| 1 2 | Q. | | Reference: Fair Return for Newfoundland Power (NP), Evidence of Laurence D. Booth, September 28, 2021, page 90, lines 3-4 and 14-16. |
|----------|----|----|--|
| 3 4 | | | "Mr. Coyne states that "from a business investment perspective, Canada and |
| 5 | | | the U.S are highly comparable.' A conclusion he draws from the Coface |
| 6 7 | | | ratings." |
| 8 | | | "While such rankings are fun to look at, they should not be the basis for a |
| 9 | | | conclusion that any two countries are identical as is implicitly assumed if |
| 10 | | | U.S. data is used in Canada without qualification." |
| 11 | | | Cist data is used in Canada minout qualification |
| 12 | | a) | Is Dr. Booth aware of reports that suggest that Canada is lower risk than |
| 13 | | / | the U.S. for an equity investor? If so, please provide these reports. |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | b) | Does Dr. Booth believe that investors require countries to be "identical" in |
| 16 | | ~) | order to use sample companies that satisfy the Fair Return Standard? |
| 17 | | | or not to not cample companies that success the restaurance |
| 18 | | c) | Is Dr. Booth aware of any Canadian regulator that has found that |
| 19 | | C) | "identical" is a requirement in determining sample companies to satisfy |
| 20 | | | the Fair Return Standard? |
| 21 | | | the Pan Return Standard. |
| | A. | 0) | Note the date provided in answer to NP-CA-44 where the volatility of the US |
| 22 | A. | a) | market since 1926 is 19.66% versus 18.26% in Canada. The objective data is |
| 23 24 | | | that the Canadian equity market has been lower risk than that of the US for the |
| 25 | | | period 1926-2020. Until relatively recently it has been partly insulated from |
| 26 | | | US influence due to a variety of government controls such as direct ownership |
| 27 | | | restrictions, with-holding taxes and differential tax rates. However, the effect of |
| 28 | | | most of these have now been reduced or removed. |
| 29 | | | |
| 30 | | b) | Dr. Booth is not aware of investors using the fair return standard. This is a |
| 31 | | ŕ | regulatory standard and until relatively recently US evidence was not widely |
| 32 | | | used in Canada. |
| 33 | | | |
| 34 | | c) | Identical means that US allowed rates of return can be used in Canada without |
| 35 | | | adjustment. Dr. Booth is not aware of any Canadian regulator that has accepted |
| 36 | | | that proposition. Quite the opposite most regulators have accepted that US |
| 37 | | | evidence is useful but needs to be adjusted to ensure that it is comparable, that |
| 38 | | | is, identical in terms of risk. Please see Dr. Booth's testimony pages 92-94 |
| 39 | | | citing various regulatory decisions. |