| 1 | Q. | Reference: Volume II - Tab 16 - Additions for Load - Distribution System - Mary's Harbour | | |
|----|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | Voltage Conversion | | |
| 3 | | Are there any changes to the values in Table 3 on page 8 if Phase 2 of Hydro's proposed long- | | |
| 4 | | term supply plan for southern Labrador does not occur? If so, please provide a table similar to | | |
| 5 | | Table 3 highlighting the changes. Again, please provide the detailed analysis and assumptions | | |
| 6 | | used to compile the CPW values. | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | Α. | If Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") were to assume that the proposed plan for | | |
| 10 | | southern Labrador does not occur, voltage conversion would no longer be required in the year | | |
| 11 | | 2030 for the following alternatives: | | |
| 12 | | • Reconductor with 477 ASC; | | |
| 13 | | • Reconductor with 4/0 AASC; and | | |
| 14 | | Install Voltage Regulators. | | |
| 15 | | If the voltage conversion costs are removed from the analysis, the results in Table 3 of the | | |
| 16 | | referenced project report would be as shown below in Table 1.1 | | |

Table 1: Mary's Harbour Voltage Conversion – Alternative Comparison – CPW² to the Year 2021

| Alternatives | CPW | CPW Difference between Alternative and Least-Cost Alternative |
|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| Reconductor with 477 ASC | 547,764 | 0 |
| Reconductor with #4/0 AASC | 621,167 | 73,403 |
| New Regulator - 300 A | 804,689 | 256,925 |
| Voltage Conversion | 1,148,253 | 600,489 |

¹ This analysis compares each alternative over 20 years and uses Hydro's corporate discount rate, each alternative's capital costs, fuel costs, and distribution losses.

² Cumulative net present value ("CPW").

| 1 | As it is Hydro's plan to interconnect Mary's Harbour to the proposed regional diesel generating |
|---|---|
| 2 | station in Port Hope Simpson, voltage conversion would be required in 2030 if any of these |
| 3 | alternative were implemented instead of performing the voltage conversion in 2022 and 2023 as |
| 4 | proposed. Therefore, voltage conversion in 2030 was reflected in Hydro's cost-benefit analysis |
| 5 | evaluating each of the non-voltage conversion alternatives. ³ |
| | |
| 6 | Please refer to PUB-NLH-019, Attachment 1 for the detailed analysis spreadsheet of the CPW for |
| 7 | this project. |

³ If the proposed southern Labrador interconnection project is not approved, Hydro will revisit the Mary's Harbour Voltage Conversion proposal.