

1 Q. Daymark indicates in their May 9, 2024 memo (2024 Resource Plan Appendix A, page 4 of 11)
2 that: “Because the flow over the LIL is dependent on Island load, three load scenarios were
3 considered in the analysis.”

4 a) Please indicate the testing completed by Daymark of the assumptions regarding the
5 dependence of LIL/ML flow and Island load, related to transiently regulating system
6 frequency. Provide copies of any studies or analysis conducted by Daymark regarding
7 system frequency regulation on the Island system, and the LIL/ML interaction.

8 b) Please provide Daymark’s independent third-party assessment of the responses to the
9 questions posed in IIC-NLH-011 to IC-NLH-020 (above) and whether Daymark had been
10 tasked with assessing each aspect of the limitation represented by the Technical
11 Conference #3 presentation slide 47 prior to completion of Hydro’s RAP.

12

13

14 A. a) Power system analysis was not performed by Daymark Energy Advisors (“Daymark”).
15 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro’s (“Hydro”) transmission consultant, TransGrid Solutions
16 Inc., performed all the analyses to date with respect to the development of the Labrador-
17 Island Link to Maritime Link relationship. Please refer to Hydro’s response to IC-NLH-011 of
18 this proceeding for further details.

19 b) As stated in response to part a), Daymark did not perform any power system analyses;
20 therefore, Daymark did not provide any assessment of Hydro's responses to IC-NLH-011 to
21 IC-NLH-020 of this proceeding.