1 Q. Reference: Volume II, Wood Pole Line Management Program - Various, Tab 11, page 9, Table 7 2 Has Hydro, at any time, removed any crossarms identified as being deteriorated and had the 3 components tested in a laboratory setting to critically evaluate preservative level and residual 4 strength of the components? If yes, please provide the results of the testing. If not, what 5 6 criteria does Hydro follow for preservative retention in crossarms? 7 8 9 No. Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") has not tested crossarms in a laboratory to Α. 10 critically evaluate preservative level and residual strength. 11 12 Hydro does not currently treat crossarms to maintain preservative levels. Other than immediately at the attachment location with the pole, crossarms are not easily accessible to 13 14 complete this process when performing climbing inspections on wooden structures. 15 As a part of the Wood Pole Line Management Program, inspections of crossarms include visual 16 17 observation and sounding of the component up to a point that can safely be reached by the inspector while performing the climbing inspection. Due to the smaller cross sectional area of 18 19 the crossarm, deterioration is easier to identify during the inspection process when compared to the larger poles. Hydro has found that the methods used are proving successful. Since 1999, less 20 than 500 crossarms have been replaced due to identified deterioration. 21