NLH 2013 Amended General Rate Application
Undertaking - # 64
Filed: Pov3, 2015 Board Secretary:

Undertaking 64

Undertake to provide what it would have cost to have a Holyrood unit running (in August) instead (of the CT) to do the work that the CT did.

Hydro has calculated the cost that would have been incurred had a Holyrood unit been dispatched instead of the Holyrood CT, where appropriate, for the period of the Holyrood Total Plant Outage (TPO) in question (August 1-18). As noted in Undertaking 63, the actual total gross fuel cost for running the CT during this period was \$1.99 million. Hydro estimates that the total gross fuel cost would have been \$3.05 million to have a Holyrood unit running instead of the CT during this period ^{1,2}.

^{1.} The calculation assumes average fuel rates for the period for both the CT and the Holyrood unit.

^{2.} There were two instances during the period in question where Avalon loads were such that Hydro would have dispatched the Holyrood CT rather than the Holyrood unit, based on economic thresholds. The calculation was adjusted to account for this blended operation during the period in question. This resulted in an estimated 21.6 GWh of production by the Holyrood unit and 1.2 GWh of production by the Holyrood CT.