1 2	Q.	Reference: PUB-NP-004
3		The Board asks with respect to practice in other Canadian jurisdictions "if rates are
4		reset to meet the mid-point of the targeted return on rate base or to recover the explicit
5		cost increase being incurred by the utility." Newfoundland Power responds "In each
6		jurisdiction, customer rates are set using the mid-point ROE, in particular when the
7		ROE forms part of the revenue requirement calculation. Between COS reviews, an
8		actual earned ROE is permissible within the respective ranges and mechanisms
9		applicable in each jurisdiction."
10		a) If Newfoundland Power is not granted the revenue increase requested in the
11		Application, would its rate of return on rate base be expected to fall within the
12		range applicable in this jurisdiction?
13		b) While rates in the surveyed jurisdictions are "set" using the md-point (sic) ROE,
14		do any of the jurisdictions "reset" rates between GRAs "to meet the mid-point of
15		the targeted return on rate base or to recover the explicit cost increase being incurred
16		by the utility" as specified in the Board's question?
17		c) Under performance-based regulation, are utilities incented to cut costs by
18		allowing them to keep the resulting increase in profits, with the savings owing to
19		cost-cutting measures returned to customers in the form of reduced rates (reduced
20		from what they would otherwise have been) at the start of the next regulation
21		cycle?
22		d) Are Nova Scotia Power and Maritime Electric (PEI) the only two jurisdictions
23		included in the survey that use cost of service regulation similar to NL?
24		e) Do either of these two jurisdictions "reset" rates between GRAs to meet the mid-
25		point of the targeted return on rate base or to recover the explicit cost increase
26		being incurred by the utility." If so, please reconcile this with the statement "It is
27		Newfoundland Power's understanding that Nova Scotia Power and Maritime
28		Electric both have limited ability to set customer rates, beyond annual supply cost
29 30		<i>mechanisms, outside of general rate application processes or otherwise directed by their respective regulators.</i> "
30 31		ineir respective regulators.
31 32	A.	a) No. Newfoundland Power's existing financial forecast (i.e. before cost recovery)
32	л.	provides for a rate of return on rate base that would be 64 basis points below the
34		6.85% regulated rate of return on rate base. That result would be 46 basis points, or
35		approximately two and a half times, beyond the bottom of the $\pm 18$ basis points, of
36		established by the Board. <sup>1</sup>
37		
38		b) Customer rates in each jurisdiction are revised between general rate applications
39		based on the specific direction set out by the regulator in those jurisdictions, as
40		outlined in the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-004. While that
41		direction may not be as specific as the passage provided in this Request for
42		Information, any customer rate increase approved by a regulator is, in effect, to
43		ensure the utility has the ability to recover its reasonable costs, including its return on
44		rate base.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the *Application, 2024 Rate of Return on Rate Base, 6.1 Assessing the Need for Cost Recovery.* 

14

1 c) Both performance-based regulation ("PBR") and cost of service ("COS") 2 jurisdictions use ranges of return on equity. As discussed in response to Request for 3 Information CA-NP-019 part b), one purpose of a range of return is to provide an 4 incentive to the utility to find cost efficiencies. The range of return on equity in PBR 5 jurisdictions is typically larger than the range of return in COS jurisdictions, in theory 6 providing for a larger incentive for a utility in a PBR jurisdiction to find cost 7 efficiencies.<sup>2</sup> 8 9 d) Yes, Nova Scotia Power and Maritime Electric are the only other utilities surveyed in 10 Request for Information PUB-NP-004 that are regulated under a cost of service model similar to Newfoundland Power. 11 12 13 e) Newfoundland Power is not aware of customer rates changing for those two utilities

between GRAs specific to the passage provided in the Request for Information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The ranges of return on equity in Alberta and Ontario are ±200 basis points and ±300 basis points, respectively, compared to a range of ±25 basis points, ±35 basis points and ±40 basis points for Nova Scotia Power, Maritime Electric, and Newfoundland Power, respectively. Newfoundland Power's ±18 basis point range of return on rate base implies a ±40 basis point range of return on equity, assuming debt costs remain constant.