

1 Q. Further to the response to NP-NLH-018, what is the cost of providing the portable generation
2 required in the re-sagging alternative?

3

4

5 A. As indicated in Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's ("Hydro") response to NP-NLH-018 of this
6 proceeding, it is suspected that the original design and/or construction contained deficiencies
7 resulting in multiple clearance violations. The original construction well exceeds the typical span
8 length that would be expected for the conductor in question and as a result, applying re-
9 tensioning techniques to improve clearances would result in the tension exceeding the
10 allowable design limits. As Hydro has determined that re-sagging is not a technically viable
11 alternative to address clearance violations, Hydro has not prepared detailed cost estimates for
12 this alternative.