

1 Q. **Reference: Application, Clauses 9 and 10**

2 In clause 9 Hydro states “*Scheduled settlement discussions and the commencement*
3 *of the hearing were delayed as a result of the application filed by the Consumer*
4 *Advocate*”. However, Clause 10 states that in response to the Board’s Order No.
5 P.U. 2(2018), “*On March 22, 2018, Hydro filed additional revenue requirement and*
6 *cost of service information for the Board’s review*”. Please clarify. Was it the
7 Consumer Advocate’s application, or was it Hydro’s refusal to provide the additional
8 cost of service information requested by the Consumer Advocate until directed to
9 do so by the Board in Order No. P.U. 2(2018) that resulted in the delay to
10 settlement discussions and the commencement of the hearing?

11

12

13 A. The Consumer Advocate’s application of January 4, 2018, paragraph three, states
14 “*The Application herein is for an Order that the Public Utilities Board (the "PUB")*
15 *delay the schedule for the GRA until Hydro files with the parties certain relevant*
16 *information.*”

17

18 As a result of this application, scheduled settlement discussions and the
19 commencement of the hearing were delayed.