

- 1 Q. Did Hydro consider:
- 2           i. refurbishing the runner from Unit 1 and using it as replacement for Unit 2; and/or
- 3           ii. refurbishing the Unit 2 runner to be used as a spare?
- 4
- 5
- 6 A.           i. Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro (“Hydro”) considered refurbishment of the runner
- 7               from Unit 1 to use as the replacement for Unit 2; however, Hydro will not know if the
- 8               Unit 1 runner can be refurbished until it is removed from the unit and sent off-site for
- 9               further assessment, which is planned for late 2025. As the replacement of the Unit 2
- 10              runner was recommended by the end of 2026, it is not a viable alternative to delay
- 11              the procurement of a replacement for Unit 2 until determining if Unit 1 can be
- 12              refurbished, given the time span required for procurement and/or refurbishment.
- 13            ii. As noted in the application, Hydro plans to propose to replenish its capital spare
- 14              inventory in its 2026 Capital Budget Application. Upon replacement of the Unit 1
- 15              runner, Hydro will assess if refurbishment is a viable option. If so, a cost benefit
- 16              analysis will be performed to verify if refurbishment or replacement is the least-cost
- 17              option for a spare.