Page 1 of 2

- 1Q:Re: Review of Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Power Supply Adequacy2and Reliability Prior to and Post Muskrat Falls ("the Liberty Report #2"),3
 - Citation 1:

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

In Liberty's opinion, Hydro must seriously consider the need for new supply prior to the interconnection with Muskrat Falls and should develop plans accordingly. In addition, Hydro should also undertake as soon as possible an in depth review of its current supply planning practices, processes and capabilities in order to develop stronger enhanced skills and capabilities. (Liberty report #2, p. 5)

13 **Citation 2:**

From a supply perspective, Hydro's asset management program has a critical role as it is one of the three solutions to the near-term supply issues. The three are: (a) more generation, (b) less load and (c) higher availability of the generating units. (p. 97)

20 **Citation 3 (P.U. 8 (2007), page 60):**

The Board is not prepared to proceed with an IRP exercise given the pending release of the Energy Plan and completion of the various rate design reviews and conservation and demand management studies currently underway. In the Board's view the Province's future policy direction respecting energy supply will be a key ingredient in formulating an IRP. As well these various studies/reviews would also comprise important inputs needed to stimulate informed discussion and debate contributing to a comprehensive IRP acceptable to all stakeholders.

31 In terms of the Board's ongoing role with respect to ensuring adequate 32 planning Hydro prepares an annual system planning report, which reviews 33 the latest long-term load forecast, generation expansion requirements, 34 options, costs and other important issues. The 2005 report was filed on 35 November 27, 2006 (Schedule JRH-Supplementary 1) and the 2006 report was filed on December 8, 2006. This report provides fundamental 36 37 information regarding future supply issues in the Province and is valuable to 38 the Board in meeting its responsibilities under s. 4 of the EPCA. The Board 39 remains convinced that an IRP undertaken as part of a generic process as 40 described in Order No. P. U 14(2004) is an important planning tool and 41 would enhance the information available to the Board and other parties 42 regarding future generation and supply options in the Province. The Board 43 will convene a meeting of stakeholders including Hydro and the parties to

Page 2 of 2

- this proceeding to discuss the scope of an IRP process with the timing of such
 an exercise to be determined by the Board.
 - The Board will not establish at this time a process with respect to the commencement of an IRP exercise.
 - Should Hydro's review of planning practices, processes and capabilities be limited to supply planning, or should it also consider demand-side options as well? What is Liberty's point of view concerning the long-delayed recommendation to proceed with an Integrated Resource Planning process in Newfoundland and Labrador?
- 11 12 13

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

- A. Liberty believes that the term "supply planning", as used by both Liberty and Hydro,
 encompasses demand-side options. Accordingly, to the extent Hydro agrees with
 Liberty's recommendation to review planning practices, processes and capabilities,
 that review should and likely will include demand side considerations.
- With respect to the Integrated Resource Planning process, Liberty has no opinion on any process previously or currently contemplated by the Board. In general, and not necessarily applicable to the current Newfoundland circumstances, Liberty favors comprehensive resource planning supported by strong analytical content. For the near-term, Liberty has recommended that Hydro (1) review its planning practices, processes and capabilities and (2) re-evaluate its supply needs (including demand resources) both pre- and post- Muskrat Falls.