Reference: "2023 Capital Budget Application," Newfoundland Power Inc., June 29, 2022, 2023 Capital Budget Overview, Appendix C, p. 3, Table C-1.

- Q. a) Please confirm that the risk scores assigned to Newfoundland Power's projects and programs consider economics in addition to safety, reliability, and environment.
 - b) If confirmed, please provide the weightings applied to each component in deriving the risk scores.
- A. a) It is confirmed that the risk scores assigned to Newfoundland Power's projects and programs consider economic consequences in addition to safety, reliability, and environmental consequences.

The Company observes that the inclusion of economic considerations in the prioritization of capital expenditures is common utility practice. As examples, Nova Scotia Power, Hydro One, London Hydro, and FortisBC all include economic considerations, such as productivity or operating efficiency, in their methodologies for prioritizing capital expenditures.

b) Newfoundland Power's risk matrix methodology does not apply weightings to each consequence described in part a) in deriving the risk scores. Rather, the scores are derived based on consideration of the primary consequence that could occur if a capital project did not proceed and the probability of that consequence occurring.

In certain cases, the consideration of secondary consequences may warrant a higher overall prioritization score. For example, the prioritization score for the *Distribution Feeder SLA-05 Refurbishment* project considered the potential reliability consequences to the 136 customers served by this feeder based on its deteriorated condition. This customer count would typically yield a consequence value of two (Minor) in accordance with Newfoundland Power's risk matrix methodology. However, due to overload conditions in the area and the feeder's rear lot configuration, a safety consequence was identified that warranted a higher consequence value of three (Moderate).¹

Based on Newfoundland Power's research, weightings are a common feature of formula-driven approaches to prioritizing capital expenditures. For information on this alternative approach to prioritization, see the response to Request for Information CA-NP-077.

For details of the risk assessment for the *Distribution Feeder SLA-05 Refurbishment* project, see the *2023 Capital Budget Application, Schedule B,* page 27.