Reference: Reliability and Resource Adequacy Study - 2022 Update, Volume I: Study 1 Q. 2 Methodology and Planning Criteria, October 3, 2022, page 5, lines 17-19. 3 Furthermore, the proposed Clean Electricity Standard has brought into question 4 resource options that would traditionally have been recommended but are now 5 uncertain as a future resource option (e.g., fossil fuel-burning combustion 6 turbines). 7 Has Hydro reviewed how other Canadian jurisdictions are addressing the proposed Government 8 of Canada Clean Electricity Regulations (formerly known as the Clean Electricity Standard) in 9 their system planning activities, including the role of fossil fuel-burning combustion turbines? If 10 so, please provide a summary and any relevant reports from those jurisdictions. If not, why 11 not? 12 13 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") has not discussed the proposed Clean Electricity 14 A. 15 Regulations with other Canadian jurisdictions. These regulations are in the early stages of development and, to date, Hydro has been monitoring documentation and correspondence, as 16 17 per the attachments provided in Hydro's response to PUB-NLH-231 of this proceeding to 18 understand potential implications. Any developments relating to the implications of the 19 proposed Clean Electricity Regulations would be addressed in the Reliability and Resource 20 Adequacy Study – 2023 Update.