskrat [2] 109:8 122:9 must [1] 104:23 mutual [1] 146:14 -N-Nalcor [33] 14:21 94:10 95:8 96:7 152:11,14,15 153:12 154:1.5.9 155:5 155:25 156:1,11,14,20 156:24 157:12,20 158:1 158:2 159:6 162:5 168:14 168:18,21 186:24 187:4 187:6,16,17,24 Nalcor/Hvdro [1] 172:10 name [2] 164:6.6 **named** [1] 147:10 **natural** [11 82:9 nature [2] 66:1 106:5 necessarily [3] 60:19 102:5 187:19 necessary [1] 170:15 need [17] 2:17 5:10 10:25 11:19 12:20 30:20 53:7 55:9 76:25 78:19 93:22 96:21 98:18 108:20 112:15 155:23 173:7 needed [6] 3:11 7:10 14:8 36:21 55:14 135:13 **needle** [1] 82:2 **needs** [2] 67:19 178:9 negative [1] 107:1 negotiating [1] 183:1 negotiations [5] 182:25 185:9 186:3 187:5 189:13 neighbouring [1] 15:20 net [6] 4:16,17,17,19 100:4 112:11

129:14,18 new [22] 8:7 26:25 27:16 34:2 49:24 54:2 55:17 55:18 57:6,25 58:3,22 73:14 122:5 161:3,5,5,8 161:10 162:10,11 163:11 Newfoundland [13] 43:24 54:11 68:19 69:3 69:13 70:4,7,13 88:3 116:23 194:4,7,10 next [10] 6:25 16:20 45:23 53:4 76:19 121:2 121:6 191:4 192:11 193.15 **nine** [3] 33:20,21 121:24 **nobody** [1] 45:6 **non-Hvdro** [1] 93:10 non-regulated [2] 94:2 96:24 none [1] 133:22 normally [1] 125:8 **North** [4] 80:17 149:2 175:7 177:5 Northern [5] 30:1 62:8 80:13 160:17 161:14 note [3] 29:8 95:13 99:13 **noted** [6] 43:8 75:19 90:22 115:14 128:13 150:23 notes [2] 75:24 183:12 **nothing** [4] 1:17 62:3 94:7 96:14 now [61] 4:23 7:4,16 15:19 17:14 19:9,22 20:18 22:25 23:2 24:24 28:5 29:13 32:5 37:13 38:4,6,7,12 39:2 40:9 51:7,16 57:3 58:1 59:25 70:13.24 72:17 75:21 76:2 80:22 81:9 82:24 84:25 88:4,13 95:10 98:7 98:7 105:16 108:16 109:14 112:24 118:9 122:13 130:5 132:4 134:20 146:18,25 147:24 154:21 166:13 173:8 174:11 175:12 176:9 179:20 188:10,14 NP [1] 69:2 **NP-NLH**^[1] 80:22 **NP-NLH-034** [1] 80:4 number [44] 1:9 2:14 4:4 6:11 7:20,23 11:10 14:14 15:2 16:7 18:5 20:24 33:8 36:19 40:2,13 42:23 44:9 48:5,25 53:1 58:22 62:12 66:11 67:21 72:2 75:6 77:16 78:6 79:12 81:10,16 98:17 99:8 100:7 102:3 117:20 118:22 139:3 153:17 154:24 158:18 181:3 185:22 **numbers** [3] 7:18 32:10 181:16 numeric [1] 20:10

Multi-PageTM

numerical - plant o GRA

September 24, 2015		White I uge		NL Hydro GRA
numerical [2] 20:4,6	192:13	ours [1] 69:12	parallel [1] 56:2	performance [28] 17:3
numerically [1] 59:6	ones [15] 6:16 55:11 61:5	ourselves [4] 21:23	parameters [1] 166:19	45:2,13,17,18,19 49:5
numerous [1] 2:19	61:10,11 62:16,19 73:12	56:10 57:19 99:3	Pardon [1] 76:13	51:23,25 55:7 57:23 58:2 59:8 60:5 61:3,18,24
	113:2 118:7 125:15,16 144:11 157:1 158:10	outage [9] 9:17 23:21	part [25] 6:7 10:22 14:23	63:20 64:5,14 71:5,25
-0-	ongoing [6] 28:8 46:2	58:7 62:24,25 69:12 183:14 190:11 192:6	20:23 26:6 49:23 50:25	72:9 113:8 143:15 144:8
O [3] 82:23 83:19 90:1	47:9 50:9 99:4 138:12	outages [15] 12:12,14,18	51:3 61:12 71:2 73:18 74:6 85:2 95:10 109:22	158:22 159:1
O&M [4] 37:6,12 38:13	onto [1] 104:7	52:13,18 53:10 54:1 55:6	130:21 140:22 153:17	perhaps [19] 28:19 31:16
42:9	opening [2] 43:22 154:19	64:15 65:6,7 139:11,15	169:12 171:8 172:9 173:8	33:6 43:15 95:5 122:14 134:3 142:18 144:12
O'Brien [7] 1:25 4:10	operate [6] 40:3,14	151:5 174:21	176:4,8 185:5	151:25 152:10 155:1
17:6 23:18 74:18 79:8 154:22	82:14 100:23 104:9	outlined [3] 81:10 108:11 169:18	participants [1] 158:2	172:1,21 173:18 174:3
objection [1] 124:1	132:15	outside [2] 104:20 115:8	participating [1] 171:17	180:20 184:18 187:4
objective [1] 46:22	operated [1] 65:12 operates [3] 104:5 125:8	overall [5] 6:23 42:19	participation [1] 85:15 particular [15] 42:2	period [13] 5:16 35:7,7,9 36:5,7 45:23 66:17 70:12
objectives [1] 101:19	125:9	108:20 110:10 122:17	52:20 53:24 61:20 65:20	76:22 108:9 148:2 149:22
obligation [4] 77:6,8	operating [12] 7:6 8:25	overdue [1] 134:3	78:1 107:19 131:11 141:8	periodically [1] 65:25
86:1 120:4	9:3,12 11:20 13:24 42:7	oversee [2] 8:6 179:3	153:9 170:12 172:22	periods [1] 135:4
obligations [2] 117:21	48:8,12 81:12,15 135:12	oversight [1] 34:6	173:1 177:3 181:5 particularly [2] 8:20	permanent [1] 15:7
132:13	operation [12] 8:3 15:10 15:15,15,24 34:9 37:16	overtime [1] 12:15	52:17	permanently [2] 128:25
observation [1] 43:13	40:4 82:18 102:7 161:20	overview [2] 158:8,9	particulars [1] 27:24	129:16
obtain [1] 31:4	162:23	owing [3] 118:14 122:7	parties [7] 60:1 116:20	person [10] 119:16 143:18 164:7 173:25
obvious [1] 104:23	operational [3] 8:5 99:4	133:5	116:22 123:16 181:4,4	177:3,8,10,12,13,23
obviously [7] 11:25 14:4 27:16 120:22 127:25	143:12	own [9] 60:2,4,6 71:23 71:25 80:12 84:11 129:1	193:13	personal [3] 71:5,23,25
128:2 189:24	operations [35] 2:21	133:13	parts [2] 133:18 179:10	personally [2] 73:20
occasions [2] 85:16	3:10 9:18 14:24,25 15:2 15:17 61:17 73:17 74:5	owned [1] 129:1	party [3] 39:4 116:17	170:24
118:10	108:21 118:5 129:12		117:7	personnel [3] 170:16,17
occur [8] 21:13 44:20	132:4 134:24 142:12	-P-	past [9] 26:18 37:18,21 39:16 40:2 58:9 70:12	186:22
45:7 47:22 59:22 63:9 135:14 191:1	145:12,24 147:5 156:15 160:1 161:19 162:16	p.m [5] 108:2 121:22	133:4 176:23	perspective [2] 68:3 102:21
occurred [4] 26:21 44:17	165:19 166:3 171:8	134:8 165:6 193:18	Paul [2] 142:4,7	pertaining [3] 28:7
156:21,21	173:10,10,24 174:23	package [1] 90:2	pay [6] 34:23 37:24 42:10	95:21 107:22
occurring [5] 26:12	176:24 179:5 180:14 192:9,12	page [27] 19:7 22:5,7	84:7 136:5,15	PETS [1] 168:7
44:23 52:19 137:21 192:6	operations' [4] 170:16	23:16,18 29:1,9 33:18 36:11 77:13,23 81:15,16	payers [1] 85:21	phase [1] 122:7
occurs [3] 65:24 67:4,6	184:6 190:13 191:9	85:19 121:12,21,24,25	paying [5] 38:3 39:4 113:14 120:7 131:2	picking [1] 38:13
odd [2] 32:19 103:16	operators [4] 40:20	128:22 132:1 137:13	payments [5] 35:4,6	picture [2] 13:7 123:23
off [4] 33:20 35:1 124:25 168:12	100:23 101:11,13	151:16,20 153:10 172:5	116:1 117:24,25	piece [3] 24:5 90:3
off-the [1] 133:17	opinion [1] 86:25	172:19 175:12 paid [7] 34:20,20 35:19	pays [1] 127:22	172:13
offer [1] 16:9	opportunities [5] 48:15	86:7 131:8 141:4,6	peak [1] 111:13	pieces [3] 51:4 78:6 191:16
office [6] 32:4,12,19,23	48:22 49:4 50:13 83:7	panel [16] 28:1,20 33:6	Peninsula [6] 30:1 80:13	pilot [2] 107:20,20
33:12 191:4	opportunity [2] 79:15 180:1	108:22 110:24 113:2	80:17,18 160:18 176:17	piloted [1] 107:22
Officer [2] 48:8,13	opposed [5] 4:1 110:17	118:6 127:11,11 141:23 142:12,14 143:18 165:22	Pennell [3] 1:6 89:21,22	place [6] 92:16 94:5
officers [1] 152:16	147:1 152:12 182:7	172:7 193:11	people [49] 2:15 3:4 5:5 5:11,14 7:19,25 8:5 9:18	107:22 122:17 132:22
offices [2] 29:23 81:18	optimal [1] 100:24	paper [83] 85:3,8,11,18	10:23 11:1 12:16 15:6	161:16
offset [2] 126:19 127:3	order [25] 2:3,6 3:13 5:6	85:24 90:4 92:24 97:22	15:12 16:4,17,20,23 36:2	placed [1] 104:1
often [1] 156:25	14:7 66:4 70:2 73:10 76:25 77:1,2,12,15 78:1	107:23 108:24 109:14,20 110:5,13 111:4,12,21,25	50:12 51:20 63:5 73:7,9 73:11 93:9 94:14 96:3	plan [23] 2:3 9:11 13:11 13:17 14:1,2,16 16:21
oil [1] 108:7	78:13,16,21 79:2,22	110.5,15 111.4,12,21,25	97:5,8 132:25 149:9	52:1 55:12 71:12,12 73:6
old [3] 14:4 132:3 134:23	86:20 106:13,14 120:13	115:19 116:10,14,18,23	151:21,23,23 152:17	74:2 97:7 124:19 138:15
once [8] 14:17 15:4 75:12	123:20 126:17	117:17,22 118:12,19	153:17,19 154:9 155:9	158:19 159:4,13 169:6
141:9,13 146:8 169:7 170:7	ordered [2] 77:2 79:21	119:10 120:14 122:3,11 125:25,25 126:1,8,18,23	155:20,25 165:23 170:5 170:14 172:20 174:11	178:23 191:8 planned [4] 9:13 52:13
one [60] 2:23 3:8,9 10:10	organization [6] 93:4 94:1 165:11,15 174:12	127:5,10,22 128:17 130:3	178:5,19	53:10 65:7
11:19 26:24 31:17 34:1	184:23	130:24,25 131:24 134:5	per [14] 10:15 40:22	planners [5] 13:6 170:6
44:22 51:3 54:8,8 60:14	organizational [5]	134:24,25 135:3,22 136:8 136:20,22 137:9,19,25	69:20,25,25 70:2 79:2,3	170:8,13 171:2
60:20,21 62:4,8 65:5,20 67:6,10,15,16,23 71:8	181:11 184:9,17,20	138:3,7 139:10,19 140:8	79:4,6 80:2,3 177:10,12	planning [14] 8:22 9:17
74:13 78:12 83:16 85:17	190:14	140:9,12,17 141:15 149:4	percent [25] 4:18 17:9 17:22 18:3,8,13,22 19:22	54:18 74:23 82:25 108:22
86:7 87:1 89:19 101:7	original [7] 24:11,19	158:7 163:5,18 165:11	20:1,22 22:10,18 52:7	118:6 156:16 160:20 161:21,22 165:18 169:5
110:24 112:24 113:12 117:23 121:14 124:23	25:15 27:9,12,25 85:13 otherwise [2] 142:17	165:13,17 169:16 170:1 170:1,21 175:6 177:4	56:5,11,12,18 67:3 80:25	169:10
139:3 143:25 146:7 149:2	192:22	Paper's [6] 114:11 115:7	81:1,2,3 126:16 130:5 139:21	plans [6] 1:11 9:8 58:7
157:7,10 159:4 170:6	ought [3] 10:9 123:13	130:15 136:4,25 137:16	percentage [1] 88:2	61:5 74:22 141:8
177:2,4,4,5,10,12,13,23	150:13	paragraph [1] 43:15	percentages [1] 80:24	plant [9] 15:3,14,16 45:22 100:23 102:3,8
178:21 179:2,9 187:23			r	+3.22 100.23 102.3,8

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

Multi-PageTM

plants - rate NL Hydro GRA

				NL Hydro GRA
125:12,14	169:24	progress [1] 48:9	PUB-NLH-328 [1]	24:16,23 25:12,20 27:6
plants [1] 179:13	prescribed [1] 191:12	progressed [3] 70:8	155:18	27:23 28:4,18,23 30:13
play [2] 82:14 186:9	present [3] 149:22	176:1 180:6	PUB-NLH-403 [1]	31:11,19 32:3,11,15
	168:23 179:5	progressing [9] 13:8,10	41:21	33:16 34:14,18,24 35:10
playing [2] 146:19 178:12	presented [3] 20:11 73:5	13:23 14:2 71:16 74:3	public [8] 21:3 51:10	35:17,25 36:9 37:5 38:11
	142:12	159:2 176:19 180:13	62:2 66:10 134:17 138:20	38:19,25 39:14 40:16
plugging [1] 99:5	president [5] 71:17 74:1	project [21] 9:5 14:13	140:7 194:6	41:1,14,18 43:5,9 44:25
point [20] 22:4 25:21	74:14 152:6 185:17	15:5 16:1,24 17:23 19:9	publicly [1] 138:19	45:11 46:7 47:11 48:4 49:7,16 50:17,24 51:6
26:19 27:7 104:23 107:5	pressure [4] 12:11 30:5	20:6,19 22:7 143:24	pull [1] 76:25	51:15 53:15,22 54:17,25
124:6,21 126:22 139:5 140:24 143:16 162:21	31:6.18	152:6 167:1,22 168:2,4	pulled [1] 24:25	55:16 56:1,8,16,21 57:2
168:11,13 180:12 182:5		168:9,17,18,24 170:16	Pulp [68] 107:23 108:24	57:21 58:14,20 59:1,5
188:10 190:19,19	pressures [1] 5:13	project's [1] 14:18	109:14,20 110:5,13 111:4	59:12,16,24 60:11,23
points [1] 81:17	pretty [2] 20:17 72:12	projection [1] 76:8	111:12,21 112:19 113:7	62:14 64:12,20 65:2 68:5
policy [14] 83:16 84:15	prevent [2] 44:23 63:11	projections [1] 76:21	113:20 114:11,15 115:6	68:21 69:16 70:21 71:21
84:18,19 86:3,24 87:1,2	preventative [4] 11:17	projects [12] 21:14 53:25	115:19 116:10,14,18,22	72:10,16,23 73:19 74:7 74:15 75:4,10,16,20,25
87:16,17 88:20 91:24,25	12:8 46:16 48:9	55:1 134:18 137:22	117:17,21 118:12,19	76:6,12,16 77:11,17,21
92:16	previous [10] 39:4 42:17	143:10 144:1,2,3 169:4	119:10 120:14 122:3,10	78:5,25 79:13,18 80:1,7
pollution [1] 101:18	52:7 58:18,18 86:22	169:15,19	126:23 127:4,9,22 128:16	80:19 81:8 83:23 84:14
poor [1] 60:19	129:11 139:21 156:22 172:7	prominence [1] 101:7	130:3,14,25 131:24 134:5 134:24,25 135:3,21 136:3	84:24 87:4,18 88:9,22
Porter [1] 142:8	previously [2] 38:14	promoted [1] 167:24	136:7,20,22,25 137:9,16	89:11 90:7,16,23 91:12
portion [1] 160:16	132:20	properly [1] 123:11	137:19,25 138:3,7 139:9	92:2,9,17 93:1,17,21
1 · · ·		properties [1] 168:8	139:19 140:8,12 163:5	94:6,17 95:4,15,19 96:13
position [45] 3:9,13,14 11:14 118:2 136:3,4	price [2] 100:11 108:7	proportion [1] 110:16	163:18 165:10,13,17	96:19 97:14 98:2 99:18 100:1,12,16 102:13,25
145:17 146:18,21,25	primarily [8] 21:10		169:16,25 170:1,21 175:6	103:9,14,21 104:11,21
147:1,18 148:2 159:20	33:25 42:9,15 82:7,23 137:7 141:14	proposals [2] 87:11 169:11	177:4	105:10 106:8 107:4,10
160:10,18 161:3,5,6,7,8			pumping [1] 99:7	107:16 108:3 109:6,13
161:10,15 162:10,12	primary [3] 44:19 117:23 140:16	proposed [2] 107:13 137:17	purchase [6] 116:18	109:21 110:3,15,21 111:1
163:11,15 164:15,17,21			118:11,25 120:4 122:10	111:9,17 112:9,17,23
165:8 166:19,21 167:2	prioritize [1] 135:24	protection [4] 3:11 34:11 35:1,18	130:5	113:5,11,18,25 114:8,17
167:10,11,25 168:23	prioritized [1] 169:7	provide [27] 3:14 34:4	purchased [1] 119:1	115:3,15,25 116:8,16,24
172:11 173:19 176:6 177:5 181:11,24	proactive [1] 47:25	41:12 42:25 64:11 70:5	purchases [4] 115:21	117:4,10 118:1,8 119:2 119:8,15,22 120:3 121:8
positions [10] 3:18,22	probability [1] 62:25	85:7 91:25 96:11 104:5	116:3 126:19 130:15	121:23 124:17,24 126:20
5:15 145:7,8 155:22	problem [2] 73:13 123:3	114:18 115:12 118:10	purchasing [6] 118:18	127:7,18,24 128:5,10,14
160:9 165:3 185:23	problems [1] 44:17	123:11 124:16 125:17	118:22 119:3,20 120:6	128:21 129:5,9,17 130:1
186:21	proceed [2] 1:3 15:9	128:6 129:16 132:14	130:4	130:12 131:1,10,15,20
possible [2] 101:18 109:1	proceeding [6] 2:17 60:1	140:13 141:1 142:13	purpose [2] 122:19	131:25 132:19 133:2,15
potential [2] 108:11,15	75:12 95:22 122:21	143:6 154:10 158:3 162:24 171:21	141:13	133:25 134:19 136:1,17
power [33] 5:12 8:2 9:21	171:14		pursuant [1] 105:21	137:2,12 139:18 140:3 140:10 141:3,7,22
15:21 43:24 47:8 54:11	process [16] 1:13 2:4,24	provided [7] 13:9 78:7 85:9 128:24,25 145:21	pursue [1] 9:2	qualifier [1] 60:14
66:22 68:19 70:4,8,13	6:3,7 10:23 11:5,22	158:11	pursuing [1] 81:23	quality [5] 20:13 22:8
86:3 88:3 104:10 112:2	25:19 57:8 58:22 59:14	provides [6] 37:10	put [44] 2:19 3:21 8:1 9:9	98:13,25 99:2
112:6,8 118:11,22,24	64:21 98:11 131:9 169:10	112:11 122:16 127:17	9:16 13:6 21:2 25:7 27:4	
125:11,22,23 126:7,9	procurement [1] 34:7	155:25 162:21	28:1,10 31:7,8 36:21	questioning [4] 120:11 122:13,20 192:20
127:4 135:3 138:22	produce [7] 85:18 109:2	providing [12] 40:6	37:1 46:10 47:4 48:18	questions [17] 2:5
140:20,22 173:14 175:1	109:5 126:18 150:6,19	79:20 91:2 97:10.12	48:23 52:2 53:2 58:17 62:2,5,12 68:2 72:4,6	107:17 121:5 123:20
Power's [2] 69:3,13	169:10	111:19 114:2 123:3 137:4	81:14 87:10 89:4 102:20	124:12,18 141:23 142:18
practically [1] 25:24	produced [4] 119:17,20 120:1 149:24	162:13 184:10 192:1	103:1 105:11,17 106:7	143:17 145:6 146:6 150:3
practice [3] 106:17		province [3] 83:22 114:4	117:16 130:19 132:21	159:19 180:19 181:3,7
132:17 144:19	produces [5] 85:13	160:17	134:16 161:15 169:9	183:6
practices [2] 85:6,10	125:21 127:2,3,16	provincial [2] 86:2	175:13,14	quite [5] 44:16 80:9 97:2
practised [1] 96:9	producing [2] 126:1 150:2	184:1	puts [2] 87:2 125:22	123:19 185:8
pre-filed [2] 128:17		provision [2] 34:6 169:1	putting [11] 5:13 11:1	quotes [1] 85:24
150:11	product [1] 98:21	prudence [1] 24:1	12:10 27:16,17 31:6,17	
precise [1] 100:7	production [1] 139:13	prudent [1] 101:13	33:9 52:11 66:25 106:19	-R-
precisely [4] 31:2 102:19	productivity [2] 8:16	PU-14 [1] 77:20	puzzled [1] 36:6	raised [1] 124:2
106:4 189:6	10:19	PUB [1] 37:13		Rambler [1] 176:17
predict [2] 47:20 78:21	professional [1] 166:8	PUB-339 [1] 84:25	-Q-	
preliminary [1] 1:4	program [27] 8:4 9:3,3	PUB-367 [1] 37:9	Q.C [258] 1:20,22 3:1,23	randomly [2] 150:2,6
premise [1] 114:25	11:17 12:10 13:16,23		4:7,15,22 5:21 6:2,6,20	range [1] 87:25
prepare [2] 169:22	21:2,4 46:2,14,17 48:11 48:24 49:18,22 50:19	PUB-403 [1] 41:19	7:3,15 8:15 10:7 11:6,24	rat [1] 120:25
170:11	48:24 49:18,22 50:19 52:11 53:9 63:24 101:20	PUB-NLH [1] 151:13	12:23 13:18 14:3,11 16:3	rate [18] 62:24 85:21
prepared [3] 75:13	135:23 138:4,21 139:3,6	PUB-NLH-138 [2]	16:8 17:1,13,20 18:1,6	86:19 87:11,14 88:8 89:4
108:22 135:10	169:13	151:15 155:24	18:11,16,20,25 19:5,13	98:3 102:2,9 104:13,17
preparing [2] 53:17	programs [1] 53:1	PUB-NLH-228 [2]	19:17,21 20:2 22:3,17 22:21 23:1,10,17,25 24:7	104:18,25 106:13,15 122:7 194:4
		180:20,21		

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

$\mathbf{Multi-Page}^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}$

rates - rubber NL Hydro GRA

				NL Hydro GRA
rates [25] 82:7 83:12,15	reference [2] 65:1 70:23	relative [4] 5:2 85:9	37:12 39:2 102:21 109:4	190:12 191:17
84:7,8,8,10,11,20 85:23	referenced [3] 36:11	105:15 113:14	122:9 176:6	reviewed [3] 33:3 73:5
86:1,4,8,8,14 87:6,10,12	90:1 91:22	relatively [3] 4:11 83:15	requirements [16] 4:6	79:17
87:12 88:6,21 92:14 103:4,4 131:7	references [2] 85:2	185:21	4:8 6:15,18 7:6 8:24,25	reviewing [1] 135:19
rather [3] 27:2 106:23	172:19	relevance [2] 150:1,5	10:17 36:18 45:25 64:17 67:24,25 79:1 130:24	reviews [3] 48:21 50:11
159:12	referred [3] 92:6 95:20	relevant [2] 85:14	184:9	183:15
reach [1] 124:14	165:1	150:16	requires [1] 78:14	Revision [5] 76:11,15
read [1] 130:9	referring [2] 121:11 135:17	reliability [43] 9:20	requiring [1] 55:6	80:6 151:15 180:22
readers [1] 3:20	refers [2] 85:25 153:1	43:10,23 44:2 46:3,4,18 47:8,22 48:11,15,23 49:4	reserve [2] 64:16 66:15	revisit [1] 74:19
readiness [2] 74:16,23	refile [1] 27:21	49:5,14,17,22 50:3 51:21	resolved [3] 93:8 95:22	RFI [5] 41:21 76:9 154:19,21 181:5
reading [2] 3:17,22	refill [1] 3:22	58:9 60:7,16,16,17,19	96:15	RFIs [1] 123:5
readings [1] 3:20	Refining [3] 149:2 175:7	60:24 61:13,19,21,23 62:1,10,16 64:3,13,15	resource [1] 184:16	right [82] 4:19,21 5:10
ready [2] 14:24 193:15	177:6	65:6 69:2 144:7,15,20	resources [6] 2:8 10:1	17:23,25 22:1 24:1,8
real [2] 68:15,16	reflect [4] 53:11 55:14	145:2 183:4	181:10 184:20 185:2,4	26:23 31:16 34:21 37:18
realistic [1] 55:23	67:22 189:1	reliable [1] 46:24	respect [27] 2:20 3:19	43:6 50:25 51:12,14,18
realize [3] 110:5 152:21	reflected [2] 21:8 185:21	relieve [1] 105:17	12:11 20:13 37:21 71:11 87:15 117:9 120:13	53:23 54:22 56:5,7,13 56:15,20,24 57:1 58:23
182:4	reflection [1] 39:11	relieved [1] 103:24	142:21 143:2,15,24	64:19 69:17 76:5 77:22
realized [1] 89:25	reflective [10] 26:20 39:8	reluctance [1] 136:5	144:15 145:20 159:7	79:22 80:2,12,20 84:25
really [7] 47:12 55:14	52:10 55:4,12 58:5,7,8	rely [2] 70:7 170:13	162:17 169:15 176:19	87:23 94:19 96:16,20
65:15 67:17 69:14 159:10	92:15 182:19	remained [1] 7:20	177:24 183:7,20 184:5,7 184:19 185:1 192:8	98:7 102:4,15 103:6 104:14 105:2,4 107:6,24
175:9	reflects [2] 66:6 67:25	remaining [1] 107:21	respond [2] 89:9 123:2	104:14 105:2,4 107:6,24
reason [5] 69:10 76:23 78:9 102:6 150:7	regard [11] 73:23 85:20 90:18 91:13 92:1,14	remarked [1] 43:25	response [5] 85:17 92:7	113:24 115:22,24 116:4
reasonable [10] 21:20	122:18 128:7 140:1	remember [4] 93:18	92:12 145:6 181:5	116:7,11 118:7 120:23
45:3,13,14,16 86:5,18	186:21 190:12	124:6 154:21 163:23	responses [1] 183:5	121:20 123:18 126:25 132:22 134:11,15 137:5
89:4 130:11 192:23	regarding [2] 98:5	renewed [1] 172:8	responsibilities [10]	140:11 147:22 151:11
reasonably [1] 47:20	183:14	rental [1] 41:2	74:12 117:8 132:8 145:19	157:23 162:1 166:1 173:8
reasons [4] 5:16 21:13	regardless [2] 101:14	reorg [1] 168:2	161:6,11 162:11 163:13	176:9 177:17 178:5
21:21 104:18	102:1 regards [4] 36:10 94:9	repairs [3] 132:6,10,12	168:25 169:18 responsibility [21]	179:20 188:12 191:4 193:17
receive [4] 90:9 115:20 136:12 170:7	99:22 114:1	repetitive [1] 151:17	61:20 71:18 90:25 91:14	rights [7] 114:4,7,11,15
received [3] 85:16 122:6	region [7] 62:8,8 160:14	replace [2] 46:1 82:15	93:11 94:10,16,24 95:9	114:20 115:7 122:12
149:16	160:15 163:21 164:5,13	replacement [1] 133:12	97:5,8 101:25 103:10	rise [1] 8:17
receiving [2] 113:20	regional [5] 62:9 161:13	replacing [1] 133:11	118:3 132:10 143:12 149:10 152:17 168:3	risk [17] 98:8 100:18,21
116:9	163:13,15,16	reply [1] 42:14 report [30] 6:10,22 7:2	169:21 173:9	101:2,4,10,16 102:2,5
recent [5] 43:16 45:2	regions [2] 62:11 161:19	13:9 28:25 62:13,21 63:8	responsible [8] 114:9	103:1,24,25 104:1 106:21 107:6,8,11
101:8 108:6 135:9	regular [9] 70:5,14 74:2 146:1,2,9 173:11 174:2	66:10,11 70:18 75:5,11	114:19 115:5 149:6 161:7	road [1] 67:18
recently [2] 74:21 176:15	140.1,2,9 175.11 174.2	77:4 79:7 81:9 90:11	163:17 174:24 185:2	Rob [4] 164:11 179:24
RECESS [1] 89:16	regularly [9] 2:25 15:19	92:19 121:10,11 122:1 135:6,8,9,20,25 157:20	responsive [1] 178:8	180:3,13
recognize [1] 184:13	101:19,22 146:16 147:24	157:25 158:7,8	restore [2] 8:2,3	ROBERT [2] 1:19 142:3
recommendation [1] 122:1	155:5,7 180:14	reported [2] 64:6 67:14	result [8] 6:21 47:10 50:10 65:10 66:7 83:9	Roberts [23] 181:7,13
recommendations [1]	regulated [4] 33:19	reporting [1] 190:9	105:3 135:20	181:21 182:7,16,17,22
105:4	93:25 96:24 97:17	reports [4] 62:20 63:2	resulting [1] 8:11	182:24 183:7 184:15,22 185:7,14,24 186:2 187:10
record [12] 18:21 39:22	regulatory [1] 25:19 reintegrating [1] 16:5	71:19 183:6	results [3] 10:1 112:6	187:14 188:7,14,16,19
43:8 75:12,19 90:22	relate [1] 144:2	represent [1] 138:22	135:25	189:4,9
92:13 95:7,14 115:14 128:13 150:23	related [24] 24:9 28:12	representing [2] 88:13	retaining [1] 98:9	Roberts' [2] 189:25
recording [1] 1:13	31:3,4 34:1,8 36:13	154:15	retention [1] 16:22	191:24
records [3] 39:3,15	37:16 42:9,15 43:1 61:19	represents [1] 179:7	rethink [1] 53:8	robust [3] 9:10,24 20:16
40:12	66:8,9,19 69:5,11 70:1	request [2] 105:20 150:10	retired [2] 3:20 167:24	robustness [1] 55:13
recovered [4] 38:7,8	82:16 132:8 169:16 174:22 175:1 192:6	requested [3] 39:1 59:22	retirements [1] 16:22	ROE [3] 103:11,18,20
81:4 84:11	relates [1] 108:9	75:5	retraction [1] 4:2	role [28] 14:17 73:22,25 74:6 117:11 129:11,11
recovery [2] 80:23 81:1	relation [14] 1:23 37:12	require [3] 5:9 39:12	retrofitting [1] 81:17	145:23 146:16,19 160:13
recruitment [1] 16:22	41:20 51:8 60:7 64:23	82:21	return [7] 103:22 105:14	160:20,23,25 162:16
reduce [4] 30:24 101:17	89:23 117:11 150:11,14	required [23] 5:4,7 6:16	105:20,24 106:1,13,15 revenue [6] 24:4 37:11	167:20 173:8 178:13
109:1 111:24	162:12 183:18 184:16 190:6	10:2,3 13:4 42:10 46:2 52:18 69:15 93:12 94:11	39:2 116:9,13,14	179:1 184:10 185:13 186:2,9 188:5,6,9,19
reduced [5] 3:6,12 109:8 122:8 127:10	relations [8] 71:17 74:1	96:4 123:11 132:12	review [24] 6:14 7:5,9	189:25
reducing [3] 111:18	74:14 156:18 173:5,17	133:11 134:11 135:2,24	10:23 11:4 14:25 20:9	roles [2] 14:23 15:24
112:5 139:20	173:19 186:18	138:6,14 178:6,7	20:14 24:6 53:13 79:15	rolling [1] 62:24
reduction [2] 108:7,14	relationship [3] 175:2,8	requirement [12] 2:2	90:25 91:14 99:1 122:15 138:10 142:11,17 151:5	rolls [1] 73:22
refer [1] 171:2	176:13	5:5,14 15:11,14 21:17	155:23 183:4 184:1	rubber [1] 67:17
L				

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

rules [3] 93:23 95:21

run [6] 35:16,23 83:6

108:25 125:15 126:5

116:3 126:13,16,22

runs [3] 35:22 36:8

rural [43] 2:21 68:20

78:17,22 79:7 80:24

69:4 73:16 75:21 76:2,8

76:19,22 77:4,25 78:14

81:23,25 82:3,6,11 83:12 83:22 84:3,13,16,21,22

85:4 87:15,19,21 88:11

179:17 180:7

132:18 168:8

69:2

90:10 91:15 92:14 159:25

162:16 176:10,14 178:13

-S-

safety [4] 20:13 22:8

SAIDI [13] 51:8 53:18

54:20 57:3,24 62:5,22

SAIFI [12] 51:8 53:18

54:20 57:4,24 62:5,22

satisfaction [10] 7:17

56:3,4,23 70:23 71:2,7

satisfied [2] 17:8 73:6

savings [10] 108:12,15

108:17,23 109:7,19,24

saw [3] 4:10 8:25 36:21

says [5] 19:24 42:8 77:10

schedule [4] 20:13 22:8

scheduling [4] 8:22 9:17

scope [3] 170:7,9 179:20

screen [2] 37:14 68:23

scroll [5] 32:9 40:18 85:1

second [2] 112:10 121:11

seconded [1] 183:13

secretary [1] 152:7

Section [2] 43:15 86:3

see [36] 4:17 8:22 19:10

schedulers [1] 13:6

schedules [2] 12:11

71:11 72:11,14

satisfies [1] 1:14

saves [1] 109:14

110:4,11,12

85:20 153:11

scale [1] 96:11

42:2 146:8

74:24 161:22

scheme [1] 93:4

schools [1] 84:4

scopes [1] 169:7

season [1] 74:25

152:8,21

169:22

scenario [1] 54:6

62:23 65:21,23 66:2,12

62:23 65:21,22 66:2,12

running [6] 99:7 102:7

rule [1] 97:3

96:22

119:13

Mu	lti-l	Pag	e
1110			v

rule - supplying **GR**Ā

			NL Hydro GRA
28:6 29:2,6 32:9,16,23	shortfall [1] 24:4	speaks [1] 138:1	stewardship [1] 101:25
32:25 36:6 37:13 40:17	show [3] 63:14,15 101:22	specialty [1] 166:8	stick [1] 193:13
43:13 50:6,21 54:10 56:2	showing [1] 79:3	specific [15] 12:5 40:11	still [7] 22:22 27:13,15
62:21,23,24 70:19,22 76:17 77:18,23 78:1 80:9	shown [3] 42:2 138:11	44:9 47:2,3 49:12,14	100:5 135:11 153:14
80:23,25 83:6 85:10	152:25	70:23 71:1 77:8 130:20 143:6 150:3 176:20	190:8
94:23 129:2 181:16	shut [1] 111:25	143.0 130.3 170.20	stools [1] 152:20
192:16	side [4] 83:13,16 126:14	specifically [15] 20:12	stop [2] 89:13 124:23
seeing [5] 29:13 31:8 70:25 185:22 193:4	187:25	30:18 36:17 78:12 87:13	stranded [1] 25:6
seek [2] 48:14 106:16	Siemens [1] 135:10	124:4 128:2 131:23	strategic [2] 71:12 159:7
seeking [1] 103:15	signed [1] 187:9	137:18 138:9 150:12 165:10,24 172:16 183:12	strategy [10] 72:18,19 73:1,3,21 178:23 179:4
seesawing [2] 153:13,14	significance [2] 96:1 183:22	specification [3] 98:16	179:8,10,11
self [1] 191:12	significant [27] 15:11	98:23 100:8	strive [1] 67:2
senior [2] 152:24,25	15:18 30:4 36:14 44:7	specifications [2] 98:10	striving [2] 64:8 82:17
sense [5] 42:14 102:9	44:13,13,18,19 47:6,7	99:22	strong [2] 45:9 46:13
107:12 182:6 188:24	50:25 52:13,17 54:7,9 81:24 88:11,13,17,19	specifics [1] 120:25	stronger [2] 176:13,18
sent [1] 74:21	135:5 179:10 185:6,10	specify [1] 153:12	strongest [1] 66:18
separate [4] 40:22,25	186:11,15	speculating [1] 78:18	structure [2] 187:22,23
167:16,16	similar [5] 67:13 96:5	spending [5] 17:4,7 39:11,23 136:16	structured [1] 162:10
September [5] 75:6 172:3 175:13 194:5,11	166:18 178:12,12	spent [2] 181:8 183:16	struggling [3] 29:18
seriously [1] 44:16	similarly [5] 18:12 43:24 54:18 69:23 119:3	spilling [3] 118:14,17	30:18,24
serve [1] 167:20	simply [1] 134:6	119:4	study [4] 78:15,24 107:20 122:2
service [30] 8:2 9:20	single [1] 66:5	spins [2] 125:19,19	subject [8] 18:7 19:1,3
29:24 41:13 42:1,2 43:24	sit [2] 165:19 170:7	split [1] 182:8	24:1,6 116:15 164:20
46:25 72:18,19 73:14,15	site [2] 170:15,22	spoke [7] 43:16 48:5,7	167:17
73:16 78:15,20,23 82:21 96:7 97:13 129:22 131:5	sitting [1] 95:3	81:10 95:10 151:7 155:19	submit [1] 77:2
131:7 132:14 159:4	situation [3] 44:2 66:25	spoken [2] 142:22 159:9	submitted [1] 169:12
162:13,25 172:8,9 193:8	67:4	spread [1] 163:14	subset [4] 151:20 154:1
193:11	size [1] 3:6	St [2] 194:7,10	154:4,8 subsidizing [2] 86:10
service's [1] 178:22	slide [3] 153:9,21 154:14	stability [1] 5:17	88:12
services [30] 3:16 16:2 16:24 30:9 31:3 40:6	small [3] 28:16 54:5 89:19	staff [2] 170:25 171:6	subsidy [7] 86:7,13
73:3,8 96:11,12 145:20	smaller [1] 2:12	stage [1] 90:6 stand [4] 16:15 33:7	87:15 88:7 91:3 92:24
156:1 158:3 161:23	sole [1] 143:18	43:12 124:13	122:6
162:22 167:1,18,23 168:3 168:4,7,9,24 169:1	someone [3] 115:7 170:2	standard [2] 21:22 98:16	substantially [1] 26:2
171:20 179:1,6 188:16	170:4	standards [2] 40:5,15	such [7] 98:9 112:6 126:11 129:19 133:18
191:25 192:1	somewhere [2] 37:25	standby [1] 15:4	136:6 179:5
services' [5] 173:20	125:18	Stantec [3] 98:9,22 99:20	sufficiently [1] 137:22
179:2,4,23 180:12	sorry [11] 19:14 23:16	start [9] 1:18 53:13 80:23	suggest [6] 91:23 101:1
set [10] 21:25 23:8 55:14 59:15 60:2 69:22 101:19	29:1 36:5 77:15 81:13 85:12 95:16 121:16	124:25 134:22 142:9	110:9 123:23 174:14
146:10 148:12 159:8	131:18 151:14	145:10 151:25 193:8 started [2] 35:22 168:12	192:4 suggestions [1] 3:25
setting [3] 53:14 55:9	sort [8] 25:5 27:9 84:4	starting [5] 33:20 36:7	suggestions [1] 5:25 sum [1] 62:10
148:1	120:25 142:15 152:20	172:5,23 188:16	summarize [2] 93:6
settled [2] 22:25 23:2	180:7 186:22	starts [1] 33:20	145:18
several [1] 174:11	sorts [3] 60:17 81:22 96:23	state [3] 15:4 130:2	summer [1] 189:18
shaft [1] 125:7	sought [1] 59:17	172:24	supplemental [1] 20:25
share [4] 62:9 86:11 138:17 139:8	sound [3] 132:16 171:17	statement [3] 43:22 45:2	supplementals [2] 21:5
shared [10] 96:7,12	194:8	137:15	21:7
131:6 135:21 155:25	sounds [10] 4:21 17:25	states [2] 86:4 128:23	supplied [2] 65:14 66:7
158:3 161:12 163:14	49:8 50:18 76:5 113:24 115:24 130:10 180:6	station [4] 37:23 38:4 40:21 52:15	supplier [1] 100:6
171:20 191:25	188:8	stations [2] 43:3 162:20	suppliers [1] 98:19
sharing [4] 38:3,17 96:10 156:20	source [1] 85:13	statutory [1] 86:1	supplies [5] 32:4,12,19 32:23 69:22
shedding [2] 65:24 66:2	South [1] 80:18	stay [6] 13:15 32:4 36:22	supply [19] 33:12 65:13
shelf [1] 133:18	speak [13] 45:16 87:13	45:24 46:22 47:16	69:15 83:6,8 107:18,20
shop [2] 73:11 174:18	88:23 89:21 113:6 142:16	staying [2] 36:24 112:5	107:22 115:17 122:3
short [4] 4:24 71:22 77:1	143:9 144:18,18 150:9 151:18 175:17 185:24	steady [1] 4:11	123:15 125:25 126:7,8 130:14 139:24 140:16
140:14	speaking [5] 63:6 72:22	steam [1] 120:1	141:15 173:14
short-term [1] 161:22	144:15 155:10 157:7	Stephenville [1] 149:4	supplying [1] 83:21
		stewards [1] 102:10	

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

Index Page 13

$\mathbf{Multi-Page}^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}$

support - upgrade NL Hydro GRA

				NL Hydro GRA
support [4] 86:7 154:10	technologist [1] 3:12	43:15 128:23 161:12	66:2,8,12 139:23 159:25	uncontrollable [9]
161:22 168:9	telling [1] 98:20	163:12,14 177:19 181:15	160:13,21 162:16,19	101:5 102:20,22 104:3
suppose [1] 120:17	tells [1] 66:23	192:11 193:12	167:14,15,16,19,20,25 168:6	105:8 106:2,5,19 107:3
supposed [3] 25:2 35:3	ten [4] 4:18 17:8 130:5	three-quarters [1] 188:23		under [10] 23:5 25:3 32:18 65:23 66:1,3,11
35:3	139:21	threshold [1] 69:20	transparency [4] 93:3 93:23 96:22 122:16	81:11 108:17 163:6
surprise [1] 137:19	tendency [1] 3:24	through [50] 7:9 9:17	transparent [1] 97:16	understand [31] 1:4
survey [1] 56:18	tender [2] 37:3 99:21	11:5 12:21 15:17 16:21	transpire [1] 156:25	11:8 16:16 20:5 37:8
system [72] 5:12 9:18,21 15:17 33:17,21 41:24	tendering [1] 98:11	20:17,19 21:11 25:18	transpires [2] 156:9	42:16 45:12 72:17 95:1
42:13 47:8,23 52:14 54:4	term [1] 140:14	30:15 35:23 37:22 38:8	158:4	97:23 98:18 109:7 114:23 115:16,18 120:5,10,23
55:24 65:11,12,25 66:14	terminal [6] 37:23 38:3	38:23 41:9 46:1 47:16 48:20,23 66:13 81:19	transportation [1]	135:4 136:3 143:3 145:11
66:22 70:15 77:5 79:3,5	40:20 43:3 52:15 162:20	82:22,23,23 84:5 85:15	31:13	150:5 153:9 154:8 159:24
80:3,9,11,17,22,24 82:25 102:10 104:6,10 108:21	terms [65] 2:14 4:8 5:18 5:22 7:16 8:23 9:4 10:13	89:24 93:5 96:12 103:3	travel [12] 28:25 29:2,10	161:25 166:19 168:12
110:11 112:8,25 118:5	11:7 14:16 17:3 20:3	106:23,24 108:9 109:15	29:17 30:3,5,5,17 31:4 31:25 32:1,2	180:18 190:20
125:9,11,18,20,22,24	21:22,23 27:24 29:11	110:19 112:18 131:9 138:25 140:20,23 141:17	travelling [2] 29:20,25	understood [2] 76:24 181:15
126:6,7,17 127:6,17	30:16 39:5 49:22 57:18	142:15 149:20 158:11	tree [1] 36:20	undertake [11] 42:25
130:21,22 137:5,9 139:14 140:21,23 141:2 147:5	61:25 64:6 74:8,18 78:13 84:1 88:1,7,17 89:3 91:1	165:18 169:9 173:24	TRO [8] 160:14,22 161:1	75:11 90:17 95:5 114:18
149:5 156:15 165:18,19	91:8 92:6 94:23 96:14	180:14 191:6	161:23 164:12 165:3,9	115:12 135:16 136:18
173:9,10,24 174:22,23	97:20 99:11 106:20 111:2	throughout [4] 30:1	171:9	138:14 145:1 150:19
176:24 179:22 180:14	114:14 117:22 122:16	66:17 68:1 146:16	TRO's [1] 161:18	undertaken [3] 53:25
183:15 184:1 190:12	123:18 127:10 130:18 144:14 145:7 146:19	tie [1] 176:18	true [5] 6:1 31:10 53:23	93:13 137:23
systems [7] 53:9 82:16 124:19 129:12 145:12	159:9 162:9,9 175:2	tied [3] 65:20 66:9 105:14	129:6 194:2	undertaking [24] 1:8 1:10,12,14 19:6 22:5
166:3 179:14	176:2,9 178:17 181:8,18	ties [1] 67:12 tight [1] 12:17	try [4] 9:2,10 93:5 101:14	43:6,8 61:13 65:3 68:24
	181:23 182:6 186:1 187:13 188:15 189:2	timeframe [2] 12:14	trying [7] 12:13,16,21 30:4,22 70:9 97:23	89:20,23 90:4,22 95:14
-T-	191:16,20	130:16	Tuesday [1] 157:12	115:1,14 128:6 143:11 149:20 150:23 158:16
tab [2] 23:14 38:13	Terry [4] 1:19 16:11	times [2] 41:25 181:18	turbines [1] 63:1	184:2
table [7] 23:13 28:5,24	20:18 142:3	today [4] 174:5,7,7	turn [16] 7:25 17:2 23:11	undertakings [3] 1:7
32:4,12,22 108:11	test [22] 23:20 24:9,14	192:24	33:17 43:10 79:6 80:4	158:12,15
takes [1] 67:6	25:14 26:16,17,25 27:4	together [4] 10:1 31:7	98:3 107:17 118:9 142:2	unexpected [1] 65:8
taking [6] 38:4 44:16	27:17 28:5,11 30:21 31:7 31:9,10 32:25 33:22	124:13 146:12	151:13,14 155:1 172:3	unforeseen [1] 13:13
54:20 71:14 81:11 122:4	37:11 41:25 42:1,6 78:20	too [4] 5:16 54:20 109:20 150:7	175:11 turned [2] 126:4,5	union [5] 186:3 187:3,5
talks [2] 67:22 144:25	testified [1] 144:9	took [8] 9:23 99:19,20	turning [3] 37:6 74:16	187:8 189:12
target [29] 17:21 19:8 20:3,6,22 21:1 23:7 53:4	testimony [4] 11:8 17:10	142:14 145:17 164:17	93:2	unionized [1] 187:10
53:5,14 55:15 56:9,17	93:5 123:4	168:3,5	Twin [2] 38:23 39:9	unit [10] 34:9 119:13 132:3,13 133:19 134:7
56:24 57:5 58:5 59:2,7	thank [18] 1:15,23 69:1	top [5] 26:14,24 45:24	TwinCo [4] 37:7,13,22	134:23 135:2,9,12
60:22,22 61:23 62:3,10	77:22 80:8 90:8 95:18 121:20 141:24 142:6	46:23 47:16	42:11	units [3] 101:6 125:12,13
67:11 69:22 70:1,24 71:3 71:23	145:4 150:21 151:3 155:1	topic [4] 22:22 23:11,19	two [24] 2:23 4:4 9:25	unjustly [1] 86:5
targeted [1] 17:15	159:18 164:25 166:5	142:10 topics [1] 142:11	23:22 27:20 35:2,22 40:19 43:15 44:6,18	unknown [1] 13:13
targeting [1] 54:19	180:19	total [4] 65:19 81:3	48:21 65:5 82:20 85:16	unless [2] 170:14 193:10
targets [36] 17:16 20:5,9	that'll [1] 24:1	113:19 130:21	111:5 121:18 125:12,13	unrealistic [1] 51:11
20:10,12 21:9 51:9 52:25	themselves [2] 61:2 150:17	totally [1] 188:1	152:20 185:25 192:11	unreasonable [5] 85:23
53:18 55:10,18,18 57:4	there'd [2] 26:13 146:13	touch [1] 107:17	193:2,9	86:9,14 87:7 88:25
57:6,9,10,11,18 58:1,3 58:10,19 59:18 60:2,3,4	there'll [1] 35:11	touched [4] 4:9 23:18	two-minute [1] 193:14	unsupplied [4] 65:9,19 66:5 70:10
60:6,15,25 61:1,3 62:15	thereby [1] 134:16	74:17,17	two-year [6] 34:5 35:7,9 35:18 36:5,7	
64:9,10,13,23	therefore [1] 109:3	track [5] 13:15 49:13	type [16] 6:21 10:15 47:4	up [48] 3:25 6:22 11:18 12:15 16:1 23:13 25:5
tasked [1] 16:18	thermal [4] 2:22 15:3	50:2,16 70:18	53:12 54:21 73:15 78:21	25:23 28:19 29:4,7,11
team [46] 16:19 20:20	15:14 100:22	tracked [1] 17:15	96:8 98:20 158:15,23	31:14 33:4 37:4 38:13
22:6 61:10 71:9,20 93:10 94:15,24 95:3 151:9,19	they've [3] 2:16 29:12	tracking [1] 48:8	169:19 179:13 186:20,22 187:25	41:21 50:5 62:11 64:25 68:22 72:5 76:9,25 77:12
152:2,11,12,14 153:3,11	39:23	tracks [1] 62:20 transcribed [1] 194:7	types [16] 3:15 23:6 31:5	79:8 80:10 84:25 85:1
153:15,18,23,23 154:2,9	thinking [3] 94:21 177:2		49:1 61:14 93:24 117:25	107:9,12 108:4 110:6
154:16 155:5,8,9,14	177:13 THOMAS [1] 1:20	transcript [2] 172:4 194:3	158:12 159:8 173:15	111:12 118:9 121:10,24
156:3,11,13,14,17,19,20 156:24 158:2,5 159:6	Thornton [1] 28:25	transferred [1] 107:13	175:3 185:10,15,18 188:15 191:5	124:15 128:22 134:21,22 143:16 145:17 147:2
179:7,23 180:12 183:1	thorough [1] 53:13	transition [8] 14:25	typical [1] 126:2	159:8 180:21 185:11
185:3 191:14	thought [8] 26:3,15	168:14 173:18 184:6	typically [3] 119:13	186:20
teams [3] 172:2,21 173:1	30:21 99:19 124:15	188:6 190:13 191:9 192:9	125:23 148:14	update [5] 13:22,24,25
technical [7] 16:2,24	131:18 132:25 153:7	transmission [31] 15:16		85:8 108:8
34:6 132:8 167:22 168:2 174:20	thousand [2] 32:20	36:25 38:10 41:20,22 42:4 43:3 54:20 55:2,11	-U-	updated [1] 74:3
technologies [1] 83:4	54:12	60:16 62:22 65:11,21,22	UFOP [1] 63:1	updates [1] 70:5 upgrade [1] 53:9
Brob [1] 00.4	three [11] 16:20 29:12			

Discoveries Unlimited Inc., Ph: (709)437-5028

$\boldsymbol{Multi-Page}^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}$

			 NL Hydro GRA
upgrades [3] 52:15,15	voltage [1] 162:20	21:2,5,11,13 23:7,8,9,20	
139:17	Volume [1] 23:14	24:9,14 25:14 26:17,18	
upward [3] 30:5 31:6,18	VP [10] 44:1 147:2 172:11	26:25 27:4,17 28:5,11	
usage [3] 82:18,24 126:2	175:22 176:2 181:10	30:21 31:7,9,10 32:24	
used [6] 45:22 64:22	183:12 185:25 188:6,15	32:24,25 33:22 35:16 37:11,24 38:1,2,2 41:25	
119:18 125:24 141:14		42:1,6 51:24,24 52:2,7	
149:4	-W-	53:4,12,24 54:7,7 63:15	
useful [2] 68:22 123:16	Wabush [4] 37:23 38:4	64:4 66:18,23 68:1 69:5	
uses [1] 62:19	40:20 52:16	69:25 72:18 74:24 77:4	
using [10] 30:22 38:9	wants [1] 174:9	78:8,10,20 91:7 135:20	
59:14 61:4 65:17,22		138:19 146:8 148:12	
70:11 98:16 123:24 183:2	warranty [3] 34:5,11 35:1	149:2,8 175:19 186:4 187:8 189:11,14 192:2	
usually [1] 187:16	waste [1] 27:10	years [43] 2:23 4:4 6:25	
utilities [13] 15:20 21:3		9:9,25 11:10 14:15 16:20	
51:10 62:2 66:10 67:16	water [10] 114:3,6,11,15 114:20 115:7 118:14,15	30:16 35:2,22 39:17,24	
134:18 138:20 147:25	118:17 122:11	40:2,13 44:3,6 48:21	
148:8,17 149:23 194:6	watering [1] 72:5	51:20 53:1 58:18,18	
utility [11] 54:10 93:25	Watson's [2] 125:13,14	59:14 60:20 70:8 76:20	
96:24 106:17 132:16		96:6,10 98:17 101:8	
139:4 146:7 150:15 165:1 171:18 173:13	ways [3] 2:7 30:23 107:9	103:5,5,6 120:5 126:9 133:3,4 137:21 167:6	
utilization [2] 30:9	wear [1] 99:5	181:16,17 186:9 192:11	
62:25	weather [1] 69:10	yesterday [11] 1:24 7:8	
02.23	Wednesday [1] 157:13	11:9 48:5 51:7 74:19	
-V-	week [5] 13:9,11,14	79:9 98:5 99:19,20	
	193:10,15	105:13	
Vale [3] 175:8,9 177:4	weekly [1] 48:8	yet [4] 29:6 32:17,20	
validation [1] 117:24	weeks [3] 193:2,9,12	175:9	
Valley [1] 43:4	weigh [1] 21:19	Young [12] 114:21 115:9	
value [5] 72:5 98:1 122:8	whereby [1] 121:10	120:9,21 123:1 124:3,9	
127:8 140:13	whole [6] 62:11 66:18	153:1,2,3,4,7	
values [1] 62:5	68:1 81:19 120:24 191:8	yourself [3] 163:23 166:18 191:2	
variabilities [1] 104:20	willing [1] 136:15	yourselves [1] 105:18	
variability [9] 54:7	wind [1] 118:23	your serves [1] 105.18	
101:5 102:19 103:2	windows [1] 12:17		
104:17 105:6 106:25 107:6,8	winter [6] 66:16,19 74:16		
variable [1] 107:2	74:23,25 111:13		
	wish [4] 1:5 43:10 123:8		
variance [1] 17:9	124:16		
variations [1] 32:24	within [19] 12:13,17 17:8		
varied [2] 37:25 38:2	61:17 106:17 114:10,24 115:8 118:2 145:9 147:4		
various [1] 171:21	164:12 165:11 173:19		
vegetation [5] 36:10,13	174:12 184:12,18,23		
36:15,18,25	187:24		
vehicles [4] 30:6,7 31:5 31:12	without [3] 2:8 32:24		
	135:3		
vein [1] 172:18	witness [5] 87:12 88:23		
verbal [4] 13:20,25 157:25 158:7	89:8 142:10 147:14		
versus [1] 88:4	witnesses [1] 193:8		
Versus [1] 88:4 Verte [2] 80:18 176:17	wondering [3] 42:13		
	123:12 124:10		
vetted [2] 169:4 187:1	word [1] 120:16		
vice [5] 71:16 73:25 74:13 152:5 185:16	worked [5] 14:15 22:22 39:24 70:4,13		
vice-president [7]	workers [1] 187:10		
146:25 152:4,5 156:15	wound [1] 14:18		
156:17 173:4,16	write [1] 97:22		
vicinity [1] 116:5	written [1] 90:9		
view [4] 70:19 82:1 90:24	wrong [3] 121:14 186:4		
126:23	187:5		
vintage [1] 133:17			
visibility [2] 50:1,15	-Y-		
visit [5] 26:4 170:15,22			
171:13,14	year [73] 9:13 13:7 20:15		