Q. Reference: "2022/2023 General Rate Application," Newfoundland Power, May 27, 2021, Volume 2, Report 6, Section 3.4, Page 9 of 13.

Newfoundland Power's evidence states:

Non-Construction Activities

Finance, human resources and information systems requirements would be lower if there was no capital program.

 Given the nature of these departments, it is challenging to estimate a specific reduction in general expenses that would occur if there was no capital program. The Board has suggested the use of a nominal rate of 10% as a reasonable proxy in these circumstances. Adjusting the GEC ratio for these non-construction activities to a nominal rate of 10% is therefore appropriate.

Please indicate the number of full-time equivalents that would be reduced in these departments if there was no capital program. If this is not possible, please indicate if the approach of assigning '10% as a reasonable proxy' is more consistent with the full cost method or the incremental method.

A. In Order No. P.U. 3 (1995-96), the Board approved Newfoundland Power's proposal to use the incremental method to allocate general expenses to General Expenses Capitalized ("GEC"). In that order, the Board required general expenses related to certain non-construction activities, such as finance and human resources activities, be included in the Company's GEC calculation. The Board accepted the use of a nominal rate of 10% as reasonable to allocate general expenses related to certain non-construction activities to GEC.

As indicated in the *Review of General Expenses Capitalized* (the "GEC Review"), there would be lower work requirements performed by the finance, human resources and information systems departments if there were no capital program.⁴ Given the nature of these departments, Newfoundland Power cannot provide a specific reduction in full-time equivalents for non-construction activities if there were no capital program.

Consistent with the Board's findings in Order No. P.U. 3 (1995-96) and the results of the GEC Review, the use of a nominal rate of 10% is reasonable to use in these circumstances and reflects the incremental cost method.

See Order No. P.U. 3 (1995-96), page 28.

The Board found that it would be unrealistic to suggest that there would be no reduction in these general expenses if there were no capital program. See Order No. P.U. 3 (1995-96), pages 18 to 21.

See Order No. P.U. 3 (1995-96), page 19.

⁴ See the 2022/2023 General Rate Application, Volume 2, Supporting Materials, Tab 6, Review of General Expenses Capitalized, Appendix B, Section 3.0 Non-Construction Activities for a fulsome discussion on the type of work requirements that would be reduced by these departments if there were no capital program.