

1 Q. Re: GRK-NLH-44

2 Citation:

3 In the (very) unlikely event of a dam breach at Muskrat Falls, several options are
4 available to Hydro. As stated in Hydro's response to GRK-NLH-004: "Upon the
5 completion of the Labrador-Island Link and the Maritime Link, the Island of
6 Newfoundland will, for the first time, have access to electricity from neighbouring
7 utilities. ... These transmission interconnections will, if necessary, enable the Energy
8 Control Centre operators to utilize emergency support from neighbouring utilities
9 and to obtain power through electricity market arrangements either through the
10 Quebec or Maritime Link interconnections."

11 In addition, with a continued 60 MW interruptible arrangement, Hydro will have
12 sufficient installed capacity to supply full load until at least 2025. Beyond the
13 1650 MW load level, there are options available to supplement capacity that
14 Hydro will explore including:

- 15 • Additional industrial and commercial interruptible load
- 16 arrangements;
- 17 • Customer demand side management initiatives;
- 18 • Additional imports via the Maritime Link when existing constraints
19 in the Maritime/New England systems are mitigated; and
- 20 • Potential on-Island capacity additions.

21 (underlining added)

22 Preamble:

23 The measures described here, in relation to a dam breach at Muskrat Falls, are
24 also referred to in GRK-NLH-021 (Rev.1) as available in the event that Nalcor's
25 interpretation of the renewal of the Churchill Falls Contract is not upheld.

26 **Please elaborate on the likely availability, cost and lead times of each of the**
27 **options described. In particular, please elaborate on:**

- 1 • **The degree and extent to which additional industrial and commercial**
- 2 **interruptible load arrangements can be relied upon, taking into account**
- 3 **experiences of other utilities in this regard;**
- 4 • **The expected limits of customer demand side management initiatives, given**
- 5 **NLH's and NP's experience to date in this field;**
- 6 • **Any constraints of all types limiting access to imports over the Maritime Link;**
- 7 • **Any constraints limiting of all types access to imports over the LITL; and**
- 8 • **The types, locations lead times and costs of the potential on-Island capacity**
- 9 **additions to which reference is made.**

10

11

12 A. The complete set of options listed in Hydro's response to GRK-NLH-044 is as

13 follows:

- 14 a) imports from neighbouring utilities over the Maritime Link;
- 15 b) additional industrial and commercial interruptible load arrangements;
- 16 c) customer demand side management initiatives;
- 17 d) additional imports when existing constraints in the Maritime/New
- 18 England systems are mitigated;
- 19 e) Potential on-island capacity additions; and
- 20 f) Action by an emergency controller pursuant to the *Electrical Power*
- 21 *Control Act, 1994.*

22 The Maritime Link has capability to import 500 MW from Nova Scotia, but import

23 capability is constrained to approximately 300 MW due to intertie capacity between

24 New Brunswick and Nova Scotia.

25

26 The Labrador-Island Transmission Link would be constrained to approximately 200

27 MW during emergency conditions in the event that generation from Muskrat Falls

1 was not on line. This constraint is the result of the loss of reactive power support
2 for the Muskrat Falls converter from the Muskrat Falls plant.

3
4 As indicated in Hydro's response to GRK-NHL-044, Hydro indicated that items b)
5 through e) are ones that Hydro will explore (emphasis added). Consequently,
6 detailed answers to the specific questions are not available without further analysis
7 between now and 2025.

8
9 In terms of commercial interruptible arrangements, Hydro is aware that some
10 commercial and institutional customers in the Province have standby power
11 generation facilities. An inventory of these customers is under development by
12 Newfoundland Power. Hydro expects that load could be curtailed in an emergency
13 through use of customer owned generation and will be following up further in this
14 regard.

15
16 Potential hydroelectric generation facilities, specifically Island Pond¹, Portland
17 Creek², and Round Pond³, with a potential capacity of up to 88 MW were identified
18 during the Muskrat Falls Review proceeding. These could be developed within a
19 period of approximately five years. In addition, combustion turbine generation
20 could be deployed within a period of approximately 24 months.

21
22 Hydro is currently undertaking a marginal cost study, the results of which are
23 anticipated to more accurately inform customer demand side management issues
24 going forward.

¹ Exhibit 5B, Muskrat Falls Review.

² Exhibit 5C, Muskrat Falls Review.

³ Exhibit 5D, Muskrat Falls Review.