

1 **Q. Further to the response to PUB-NP-103, has Newfoundland Power reviewed its**  
2 **methodology to determine the elasticity effects on sales due to rate increases since it**  
3 **was introduced in 1998? Please explain how Newfoundland Power’s methodology**  
4 **remains relevant and appropriate in the current and near future environment of**  
5 **increased electrification initiatives and potential significant customer rate increases.**  
6

7 **A. A. Newfoundland Power’s Elasticity Assumptions**  
8

9 Price elasticity of electricity on the Island Interconnected System was considered  
10 thoroughly as part of the Muskrat Falls Inquiry. It included testimony from a panel of  
11 experts and industry professionals from Newfoundland Power, Newfoundland and  
12 Labrador Hydro (“Hydro”), and the Consumer Advocate. The panel of experts and  
13 industry professionals were unanimous regarding the existence of price elasticity as it  
14 relates to electricity consumption on the Island Interconnected System and in the context  
15 of potential price increases related to the Muskrat Falls Project.  
16

17 The elasticity of demand used by Newfoundland Power in the *2025/2026 General Rate*  
18 *Application* is -0.19. This implies that a 1% increase in the price of electricity will result  
19 in a 0.19% decrease in electricity consumption. The elasticity of demand offered by the  
20 panel of experts and industry professionals in the Muskrat Falls Inquiry were higher,  
21 ranging from -0.3 to -0.7.<sup>1</sup> This implies that customers will reply more strongly to  
22 changes in the price of electricity than what Newfoundland Power forecasts in its 2025  
23 and 2026 test year. If Newfoundland Power were to adopt these estimates, its energy  
24 forecast for the 2025 and 2026 test years would decline.  
25

26 Hydro’s econometric load forecast model for estimating residential energy consumption  
27 provides an elasticity of demand of between -0.25 and -0.35. Current year modelling for  
28 Hydro indicates elasticity of demand of -0.30. Hydro also estimates price elasticity as it  
29 relates to its peak demand forecast for Newfoundland Power. Its regression model  
30 relating to Newfoundland Power’s system peak demand estimates price elasticity to be  
31 -0.20.<sup>2</sup> This is consistent with the impact of price on Newfoundland Power’s peak  
32 demand forecast.<sup>3</sup>  
33

34 **B. Newfoundland Power’s Methodology**  
35

36 Newfoundland Power’s methodology to determine the elasticity effects on the  
37 Company’s energy sales involves regression analyses used to forecast energy usage for  
38 the Domestic and General Service Rate #2.1 customers. The regression analysis  
39 effectively determines the impact of price and other variables on Newfoundland Power’s  
40 energy sales.

---

<sup>1</sup> See the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-103 page 5, lines 4-23.

<sup>2</sup> See the response to Request for Information PUB-NLH-008.

<sup>3</sup> Newfoundland Power’s peak demand forecast is based on a five-year average system load factor. Changes in energy sales are applied to the Company’s average system load factor to determine peak demand. Since Newfoundland Power’s energy sales include an elasticity of -0.19, changes in Newfoundland Power’s peak demand forecast due to price are similar to that of Hydro.

1 Similar to Newfoundland Power’s approach, Hydro also uses regression techniques to  
2 estimate the impact of price on customer electricity consumption.<sup>4</sup> Evidence filed by the  
3 Consumer Advocate as part of the Muskrat Falls Inquiry also used regression techniques  
4 to determine elasticity of demand.<sup>5</sup> The methodology employed by Newfoundland Power  
5 to determine price elasticity is therefore consistent with the methodologies used by other  
6 parties to assess price elasticity on the Island Interconnected System.

7  
8 The Company’s elasticity methodology uses historic electricity rates charged to  
9 Newfoundland Power’s customers. The historical data series includes annual price  
10 changes within the range of  $\pm 10\%$ . Newfoundland Power’s electricity price forecast used  
11 to forecast energy sales in the *2025/2026 General Rate Application* includes forecast rate  
12 increases within this 10% threshold.<sup>6</sup> As a result, Newfoundland Power is not attempting  
13 to estimate the impact of electricity rate increases that are not already reflected in this  
14 historic data used to determine the elasticity impact.

### 15 16 **C. Electrification**

17  
18 Electrification trends were a contributing factor to Newfoundland Power’s energy sales  
19 growth in 2022 and 2023. Newfoundland Power has specifically included additional load  
20 related to electrification in its energy forecast. This includes continued customer  
21 conversions from oil to electric space heating, the adoption of electric vehicles, the  
22 conversion of government buildings from oil to electric heating, and the addition of  
23 electric boilers at Memorial University.<sup>7</sup>

24  
25 While Newfoundland Power’s electricity sales have increased in the past two years, in  
26 part due to electrification, it is logical to conclude that customers will continue to respond  
27 to increases in electricity rates by seeking ways to reduce energy consumption.<sup>8</sup> To  
28 conclude otherwise would imply that customers will no longer respond to increases in the  
29 price of electricity by seeking ways to reduce consumption. It would also be contrary to  
30 the testimony made by the panel of experts and industry professionals at the Muskrat  
31 Falls Inquiry.

### 32 33 **D. Conclusion**

34  
35 In Newfoundland Power’s view, the Company’s methodology to determine elasticity  
36 effects on energy sales due to customer rate increases remains relevant and does not  
37 require review. The existence of price elasticity as it relates to Newfoundland Power’s  
38 energy sales was unanimous by a panel of experts and industry professionals at the  
39 Muskrat Falls Inquiry. Newfoundland Power uses a regression methodology similar to

---

<sup>4</sup> See the response to Request for Information PUB-NLH-008.

<sup>5</sup> See Attachment A to the response to Request for Information CA-NP-076.

<sup>6</sup> See the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-103, footnote 17.

<sup>7</sup> See the responses to Request for Information PUB-NP-091 and PUB-NP-097.

<sup>8</sup> This includes customers partaking in electrification activities. For example, a customer who switched from oil to electric heating in 2022 may seek opportunities to reduce electricity consumption in future years in response to increases in electricity rates. This could include installing additional insulation, dialing back thermostats, using less hot water, etc.

1           that used by Hydro and evidence filed by the Consumer Advocate. Furthermore, the  
2           results used by Newfoundland Power are reasonable, if not conservative, in comparison  
3           to results referenced in the Muskrat Falls Inquiry and currently used by Hydro.