Q. Does NP accept that a 45% common equity ratio exceeds average allowed common equity ratios for Canadian electric transmission and distribution utilities and further that lower financial risk offsets higher business risk if the Board continues to regard Newfoundland Power *overall* as an average risk Canadian utility? If not, why not.

A. No.

The Board has approved a capital structure with a 45% common equity ratio for Newfoundland Power since 1996.¹ Newfoundland Power considers its relatively strong capital structure compared to other Canadian electric utilities to be part and parcel of the Company's overall risk profile.

 The appropriateness of the Company's capital structure has been recognized by the Board on a number of occasions. For example, in Order No. P.U. 16 (1998), the Board found that the relatively small size of Newfoundland Power reduced its financial flexibility.² Similarly, in Order No. P.U. 19 (2003), the Board characterized Newfoundland Power's capital structure as a "...sound and successful..." one and observed that a strong equity component was needed to mitigate the impact of the Company's small size and low growth potential.³

Newfoundland Power's capital structure was comprehensively reviewed in the Company's 2016/2017 General Rate Application. In Order No. P.U. 18 (2016), the Board indicated that it did not believe it was appropriate to deem a reduced common equity ratio for Newfoundland Power given the uncertainty with Muskrat Falls and the economic outlook for the province and also in light of the concerns set out by Newfoundland Power in relation to the issuance or deeming of preferred shares.⁴

Newfoundland Power currently faces an overall riskier outlook compared to the outlook at the time of the 2016/2017 General Rate Application.

It is Concentric Energy Advisors' opinion that Newfoundland Power has comparable financial risk and above average business risk in comparison to its Canadian peers.⁵

Order No. P.U. 18 (2016), page 24, lines 3-4.

² Order No. P.U. 16 (1998-99), page 37.

³ Order No. P.U. 19 (2003), page 45.

⁴ Order No. P.U. 18 (2016), page 25, lines 5-8.

See Expert Evidence of Mr. James Coyne, found in *Volume 2, Supporting Materials, Tab B, Cost of Capital*, page 47, lines 25-26, and page 63, lines 21-22.