NLH 2013 Amended General Rate Application
Undertaking - <u>#8 + 179</u>
Filed: Sept 21,2015 Board Secretary: SP

GRA Undertakings Transcript Day 4 – September 14, 2015

Draft

Page 36 lines 4-6 to Page 35 lines 7-18

8. (a) Provide when Hydro (Mr. Martin) became aware of the advertisement by ProEnergy Parts Solutions and CFAS Enterprises Inc.?

Mr. Martin was not made aware of this specific advertisement. He was informed in the weeks leading up to the public tender period that there were value opportunities in the market place.

(b) Provide a copy of what information you did receive as a result of this advertisement, these two advertisements. (Copy of the information that comprised the advertisement)

Mr. Martin did not receive a copy of the advertisement. Mr. Martin would have been briefed on the 28 options under review/evaluation in the weeks leading up to the public tender period (Vice-President of PETS would have referred to evaluation matrix).

Page 56 lines 15-19

9. Undertake to provide when (Mr. Martin) was aware of the potential investigation of ProEnergy and what do you recall being told the investigation was about.

Mr. Martin was made aware of the investigation in August of 2014, consistent with the timing of the notification from the PUB on the same subject. Additionally and to provide context: Mr. Martin relies on the due diligence team to evaluate supplier risk. The due diligence team had noted the ongoing investigation, within their review in May 2014, characterized it as not uncommon for a large multinational organization. Additionally, the topic was directly addressed by Mr. MacIsaac to the ProEnergy CEO during commercial clarification process in May 2014, he stated they were cooperating fully with authorities.