

1 **Section 1: Introduction/Proposal Not to Rebase Power Supply Costs**
 2

3 **Q. Additional Information, PUB Information Request (i), page 3 of 5. Please provide a**
 4 **comparable Table 1 for 2025 if new customer rates are implemented:**

5 **a) January 1, 2025;**

6 **b) February 1, 2025; and**

7 **c) March 1, 2025.**

8
 9 A. a) Table 1 provides the *pro forma* revenue requirement and customer rate impacts under
 10 each requested customer rate implementation date scenario.

Table 1:
***Pro Forma* Revenue Requirement and Customer Rate Impacts**
(\$millions)

	Jan. 1, 2025	Feb. 1, 2025	Mar. 1, 2025	Jul. 1, 2025
Scenario A – No Rebasing				
2025PF Revenue Requirement	785	782	779	769
Customer rate increase ¹	4.4%	4.6%	4.8%	5.5%
Scenario B – Rebasing²				
2025PF Revenue Requirement	822	815	808	784
Customer rate increase ¹	8.9%	9.0%	9.2%	9.8%
Difference (Scenario B versus Scenario A)				
2025PF Revenue Requirement	37	33	29	15
Customer rate increase ¹	4.5%	4.4%	4.4%	4.3%

¹ Customer rate increases are based on *pro forma* 2026 revenue requirement. This analysis excludes price elasticity effects associated with the change in customer rates resulting from the rebasing of power supply energy costs and movement of the customer rate implementation date.

² Scenario B is based on rebasing power supply energy costs effective January 1, 2025, February 1, 2025, March 1, 2025 and July 1, 2025. The rebasing is based on the current wholesale end block rate of 18.165 cents per kWh.