

Schedule B

Q. (Schedule B) If the Board were to order implementation of a blocked energy charge for Rate 1.1 Customers in order to better reflect the marginal cost of supply:

- a) Would 800 kWh per month be an appropriate size for the first block? Why or why not? If this block size were chosen, how many kWh would be sold under this block, and how many kWh would be sold in the second block?**
- b) What would Newfoundland Power propose as an appropriate size in kWh for the first block? Please provide justification for proposing this size block, and indicate the number of kWh that would be sold under this block, and the number of kWh that would be sold under the second block.**
- c) For this scenario, would Newfoundland Power propose different first block sizes for each of the winter and non-winter months, and if so, what first block sizes would it choose for each season and why?**
- d) For the scenario in part (c) of this RFI, how many kWh would be sold in each winter block and each non-winter block?**
- e) If the scenario in part (c) of this were offered as an optional rate, would it effectively eliminate the optional seasonal rate?**

A. a) Newfoundland Power is currently undergoing a new Load Research Study and a Rate Design Review. Newfoundland Power is not proposing any changes to its rate design at this time. The Company expects that any changes in rate design will be considered by the Board upon completion of the ongoing Rate Design Review.

The Company submits that it would be premature to propose any changes in rate design prior to the conclusion of the ongoing Load Research Study and Rate Design Review.

- b) See part a) of this response.
- c) See part a) of this response.
- d) See part a) of this response.
- e) See part a) of this response.