Page 1 of 1

Q. Reference: Fair Return and Capital Structure for Newfoundland Power (NP), Evidence of Laurence D. Booth, April 2024, page 3, lines 7-9.

"My recommendation is that the Board set what it regards as a fair and reasonable ROE, and any excess earned above that amount be shared 50:50 with ratepayers. Otherwise, it is difficult to understand what the Board considers to be a fair and reasonable allowed ROE."

- a) Is Dr. Booth recommending that the Board place a hard cap on NP's authorized ROE such that any earnings above the authorized ROE be shared evenly with customers?
- b) Please explain what an earnings sharing mechanism has to do with understanding what the Board considers a fair and reasonable allowed ROE.
- c) Under Dr. Booth's proposal, would the earnings sharing mechanism be symmetrical, that is, if NP earns less than the authorized ROE, would the Company be allowed to adjust rates upward to recover the full authorized ROE?
- a) No. Dr. Booth has not presented evidence on earnings sharing mechanisms. Instead, he is pointing out that in practise NP has consistently earned in excess of what the Board has decided is a fair and reasonable ROE. This over earning calls into question whether the Board in effect has decided that NP's fair and reasonable ROE is not that allowed, but instead that allowed plus about 0.3%. Dr. Booth would also point out that he has been contacted by a lawyer involved in a certified class action suit against Hydro Quebec for "knowingly and recklessly" presenting evidence on its costs and expenses resulting in consistent over earning over an eight-year period. Dr. Booth wonders whether a similar suit would be certified in Newfoundland and Labrador given NP's 25-year history of over earning.
 - b) See a) above.
- c) See a) above.

1 2

A.