

- 1 **Q. (Reference Application Schedule B, page iii) It is stated “To comply with the**
2 **spirit and intent of the Provisional Guidelines, the Company developed a**
3 **methodology to provide consistency in its assessment of risks across projects**
4 **and programs. The methodology uses a risk matrix where priority is**
5 **determined based on assessments of probability and consequence.”**
6 **a) Please confirm that this same statement was made in NP’s 2023 and 2024**
7 **CBAs.**
8 **b) Does the consequence of a failure change materially over time? For**
9 **example, is the consequence of the failure of MUN-T2 the same whether**
10 **the project is carried out now, 5 years from now, or 5 years ago?**
11 **c) Does the probability of failure change materially over time given NP’s**
12 **inability to quantify the difference in risk of equipment failure between**
13 **now, 3 years from now, or 3 years ago?**
14 **d) Is this practice consistent with that used by distribution companies**
15 **elsewhere in Canada? Is it consistent with the approach used by Hydro?**
16 **e) What other prioritization methodologies are used by distribution**
17 **companies in Canada?**
18 **f) Are there other means for prioritizing projects that do not require a**
19 **significant amount of subjectivity as that used in the proposed**
20 **methodology?**
21 **g) Specifically, who at NP determines the priority of a project and how does**
22 **NP ensure that it is applied consistently across the broad range of projects**
23 **included in the Application?**
24
25 **A. a) It is confirmed.**
26
27 **b) Newfoundland Power notes that this Request for Information (“RFI”) is identical to**
28 **RFI CA-NP-045 filed in relation to the Company’s 2024 Capital Budget Application.**
29 **See the response to RFI CA-NP-045 in relation to Newfoundland Power’s 2024**
30 **Capital Budget Application.**
31
32 **c) See part b)**
33
34 **d) See part b)**
35
36 **e) See part b)**
37
38 **f) See part b)**
39
40 **g) See part b)**